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I. INTRODUCTION

This survey examines the key developments in constitutional, statutory, regulatory, administrative, and case law governing public employment in Florida during 2007-08. Part II looks at such hiring issues as privatization, background checks, nepotism, immigration, ethics, budget cuts, negligent hiring, and the Hatch Act among others. Part III, Terms of Employment, covers an array of issues, such as hours and wages, health benefits, workers' compensation, unemployment compensation, public pensions, safety issues, the internet, and post-employment restrictions. Part IV addresses legal issues involving discipline, retaliation against whistleblowers, the First Amendment, and remedies. Finally, Part V, Employment Discrimination, surveys the major developments in the past year involving bias on grounds of race, gender, age, disability, religion, and military service. Part VI ends with a roundup of employment discrimination remedies.

II. HIRING ISSUES

A. Hiring Veterans

The Department of Labor runs a Web campaign, HireVetsFirst, aimed at raising “employer awareness about the value of hiring veterans.”1 Despite
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this effort, veterans groups criticized “a veterans outreach program” that allots $161 million to states to help vets find employment as substandard. In 2008, the United States Senate approved a bill that “would help civilian employers keep jobs available for [employees] called to active military duty.“

In 2007, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs promulgated a rule putting into effect the 2002 Jobs for Veterans Act, which directs federal contractors not to discriminate against, and to take affirmative action to hire military veterans.

B. Privatization

Privatization, the conversion of governmental agencies into private entities, continued to fuel controversy over the past year in Florida. “For years, state and local governments have been privatizing certain functions, such as trash collection, payroll processing and road maintenance.” Now, “15 or so [United States] municipalities . . . have outsourced their libraries,” and critics charge that this development constitutes “a backdoor method of union-busting.” Moreover, “workers will lose the right to participate in [the public] pension system for public employees and instead will qualify for a 401(k) program.”

The Miami-Dade Housing Agency faced “a range of financial and management scandals” since 2006, leading to a “9-month-old federal takeover” of the troubled agency. But under a deal brokered between the federal government and the county, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development will end its control if the county agrees “to privatize management of the multimillion-dollar rental-assistance program.” Again, critics complain that privatizing the agency will free it “of many of the government

2. Id.
5. Id. at 44,398–99.
8. Id.
9. Id.
11. Id.
regulations, as well as the county pay scale, benefits and employment protections.”

In 2007, Broward County “trimmed expenses by privatizing park ranger positions.” In addition, “Broward County Transportation plans to privatize a handful of bus routes.” As a result of budget cuts, Florida International University plans to “outsource services such as construction management, real estate development and e-mail support.”

C. Background Checks on Employees

The Census Bureau announced “that it would fingerprint and [undertake] background checks on . . . [500,000] temporary workers who will go door to door for the 2010 [census] count” to weed out criminals. In 2008, a United States House subcommittee held hearings on a measure that would force states to provide specified “state criminal history information to employers of security guards.”

The National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification has compiled a “nationwide list of 24,500 teachers who have been” disciplined for a wide range of misconduct. The “list is the only nationwide” attempt by school districts to weed out “teachers who get into trouble,” but the public is denied access to it.

In 2008, Florida’s Department of Children & Families (DCF) “outlined plans to better scrutinize the agency’s 13,500 employees” after “a shocking arrest of a DCF employee,” in which the agency failed to discover he had “a DUI arrest in Georgia and an outstanding warrant for his arrest . . . in Texas.” In response, DCF will be fingerprinting “all employees hired after November [6], 2006 . . . as part of a more thorough background check.” In a similar vein, “Miami-Dade County’s rules for issuing chauffeur’s licenses”

12. Id.
14. Id.
15. Oscar Corral, FIU Planning Layoffs to Save Money, MIAMI HERALD, Apr. 16, 2008, at 1B.
19. Id.
21. Id.
came under scrutiny after learning that “a man who’d killed his grandmother was granted a permit to drive a cab.”\textsuperscript{22} As a result, “the Consumer Services Department has reassigned the staffer who backgrounded” the cabbie, who was once found unfit for trial by reason of insanity, “[a]nd it is rechecking the backgrounds of the county’s 4200 cab drivers.”\textsuperscript{23} The Department also set up “an emergency-only paging system” by which wayward cabbies can have their licenses pulled immediately.\textsuperscript{24}

About seventy percent of employers check applicants’ credit scores “before they decide to hire a candidate.”\textsuperscript{25} “The fear is that credit problems at home create tension and distraction at work . . . .”\textsuperscript{26} Moreover, employers do not relish having to garnish employee’s wages, sought by creditors, after workers fall behind in their bills.\textsuperscript{27}

D. Nepotism

Florida’s Anti-Nepotism Law generally prohibits public employers from hiring members of their families or other relatives.\textsuperscript{28} In 2008, “[t]he Miami-Dade School Board’s Ethics Advisory Committee . . . proposed a new nepotism policy that would make it more difficult for employees to indirectly supervise their relatives.”\textsuperscript{29} The Committee framed three recommendations: “1) All employees must disclose relatives employed anywhere in the school system; 2) district employees cannot . . . oversee a relative without School Board approval; [and 3) f]ailure to disclose should result in penalties up to and including dismissal.”\textsuperscript{30}

News accounts of preferential treatment, that a “Fort Lauderdale police chief’s wife” received from prosecutors, was incorrectly referred to as nepotism after she was not charged with attempted murder for shooting—but missing—“her husband in their home.”\textsuperscript{31} Instead of nepotism, a criminal law
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professor more accurately labeled the preferential treatment "a matter of classism." 32

E. Immigration

1. Federal Developments

a. E-Verify

E-Verify is a voluntary federal program that allows employers to confirm "electronically their newly hired employees' legal [eligibility] to work in the United States." 33 In the past year alone, use of the Web-based system grew from 14,265 to 52,000 employers. 34 The growth of E-Verify was most pronounced "in Arizona, where a new state law" forces employers to use the system. 35 By contrast, Illinois passed a law barring employers from using E-Verify "over questions about its accuracy." 36 In response, the Bush Administration sued Illinois to enjoin the state from implementing its ban on employer use of E-Verify. 37 The suit claims that the Illinois law is preempted by federal immigration law. 38 In 2008, Virginia employers defeated bills forcing all employers to take part in the E-Verify program. 39

E-Verify "matches photographs from green cards and other immigrant work permits against a database of" over 14 million pictures. 40 Currently, the federal government is drafting "regulations that would require all new federal contractors to use the E-Verify system." 41 Under the system, "[i]f an employee's photo doesn't match, the company has eight days to report the discrepancy to the Department of Homeland Security, which investigates within two days." 42 In June 2008, by executive order, federal contractors are forced

32. Id.
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36. Id.
38. Id.
41. Id.
42. Id.
to use E-Verify, "greatly expanding the reach of the administration's crack-
down on employers who hire illegal immigrants." Critics of E-Verify al-
lege that the system is "vulnerable to cheating by immigrants who used real
identity documents belonging to other people." Already, a "separate rule
proposed by the administration that would use the Social Security database to
verify immigration status has been blocked by a federal court in San Francis-
coco."

In 2007, the Department of Homeland Security issued a final rule ex-
panding the definition of "constructive knowledge" of an employee who has
provided a fake Social Security number and spells out what steps employers
should take in response to no-match letters issued by the Social Security
Administration.

In 2007, the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services revised
its Employment Eligibility Verification Form, I-9, to implement a rule that
modified the types of documents a new hire must hand to an employer in
order to prove identity and employment eligibility.

b. Harsher Penalties Against Employers and Illegal Workers

One telling index illustrates the growing anti-immigration climate in the
United States: Fewer immigrants "are sending money home, and many cite
increased difficulties in finding well-paying jobs and mounting discrimina-
tion." At the same time, "those who do send money home, now send more,
an average of $325 per remittance compared to $300 in 2006." "[P]roponents of stricter immigration enforcement," however, point to the
slower growth of remittances as evidence "that the policies tightening what
was once a virtual open door to immigration are working."

43. Julia Preston, Bush Orders Contractors to Vet Status of Workers, N.Y. TIMES, June
44. Id.
45. Id. A California federal district court extended an injunction preventing the federal
government from warning employers that they could incur liability for civil and criminal
violations of immigration law if, after finding out an employee's Social Security number does
not match government databases, they do not quickly unravel the discrepancy. Am. Fed'n of
47. U.S. DEP'T OF HOMELAND SEC., EMPLOYMENT ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION, FORM I-9
49. Id.
50. Id.
In 2008, the federal government announced it would “increase fines against employers who hire illegal immigrants by 25 percent, the first hike in almost a decade.” 51 Plans are also being drafted “to increase criminal penalties against ‘the most egregious employer offenders.’” 52 “The fines for employers caught knowingly hiring illegal immigrants now range from $275 to $11,000.” 53 Not all stricter immigration laws, however, have withstood judicial scrutiny. For example, in August of 2007, “a federal judge in San Francisco temporarily held up a new federal rule that would have forced employers to dismiss illegal immigrants after 90 days.” 54 The “92 criminal arrests of employers still amount to a drop in the bucket of a national economy that includes [six] million companies that employ more than [seven] million unauthorized workers.” 55

By contrast to the harsher penalties surveyed above, on November 16, 2007, the United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency issued guidelines spelling out “best practices” for agents to follow to identify undocumented workers arrested during worksite enforcement actions who are sole caregivers or have other pressing humanitarian concerns. 56

c. Visa Program for Seasonal Farm Workers

The federal visa program for seasonal farm workers, the H-2A visa program, has been increasingly tapped by farmers despite “its reputation for being bureaucratic and expensive” thanks to “[c]ompetition for unskilled labor” and the crackdown on illegal immigrants. 57 States have taken the lead with “programs to help farmers get visas for workers . . . [after] Congress failed to pass immigration legislation” in 2007. 58 “Unlike other visa programs, there’s no cap on the number of temporary agricultural visas . . . .” 59 But the H-2A program fills only a fraction of farmers’ needs and seventy

52. Id.
53. Id.
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58. Id.
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percent "of hired help on farms" are illegal immigrants. Before qualifying for the H-2A program, an employer must "advertise locally to prove the positions [cannot] be filled by U.S. workers." Once an application is approved, “a farmer is required to provide the workers with transportation to the U.S. and housing.” The United Farm Workers union “has agreements with Mexico and Thailand to streamline immigrants’ paperwork.” The Department of Labor announced on July 3, 2007, steps for obtaining H-2B visas for temporary foreign workers for tree planting and reforestation jobs.

An employer group, “Colorado Employers for Immigration Reform, is pressing Congress for a much larger and more flexible guest worker program.” Legislators in Arizona took up a bill, that “would have been the first state guest worker program in the country.”

d. Border Security

Under Operation Jump Start, a two-year federal program, 6,000 National Guard members “in Arizona, California, New Mexico, and Texas ... helped to secure the border with Mexico.” Although the program is set to end July 15, 2008, “an effort is intensifying to have [the National Guard] stay put.” While the Border Patrol aims at doubling its size to 18,000 agents by the end of 2008, state and federal officials worry that the agency will not meet its target. Moreover, work on a “virtual fence, a suite of cameras, radars and other technology ... has been plagued with delays and glitches.” Since the 6,000 National Guard members have been deployed at the border, there has been “a 39 percent drop in arrests for illegal border crossings.”

60. Id.
61. Bazar, supra note 57.
62. Id.
63. Id.
65. Preston, Employers Fight, supra note 39.
66. Id.
68. Id.
69. See id.
70. Id.
71. Id.
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2. State and Local Activity

a. Legislation

In 2008, over "1100 immigration-related bills" were introduced in forty-four states and new laws went into effect in twenty-six states. In Florida alone, "[t]hirteen bills were introduced" in 2008 dealing with immigration. The states seized "the initiative on immigration [in 2007] when Congress abandoned an immigration overhaul pushed by President Bush." While some of the legislation includes pro-immigration measures, most clamp down on "immigrant access to services and employment." Besides bills forcing employers to use the E-Verify system, many toughened employer sanctions for hiring undocumented workers. For example, a new law in Arizona would "suspend or revoke business licenses of employers who ‘knowingly’ hire illegal immigrants." In 2008, employers won approval in the Arizona Legislature of a measure aimed at narrowing the law to exclude workers hired before 2008. Immigration bills died in Indiana and Kentucky "in part to warnings from business groups that the measures could hurt the economy."

In 2008, Mississippi became "the first state to make it a felony for an illegal immigrant to work. The measure also allows terminated employees to sue their employer if they were replaced by an illegal immigrant."

In the last decade, "over three million new residents settled in Florida;" one-third of them were immigrants. Critics of immigration claim that this influx of immigrants to Florida "is bringing traffic, pollution, overcrowded


74. Montgomery, States Forge Ahead, supra note 72.

75. Id.
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78. Preston, Employers Fight, supra note 39.

79. Id.
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schools, and lack of affordable housing to the state, decreasing quality of life and straining natural resources." 82

A Haitian-American immigrant organization near Fort Lauderdale, Florida, was being investigated for representing Haitian and other Caribbean immigrants from other states that they could obtain work permits and amnesty if they "paid a $450 application fee to obtain a Social Security card and a work permit." 83

b. Judicial Challenges

In response to the toughest crackdown "in two decades, employers . . . are fighting back in state legislatures, the federal courts and city halls." 84 While courts, by and large, in 2007, struck down "state and local laws cracking down on illegal immigration," several significant federal court decisions have sustained such laws in 2008. 85 For example, a federal court in Arizona refused to enjoin "what is widely considered the nation's toughest law against employers who hire illegal immigrants." 86 After a trial on the merits, the court rejected plaintiffs' claims "that the Arizona law invaded legal territory belonging exclusively to the federal government." 87 Similarly, a federal district judge in Missouri upheld a local ordinance cracking down on "employers of illegal immigrants." 88

By contrast, in 2007, a federal court in Pennsylvania ruled that a local ordinance bolstering sanctions on employers who hired illegal immigrants was preempted by federal immigration law and "violated the due process rights of employers." 89

3. Employment Rights of Undocumented Workers

Paradoxes emerge when courts try to reconcile two seemingly conflicting federal labor laws: the 1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act

82. Id.
84. Preston, Employers Fight, supra note 39.
85. Preston, Reversal, supra note 72.
87. Preston, Reversal, supra note 72.
88. Id.
89. Id.
While the IRCA "made it illegal for [employers] to knowingly hire undocumented workers," courts have interpreted the NLRA to make clear that even illegal employees enjoy collective bargaining rights. In 2002, the United States Supreme Court ruled, in *Hoffman Plastic Compounds, Inc. v. NLRB*, that illegally laid-off undocumented workers could not recover back-pay from employers who violated the NLRA. In 2008, however, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ruled that employers must "bargain with unions that" hire undocumented workers even though, under the IRCA, it is illegal to knowingly employ such workers. The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit supported its ruling in part on language in the IRCA, making clear "that employer sanctions weren't meant to 'undermine or diminish in any way labor protections in existing law.'"

4. Immigration Reform

In 2008, Hispanic organizations urged the two presidential candidates, Senators John McCain and Barack Obama, to "support—and force Congress to pass—comprehensive immigration legislation that would create a guest-worker program and put millions of illegal immigrants on paths to citizenship." Moreover, Hispanic organizations urged the candidates "to reverse decades of inadequate representation by Hispanics in the federal workforce. Hispanics constitute about 15 to 16 percent of the population but hold just slightly more than 7 percent of federal jobs."

Besides "demand[ing] citizenship opportunities for the estimated 12 million illegal immigrants in the U.S.," immigrant rights activists organized nationwide rallies aimed at ending workplace raids and deportations.

91. *Id.*
93. *Id.* at 151–52.
95. *Id.*
97. *Id.*
98. Sophia Tareen, *Calls for Immigration Reform Are Reignited*, MIAMI HERALD, May 2, 2008, at 3A.
5. How the United States Economy Benefits from Illegal Workers

"The Social Security Administration estimates that about three-quarters of illegal workers pay taxes that contribute to the overall solvency of Social Security and Medicare." 99 By one estimate, undocumented workers paid nine billion dollars in Social Security taxes alone in 2005. 100 "[Y]et many illegal immigrants fearful of deportation won’t risk the government attention that will come from filing a return even if they might qualify for a refund." 101 In addition, such illegal workers are unlikely to draw Social Security and Medicare benefits even if they remain in the United States after retirement. 102

F. Ethics

1. Disclosure Rules

In Miami-Dade County, public officials “can accept gifts of any value, including trips, but any worth more than $100 must be reported.” 103 An editorial in the Miami Herald recommends placing a ban on all gifts because “the recipients of the gifts are employed by the county and may be in a position to make business decisions that could affect the givers’ financial well-being.” 104

In 2008, the Miami Police Chief was fined $500 “for failing to disclose his free use of a luxury vehicle.” 105 The “executive director of the Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust” recommends a blanket ban on "gifts from vendors seeking business." 106

99. Travis Loller, Illegal Workers Add Billions to Treasury, MIAMI HERALD, APR. 13, 2008, at 3A.
100. Id.
101. Id.
104. Id.
106. Id.
2. Double-Dipping

Miami-Dade County employees must request permission to moonlight on a second job, a practice known as double-dipping. A former aide to Miami-Dade’s Mayor allegedly failed to secure the necessary approval “by working for a private company while he still held a job in county hall.”

3. Lobbying

Miami-Dade County is also weighing a recommendation “[t]hat lobbyists or their charges report how much they are paid to influence government.” Surprisingly, there is no Florida law “against elected officials parlaying their status into lobbying fees—while still holding office.”

A 2008 task force warned Miami-Dade commissioners that voters would only approve a pay raise “as part of a package that included term limits and a ban on commissioners holding other jobs.”

4. Recovery of Costs and Attorney’s Fees in Defense of Ethics Violations

Under Florida law, a public official is entitled to recover costs and attorney’s fees after successfully defending herself against an ethics violation charge by proving “that 1) the complaint was made with a malicious intent to injure the official’s reputation; 2) the person filing the complaint knew that the statements made about the official were false or made the statements about the official with reckless disregard for the truth; and 3) the statements were material.” A Florida court read this statutory provision as not requiring the official to prove the actual malice standard of New York Times Co. v. Sullivan.

108. Id.
110. Fred Grimm, Real Sludge in This Case Was Lobbying, MIAMI HERALD, Feb. 17, 2008, at 3B.
111. Matthew I. Pinzur, Dade Leaders Favor Raises, Not Reforms, MIAMI HERALD, July 19, 2008, at 5B.
114. Id. at 560 (citing New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254 (1964)).
5. Websites and E-Mail Regulation

In 2007, "Cooper City, [Florida] commissioners approved a Web policy [barring] elected officials from [posting] links to personal Web pages on the city's website."\(^{115}\) Also, other Florida public employers warned employees that they consider a public record all e-mails sent on publicly-owned computers.\(^ {116}\)

G. Budget Cuts

On January 29, 2008, Florida voters approved a constitutional amendment that "increase[d] exemptions on homesteads and business properties as well as allow[ed] people to transfer built-up Save Our Homes property tax savings to another homestead."\(^ {117}\) "Revenues could fall further if property values continue dropping . . . ."\(^ {118}\) As a result, many state and local public employees will either lose their jobs, see a cut in benefits or hours of work, or end up privatized.\(^ {119}\) For example, Florida International University must lay off about 200 employees.\(^ {120}\) "[E]ven tenured professors could lose their jobs if the university decides to eliminate entire programs."\(^ {121}\) Consequently, the only growth sector is likely to be campus police.\(^ {122}\)

In Miami-Dade, budget cuts forced "pay cuts and layoffs . . . [of] courthouse employees."\(^ {123}\) The Miami-Dade School Board "discussed changing school police officers' contracts to reduce their work year from 12 months to 10."\(^ {124}\) But in July 2008, Miami-Dade Schools Superintendent "scrapped a proposal to cut 11 schools police officers and restructure the department."\(^ {125}\) In the face of deeper cuts in the 2008–09 budget, the school board must ei-

116. Id.
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119. See id.
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125. Kathleen McGrory, Police Jobs Spared Budget Ax, MIAMI HERALD, July 16, 2008, at 5B.
ther freeze promised increases in teachers' pay "or lay off 1188" employees.126

H. **Negligent Hiring, Retention, and Supervision**

Under the emerging torts of "negligent hiring, retention, [and] supervision," an employer may be liable for foreseeable torts committed by its employees against either third parties or co-workers, even if the employee's wrongdoing arises outside the scope of his employment, if the employer should have known of the employee's propensity for wrongdoing.127 In 2008, a Florida court ruled that whether a school breached its duty to properly train and supervise field trip chaperones was a question of fact for a jury.128 Another Florida court ruled that a child care center was not liable under the theory of negligent supervision for an injury sustained when "a bathroom door slammed on [a child's] hand, partially amputating his pinky finger."129 Furthermore, employers planning a holiday party for their employees "should consider whether they want to serve alcohol."130 "Things can and do go wrong at company-sponsored gatherings—someone gets drunk and falls down or gets in an accident on the way home. Or an employee can make an unwanted pass at another guest."131 Even street harassment of passing women by construction workers may lead to discipline.132

I. **Hatch Act**

Modeled after the federal Hatch Act, a measure that regulates the political activities of public employees,133 Florida has enacted its own law in this area governing state and local public employees.134 For example, "state law makes it illegal to solicit political contributions from local or state govern-
A candidate for Congress apologized in 2008 after "[t]housands of e-mails that promoted a candidate . . . were sent to Miami-Dade County employees." Similarly, "[c]ampaigning for a candidate while at a city commission meeting could be misuse of a public position." Florida law also imposes "criminal penalties for public officials who campaign while working at their government jobs." In 2007, Florida’s Insurance Commissioner apologized for "using a state computer to help a friend’s political campaign." “Under [Miami-Dade] School Board rules, the district’s e-mail system cannot be used for political activities.” In 2008, a Miami-Dade School Board member running for reelection was criticized after sending e-mails to teachers endorsing a candidate. Under Florida’s Code of Judicial Conduct, candidates running for the bench must “refrain from inappropriate political activity.” The Supreme Court of Florida ruled that the Code of Judicial Conduct is violated when a candidate for the bench commends or criticizes "jurors for their verdict, ‘other than in a court pleading, filing, or hearing in which the candidate represents a party in the proceeding in which the verdict was rendered.’" "Active-duty military personnel are [also] prohibited from taking part in partisan politics." By contrast, retired officers enjoy full rights to engage in political activism. In 2008, the Department of Veterans Affairs prohibited voter “registration drives among the veterans living at federally run nursing homes, shelters for the homeless and rehabilitation centers across the country.” “Although veterans are not federal employees, department offi-
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cials based their decision in part on the Hatch Act, which bans federal employees from engaging in partisan political activity.  

“A watchdog report found that former Justice Department officials previously broke the law by politicizing decisions on jobs and internships.”

The report “found that officials disproportionately weeded out those with liberal credentials over those with conservative affiliations who were applying for the department’s honors program and summer internships.” “The report by the department’s inspector general and Office of Professional Responsibility found that in some instances, especially involving the hiring of immigration judges, the improper screening was ‘systematic.’”

J. Innovative Ways of Recruiting Police Officers

In South Florida, many cities are having trouble filling vacant police jobs. To remedy this chronic shortage of officers, South Florida cities have come up with innovative techniques. For example,

[i]n Fort Lauderdale, recruiters are traveling to New York in hopes of grabbing cops from a top criminal justice college, and to military bases to pursue people leaving the armed services. In Hallandale Beach, police have started a partnership with the local high school in hopes of getting young people interested early. And in Miami-Dade County, police have sponsored radio ads and a recruitment Web page.

Hallandale Beach is even asking retired police officers “to come back and work with rookies.”

K. Cities Hiring Panhandlers

In 2008, Daytona Beach, Florida hopes to recruit “panhandlers to clean the city, paying them with a stipend and a place to stay.” Costing the city
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about $2500 per person, hired panhandlers would also be put through drug and alcohol screenings.\footnote{155}{See Editorial, A Homeless Plan, MIAMI HERALD, July 8, 2008, at 12A.}

\section*{L. Appointing v. Electing Judges in Wake of Involuntary Retirement}

In 2008, a Florida court ruled that a county court vacancy caused by an involuntary retirement of a judge must be filled by election, rather than gubernatorial appointment, where the vacancy occurred during the statutory qualifying period.\footnote{156}{See In re Advisory Opinion to the Governor re Appointment or Election of Judges, 983 So. 2d 526, 527-29 (Fla. 2008).}

\section*{III. TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT: INTRODUCTION}

\subsection*{A. Hours and Wages}

\subsubsection*{1. Fair Labor Standards Act Issues}

\paragraph*{a. State of Florida's Economic Growth}

Although "Florida is ranked fourth in the nation for tech industry employment, and South Florida is the state's leading area for the high-tech industry, ... industry experts say unless state officials nurture this industry, Florida's economy will lose valuable tech businesses to other states."\footnote{157}{Bridget Carey, Tech Job Base Is Vulnerable, MIAMI HERALD, Sept. 25, 2007, at 1C.} Historically, Florida's economy relied heavily on "tourism, agriculture and the service sector," but a 2003 study highlighted "some of the road blocks on Florida's path to attract high-paying tech jobs, ... education, investment and innovation."\footnote{158}{Jim Wyss, Call Is Out for More Tech Jobs, MIAMI HERALD, Dec. 5, 2007, at 3C.} For example, "Florida ranks 31st in the nation in terms of new patents per worker."\footnote{159}{Id.} Florida's workers are "beset by the triple threat of high property taxes, high insurance premiums and falling property values."\footnote{160}{Christina Rexrode, Fla. Renters Breaking the '30 Percent Rule', MIAMI HERALD, Dec. 31, 2007, at G21.} "Florida has the largest percentage of renters spending 30 percent or more of their income on rent and utilities ... ."\footnote{161}{Id.}

"Florida's minimum wage [went] ... up to $6.79 per hour" on January 1, 2008.\footnote{162}{Minimum Wage to Rise on New Year’s Day, MIAMI HERALD, Oct. 16, 2007, at 3C.} "[A]bout 2 percent of the state's workforce earns a minimum
wage.”\textsuperscript{163} “Florida’s [minimum wage] is higher than the federal standard of $5.85 an hour.”\textsuperscript{164} The federal minimum wage rose to $6.55 an hour in July 2008 but is still worth less than the 1997 minimum in 2008 dollars.\textsuperscript{165}

b. \textit{Overtime}

In 2008, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) charged that the Wage and Hour Division of the Labor Department “mishandled many overtime and minimum-wage complaints and delayed investigating hundreds of cases for a year or more.”\textsuperscript{166} The GAO “also faulted the wage division for reducing the number of enforcement actions it pursues each year to 29,584 in the 2007 fiscal year, down 37 percent from 46,758 10 years earlier.”\textsuperscript{167}

In 2007-08, the Department of Labor (DOL) issued three opinion letters involving overtime issues: 1) Public employees who work part-time and make above the minimum wage can receive compensatory time when they are not eligible for overtime, but work more than their allotted hours;\textsuperscript{168} 2) “putting on and taking off” protective safety equipment worn by meat packing employees is not a “principal activity” and, therefore, not compensable under the FLSA;\textsuperscript{169} and 3) police officers who work for another city while off-duty are ineligible to have that off-duty time count in the calculation of their overtime or regular rate of pay.\textsuperscript{170}

The FLSA carves out a number of exemptions from its overtime rules and the following federal cases speak to the nature of these exemptions. In 2007-08, the Eleventh Circuit ruled: 1) The FLSA overtime exemption for recreational and amusement businesses did not apply to two Florida dog racing firms because the firms did not functionally operate as separate units;\textsuperscript{171} 2) paramedics fall under the exemption from FLSA overtime rules for employees who “have the ‘responsibility to engage in fire suppression’” and so do not qualify for overtime;\textsuperscript{172} 3) workers providing services such as plant-
ing, fertilizing, herbiciding, and harvesting were employed in "secondary agriculture" and thus expressly exempt from FLSA’s overtime rules for "workers ‘employed in agriculture’". 173 4) “mandatory travel time is exempted from [overtime pay] under the Portal-to-Portal Act” amendments to the FLSA. 174 and 5) “the primary duty of [defendant’s] store managers was not management” and, thus, were not exempt under the FLSA’s executive employees’ exemption from overtime rules. 175

In 2007, the U.S. Labor Department’s Wage and Hour Division concluded that “[t]he Florida Department of Children & Families illegally denied overtime to 126 Palm Beach County abuse investigators between August 2004 and September 2005.” 176 Ultimately, “the state agreed to pay $166,516.51 in back wages.” 177

c. FLSA Remedies

A provision of the 2008 Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act amends the FLSA to raise the penalty for child labor violations from $10,000 to $11,000 per violation. 178 House and Senate Democrats introduced the 2008 Civil Rights Act, giving state employees the right to sue their state employers for damages for alleged overtime pay violations, thus overriding the states’ Eleventh Amendment immunity. 179

In 2007–08, the Eleventh Circuit addressed the following issues involving FLSA remedies: 1) while traditional class action suits may be brought under the FLSA, the Act also recognizes a hybrid suit known as a collective action; 180 2) a trial court should instruct the jury on how to calculate back pay for unpaid overtime according to the regular rate of pay standard spelled out in the DOL bulletin where an employee is hired on a weekly salary basis; 181
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3) district court’s finding that defendant lacked good faith and post-verdict award of liquidated damages was not inconsistent with jury’s verdict that employees failed to establish by “preponderance of the evidence that” defendant “had willfully violated the FLSA;”¹⁸² 4) attorney’s fees deducted from overall FLSA settlement amount should be reduced in accordance with the lodestar method of calculation—i.e., hourly rate times number of hours devoted to case;¹⁸³ and 5) in determining reasonable number of hours devoted to case, attorney’s fee is limited to “time spent drafting the complaint,” not hours that were “either of a clerical nature, unnecessary, duplicative, and/or excessive.”¹⁸⁴ An award of attorney’s fees is “mandatory for prevailing plaintiffs in FLSA cases.”¹⁸⁵

2. Teachers’ Pay

Just “[t]o make ends meet,” many poorly paid teachers take on second jobs rather than give up working in a profession they love.¹⁸⁶ Nationwide, roughly “16 percent of teachers [work second] jobs outside the [school] district during the school year.”¹⁸⁷ The percentage is even greater in urban areas “where the cost of living exceeds the national average.”¹⁸⁸

Nationwide, the “average classroom teacher salary for 2005–06 [was] $49,109 [while t]he average annual salary for Broward [County, Florida] teachers [was] $44,000. The salary schedule for a teacher with a bachelor’s degree tops out at $70,000” in Broward.¹⁸⁹

The Broward School District proposed an innovative incentive to lure poorly-paid teachers to move here: It is “solicit[ing] ideas from developers for four sites in Fort Lauderdale and Pompano Beach, including some existing school parking lots, on which to create as many as 300 rentals for starting
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teachers."\textsuperscript{190} One limitation is that "[t]he district can only use land it already owns for the apartments."\textsuperscript{191}

3. Wage Gap Between Men and Women

On average, men earn more pay than women.\textsuperscript{192} Though the gap has narrowed over the years,\textsuperscript{193} several factors contribute to this fact: Women go in and out of the workforce with greater frequency than men to raise families; women prefer to work fewer hours to spend more time with children;\textsuperscript{194} and residual sex discrimination still lingers.\textsuperscript{195} But times are changing.

According to the United States Census Bureau, "[a]s women have children later [in life, and larger numbers] work outside the home, they are also working longer into pregnancy and returning to work" sooner than was the case in the 1960s.\textsuperscript{196}

With the increase of the rate of women in college vastly surpassing the increase of men in higher education, "the average inflation-adjusted weekly pay of women has [gone up] 26 percent since 1980," while men's pay has risen only "as much as their college graduation rate"—one percent.\textsuperscript{197}

"The female out-earns the male in one of every three households, a figure that has increased every year since 2000 and will rocket to about half by 2025."\textsuperscript{198}

In response to a 2007 United States Supreme Court ruling that set "time restrictions on lawsuits over pay discrimination," Senate Democrats proposed the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act, aimed at "giv[ing] those who believe they were discriminated against a fair opportunity to challenge their employers in court."\textsuperscript{199}
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4. Farm Workers’ Wages

In 1996, the Eleventh Circuit ruled that farm owners, not labor contractors, are the legal employers of farm workers “and must bear the burden of complying with federal wage and hour laws.” In 2008, Haitian field workers filed a class action “lawsuit against a South Miami-Dade farmer . . . alleging they and hundreds of others were paid less than minimum wage.”

In 2008, “[f]arm-worker advocates sought to present more than 80,000 signatures to Burger King officials . . . urging the fast-food giant to join McDonald’s and Taco Bell and help boost the wages of Florida tomato pickers.” Burger King resisted this pressure “because it buys tomatoes from repackers, not from growers, so it says it has no way to get money to the workers.”

5. Income Inequality

A 2008 study “found that the wealth gap in [Florida] has been increasing every year.”

[Overall], Florida ranks 15th in income inequality, and the gap between Florida’s richest families and those in the middle is 7th largest in the nation . . . [M]edian household income in Broward, adjusted for inflation, increased just 7 percent from 1990 through 2006 and was flat in Miami-Dade for the same time period.

Even among Miami-Dade government workers, bonuses are “heavily skewed toward the bureaucracy’s top earners. Of the nearly 400 [bonuses], only 14 went to employees earning less than the county’s median salary of about $45,000. More than half, 211, went to employees earning more than $100,000.”
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6. Unpaid Wages

A Florida court ruled that a bonus agreement entered into by a lawyer with her paralegal, while in violation of Florida Bar Rules prohibiting fee-sharing with nonlawyers, was enforceable because the paralegal "was not in pari delicto" with the attorney. The Fourth District Court of Appeal distinguished Chandris, S.A. v. Yanakakis, where the Supreme Court of Florida ruled "that a contingent fee agreement that does not [measure up] to the Rules of Professional Conduct is void as against public policy."  

7. Wage Gap Between Races

According to a 2007 study, "[i]ncomes among black men have . . . declined in the past" thirty years while black women have made gains. Among the reasons for the gap between black and white wage earners, the study blamed racial discrimination in employment. "In 2004, a typical black family had an income that was only 58 percent of a typical white family's. In 1974, median black incomes were 63 percent of those of whites." The gap might have been greater but for the role unions have played in raising African-Americans' wages.

[A 2008] study found that unions have been especially important for black Americans, helping them earn 12 percent more than their nonunion colleagues and increasing the chance that they receive health and retirement benefits. . . . Black union members earn an average of $17.60 per hour, compared to $12.74 for nonunion black workers.
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B. Health Benefits

1. Health Insurance

In 2007, the United States Senate passed the Mental Health Parity Act, directing employers to offer identical medical benefits for mental health care as they do for other medical conditions when it comes to patient deductibles, copayments, annual and lifetime coverage limits, and covered hospital days and visits.  

Nationwide, in 2007, the cost of health insurance “rose by 10.1 percent.” In 2007, the seven largest health insurers in Florida “made $550 million in total profits . . . irk[ing] those individuals and employers paying higher health insurance costs.” In response, some Florida public school districts have “decided to self-insure—meaning [they] would pay [their] own medical bills instead of the insurer.” For the last decade, Miami-Dade teachers did not have to pay premiums for health insurance and a 2008 proposal forcing teachers to pay part of their health insurance premiums for the first time was soundly rejected. “[B]aby boomers who retire or are laid off before 65” are finding that it costs “at least $300 in monthly premiums for single coverage . . . . Early retirees once could depend on employer-subsidized health plans until Medicare began at 65, but companies hit by new accounting rules and escalating medical costs have scaled back retiree health coverage.”Recently, however, “a number of insurers have begun to market policies specifically geared to the 50-to-64 age group.” Florida “law says that if you have a health policy, an insurer can’t dump you, although it can raise the rates. If you have no coverage, an insurer can reject you for many reasons.”

A 2008 Miami Herald survey found that South Florida employers “pay about 20 percent of [employees’ health insurance] premiums, compared with the national average of 16 percent. For families, South Florida employees
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pay 25 percent of premiums compared with the national average of 20 percent.\textsuperscript{223}

While, nationally, more employers are moving away from Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs) for their employees and toward Preferred Provider Organizations (PPOs), Florida employers "are more likely to have workers participate in [HMOs]."\textsuperscript{224}

2. Wellness Programs

Ten "of the 15 states with the largest percentage of obese adults are in the South.\textsuperscript{225} "In 2007, 22.9 percent of Floridians were considered obese... putting Florida 34th among all states."\textsuperscript{226}

In an effort to reduce their health care costs, employers encourage healthy habits in efforts known as "wellness programs.\textsuperscript{227} A 2007 survey "found that 46 percent of employers offer [wellness programs with] economic incentives and another 26 percent plan to do so in 2008.\textsuperscript{228} For example, a 2007 survey found that one third of employers with two hundred or more employees "offer smoking cessation as part of their employee benefits package.\textsuperscript{229} The University of Miami School of Medicine’s Wellness program "focuses on preventative care, lifestyle management and fitness programs.\textsuperscript{230}

"More employers are covering preventive medical care and even preventive drugs at 100 percent and not subjecting these to a deductible.\textsuperscript{231} A 2007 study found that "[p]eople will lose weight for money, even a little money... when the payout is as little as $7 for dropping just a few
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Fire departments in South Florida "have used a portion of $660,000 in Fire Act Grants awarded between 2002 and 2006 to buy treadmills, recumbent bikes, and other exercise equipment."  

Relying on the Supreme Court of Florida's precedent that public employers can refuse to hire smokers, Escambia County government, starting October 1, 2008, will require all applicants to take a drug test. "Any applicant testing positive for tobacco will not be eligible."  

3. Domestic Partnership Benefits

To date, "[d]omestic partnerships are recognized in Broward and Palm Beach counties, and insurance benefits are offered in the Miami-Dade school district." But, in 2008, Miami-Dade County is close to officially recognizing domestic partnerships for all county employees by "guaranteeing hospital visitation rights and allowing county workers to buy health insurance for their partners." In this regard, domestic partnerships are defined as "[a]ny pair of unmarried adults who live together and are not related by blood ... regardless of sexual orientation."  

In 2008, "[t]he Florida Attorney General’s Office ... agreed to [permit] employees to use sick leave to care for their [ill] domestic partners."  

Perversely, "pets of Palm Beach Community College employees ... qualify for discounted group medical insurance ... but domestic partners are” not entitled to similar benefits.
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4. Insuring the Uninsured and Underinsured

The ranks of the uninsured rose “to 47 million in 2006, a one-year increase of 2.2 million.” The percentage of employees who enjoy employer-based health coverage fell “to 60 percent from 64 percent in 2000.” According to a 2008 study, “each percentage-point rise in” the jobless rate amid an economic downturn increases the ranks of the “uninsured by 1.1 million.” “The number of Floridians under 65 without health insurance rose from 2.8 million—20.5 percent—in 2000 to 3.7 million, or 24.4 percent, in 2005.”

In 2008, Florida enacted a law “aimed at providing low-cost health [insurance] to the uninsured by allowing the sale of [bare bones] insurance policies” that may sell for $150 a month, “about 60 percent less than the average cost of a policy for a single person in Florida.”

The policies would be available to any Floridian 19 to 64 who has been uninsured for at least six months and who is not eligible for public insurance. In a critical provision, insurers would be prohibited from rejecting applicants based on age or health status. . . . The low-cost plans have to include preventive services, office visits, screenings, surgery, prescription drugs, durable medical equipment and diabetes supplies.

A 2008 study found that twenty-five million Americans are underinsured, defined as lacking sufficient coverage to protect them from financial straits should “they end[] up in the emergency room or [become] seriously ill.” The biggest increase in the ranks of the “underinsured were middle-class families [shouldering] . . . medical costs equal to 10 percent . . . of their incomes.”
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5. Rising Health Costs

"National health spending first exceeded $1 trillion in 1995;" it exceeded $2 trillion in 2006. 250 "Health spending by [employers rose] 5.7 percent in 2006, . . . the slowest rate of increase since 1997." 251 Private businesses pay 25 percent of all health costs. 252 Nationwide, "the cost of employer-sponsored [health] premiums [went up] 6.1 percent in 2007, more than double the inflation rate." 253 A 2007 study found that "job-bassed [sic] family health coverage rose from $6,351 to $12,106 from 2000 to 2007. Workers’ share of the premium increased from $1,656 to $3,281." 254

In Florida, "the number of employers offering health coverage increased from 195,622 in 2001 to 209,474 in 2005, although . . . [t]he percentage of people under 65 who had private coverage in Florida fell from 67.6 percent in 2000–01 to 63.1 percent in 2005–06." 255 "About "3.9 million nonelderly Floridians—about a quarter of the state’s under-65 population . . . are expected to spend more than 10 percent of their income on healthcare in 2008 . . . That’s a 62 percent increase over the 2.4 million who paid more than 10 percent of income in 2000." 256 "In Florida, 1.21 million non-elderly people—nearly three quarters of whom have insurance—are in families that will spend more than 25 percent of their pretax income on healthcare costs in 2008." 257

In Florida, between 2001 and 2005, "[f]amily health-insurance premiums [rose] 29 percent . . . while median family income remained almost flat." 258 "The average Floridian spent $5,483 on healthcare in 2004 . . . That’s above the national average of $5,283 . . . " 259

A 2007 study found that Florida faced "$69 billion in lost productivity" and "$18 billion to treat some 10 million reported cases of the most common
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chronic ailments in 2003, including diabetes, heart disease, hypertension and pulmonary conditions."

6. Health Care Gap by Race and Region

A 2008 study found that "[r]ace and place of residence [play a key factor] on the course and quality of . . . medical treatment a patient receives." For example, African-Americans "with diabetes or vascular disease are . . . five times more likely than whites to have a leg amputated and that women in Mississippi are far less likely to have mammograms than those in Maine." 6

7. Retiree Health Care

In the face of new federal accounting rules, state and local employers must disclose each year the cost of present and future liability for retirees' health care. Public employers have a huge financial incentive to reduce such liability or else risk lower credit ratings, making the cost of borrowing more expensive.

While vested retiree health benefits usually cannot be reduced or modified without violating either the Contract Clause in federal or state constitutions, public employers are capitalizing on ambiguous contract language to reduce or eliminate retiree health benefits.

An actuarial study conducted for the Broward Sheriff's Office (BSO) found that "2,005 employees had accrued $270 million in retirement health benefits." Broward County must pay $40 million a year "to fully pre-fund those retirement benefits without borrowing." "Covered BSO retirees and their dependents get a 2 percent monthly discount for every year of service
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up to a maximum of 50 percent off the total cost of their future health insurance premiums. Retired employees are covered for life."\textsuperscript{268}

C. Workers' Compensation

Effective January 1, 2008, "[w]orkers' compensation rates paid by Florida employers [dropped] 18.4 percent," owing to a decrease in the "frequency of injured workers' claims."\textsuperscript{269} Since an overhaul of the system in 2003, employers' workers' compensation rates have fallen fifty percent, "mainly because of less fraud and abuse."\textsuperscript{270}

"Workers' comp rates are set by job type. Roofers pay some of the highest rates for the insurance because of the perilous nature of their work."\textsuperscript{271} In 2008, roofers "could see their rates drop more than 20 percent. That would put workers' comp rate[s] at their lowest level since mid-1980s."\textsuperscript{272}

In 2003, the Florida Legislature "eliminated hourly fees for plaintiff attorneys as part of [an] overhaul of the workers' comp system."\textsuperscript{273} Under the new system, "judges now must follow a lower, set fee schedule for trial attorneys when they prevail—10 percent to 20 percent of the award."\textsuperscript{274} As a result, thousands of "employees feel they have been shut out of the legal system."\textsuperscript{275} At the same time, "[w]orkers' comp rates in Florida, at times the highest in the nation, have come down more than 50 percent."\textsuperscript{276}

Even though Florida law presumes job-related stress contributes to "heart attacks suffered by firefighters and police officers," such public employees may still be fired if deemed unable to do the job and face the loss of workers' compensation benefits and health benefits.\textsuperscript{277}

In 2007-08, Florida courts have ruled on a number of workers' compensation issues. What follows is a sampling of key decisions:
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• A judge of compensation claims erred in denying an award of expenses for water and utility bills—in addition to rent—on the ground that the expenses “were not medically necessary.”

• An employer failed to rebut the presumption that heart attacks sustained by firefighters and police officers are covered by workers’ compensation under heart and lungs acts, because the employer did not offer “evidence of a specific non-occupational cause of” the police officer’s heart disease.

• Injuries sustained when an employee tripped “on a pile of debris left in a county right-of-way” after a hurricane, while walking from his vehicle parked “in the parking lot of a nearby shopping center” to his workplace, are compensable under the special hazard exception if claimant’s means of travel to and from work was usual, and if claimant was not expressly banned from parking in the shopping center parking lot by his employer.

• Where “claimant worked an average of 36 hours a week in the year” before his injury, a question of fact is raised over whether he was a full or part-time employee. Calculation of average weekly wages will depend on which subsection of section 440.14(1) of the Florida Statutes applies.

• A prevailing employer may recover court costs even if the claim for workers’ compensation “benefits was not fraudulent or frivolous.”

• A workers’ compensation settlement agreement does “not comply with section 440.20(11) [of the] Florida Statutes if claimant was not represented by counsel when he signed the release.”

• Workers’ compensation is the exclusive remedy for claims against an employer for negligent hiring, retention, supervision and training of a store manager who allegedly “assaulted and sexually battered” a sales clerk.

282. See id.
A workers' compensation claimant was not the statutory employee of an employee leasing company where the employee leasing company was not a contractor in privity of contract with a third party.286

D. Unemployment Issues

1. Federal Legislation

"[E]mployers pay a federal unemployment tax . . . —$56 per employee per year—and state unemployment taxes as well. On average, benefits replace about a third of a worker's previous weekly earnings and run out after 23 weeks . . . . [O]nly about one-third of jobless workers qualify to collect benefits."287

In 2007, Congress considered bills that would give "$7 billion over five years to states that" pass overdue reforms to their unemployment programs such as offering "better coverage for part-time workers, families with children, workers who [enroll in] retraining programs and the long-term unemployed."288 Under a measure introduced in Congress in 2008, the longstanding rule that unemployment compensation claimants "must have worked full time for at least 20 weeks to qualify for benefits" would be eliminated.289 In 2008, the United States Senate voted seventy-five to twenty-two to "extend unemployment benefits by 13 weeks nationwide, with an additional 13 weeks for [employees] in states with high unemployment."290

2. Unemployment Rates

In 2008, the rate of unemployment among Latino workers stood at "6.5 percent, compared with 4.7 percent" jobless rate among non-Latin workers.291 Latinos in the construction industry were particularly hard hit, with "7.5 percent unemploy[ed] in the first three months of 2008."292 "[T]he job market of 2008 is shaping up as the weakest in more than half a century for
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teenagers looking for summer work . . .”293 “Employment among American teenagers has been sliding continuously for the last decade . . . dropping steadily since the late 1970s, when nearly half of all 16- to 19-year-olds had summer jobs.”294 In 2008, black leaders in South Florida met to talk about summer jobs for black teenagers.295 For the first time,

[W]omen in their prime earning years . . . are retreating from the work force, either permanently or for long stretches . . . [a]fter moving into virtually every occupation, women are being afflicted on a large scale by the same troubles as men: downturns, layoffs, outsourcing, stagnant wages or the discouraging prospect of an outright pay cut. And they are responding as men have, by dropping out or disappearing for a while.296

“More and more mid-grade officers and enlisted soldiers are leaving the military as multiple deployments to war take its toll . . . . For the Department of Defense, unemployed veterans are costly. In 2006, the agency paid $518 million in unemployment benefits, and $365 million through the first three quarters of 2007.”297

Between June 2006 and June 2007, “Florida lost more jobs . . . — 74,700—than any other state in the nation.”298 By contrast, in 2005, Florida was “No. 1 in jobs created in the entire country.”299 By the end of July 2008, “Florida’s job-loss rate will be higher [than] the nation’s for the first time since 2002.”300 The only growth areas were “in the health, education and the low-paying services fields.”301 Oddly enough, Florida’s “unemployment rate actually went down” during the same period, to 5.5 from 5.6 percent.302 “The June 2007 rate was 4 percent.”303 While South Florida lost 17,400 jobs
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between June 2007 and June 2008, local government employment actually rose by 4400 new jobs.\textsuperscript{304} For "the first time since 1992 Florida . . . experienced 10 straight months of consecutive job declines in [construction]" in 2007.\textsuperscript{305}

"Job loss ranks in the top 10 most traumatic things that can happen to a person."\textsuperscript{306}

E. Public Pensions

1. Accounting Rules

In 2008, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board investigated "whether the accounting rules must be changed to stop [government employers from] systematically undercounting [public] pension costs."\textsuperscript{307} Cities and states have used an array of subterfuges to disguise the true cost of future public pension liabilities.\textsuperscript{308} For example:

\begin{quote}
[M]any places had given retirees retroactive pension increases without recognizing the added cost. . . . [N]early one-fourth of large public pension plans had used "skim funds"—accounting devices that allow officials to declare certain investment income to be "excess," skim it out of the pension fund, and [use] it on other things. Skim funds are not allowed in the private sector.\textsuperscript{309}
\end{quote}

Critics of more stringent accounting rules, however, warn "[t]hese changes are so daunting and potentially costly that some governments are likely to stop offering pensions altogether and start giving their workers inferior benefits."\textsuperscript{310}

2. Deferred Retirement Option Plans

A Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) is a form of retirement benefit that allows employees to continue working while accumulating a savings account consisting of the benefits that would have been received had
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the employee actually retired. In other words, it is a chance for an employee to earn two incomes at the same time, with one of them being saved and invested without current tax liability.

In 2007, a public school principal in Weston regretted that he enrolled in DROP: "The program lets educators earn retirement money and their salary when they enroll. They collect the money when the five years is up, but they must stop working." The school principal wants to continue as school principal, but such a decision will cost him dearly. If DROP enrollees return to work as teachers, their retirement payments continue intact. "If they return as an administrator, however, they lose 11 months of their retirement checks." In 2008, the Miami-Dade School Board turned down a school principal's offer to continue working "for $1 a year plus benefits" after five years elapsed as a DROP enrollee because a "position budgeted at $1 a year plus benefits could not be filled if [the principal] left before year's end." A 2001 amendment "allowed DROP retirees to work their five years, take 30 days off and go right back onto the public payroll." But this loophole has cost Florida $300 million with "8,000 'retired' public employees collecting both a pension and a salary, including 131 employees collecting two state pensions—triple dippers." Miami-Dade schools stand to save $13.9 million by closing the DROP loophole and critics consider ending the loophole statewide, a "sensible policy."
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3. Investments

In 2008, “[t]he Florida Senate passed a bill allowing the state to invest up to 1.5 percent of state retirement funds, or about $1.8 billion, into high-growth industries in Florida.”

Along with California, Louisiana, and Missouri, Florida refuses to invest state pension funds in companies “doing business in Iran.”

In 2007, the State Board of Administration, the Florida agency charged with handling investments, “reported that it has more than $2.5 billion in downgraded investments in several accounts, including the state retirement fund.” Florida’s public “pension fund has $756 million in investments that have fallen below purchase guidelines,” largely stemming from “the turmoil in the mortgage industry.”

4. Litigation

In 2008, “[a] Broward County police union threatened to file a lawsuit against Hallandale Beach after the city introduced a law that would ban residents from serving on the police and fire pension board.”

After the city ultimately did pass the measure allowing only city commissioners to serve on the police and fire pension board, the union claimed “that city commissioners are serving two offices, which is against state law.”

In 2007, several South Florida public pension plans brought arbitration claims against Merrill Lynch, accusing it “of conflicts of interests in its role as consultant to government pension plans . . . [seeking] to generate excessive fees and commissions for itself . . . [r]ather than looking out for the interests of city employees.” At the same time, federal regulators were “investigating a Merrill Lynch pension fund consultant for misleading public
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officials throughout Florida about the fees he collected and the reasons he recommended money managers.”

In 2008, the Jacksonville Police and Fire Pension Fund sued "embattled American International Group . . . accus[ing] the insurance giant and a number of its top executives . . . of understating the company’s exposure to the subprime mortgage crisis in order to inflate its stock price artificially.”

Although traditional pension plans, known as defined benefit plans, are more common in the public sector than defined contribution plans, increasingly, government employers are converting defined benefit plans into defined contribution plans—e.g., 401(k)s—as yet another way of cutting labor costs. In 2008, the United States Supreme Court ruled that employees can file lawsuits against employers or firms suspected of mishandling their 401(k) accounts.

5. Forfeiture of Pension

A Florida court ruled that a retired police officer, who had given "a false, sworn statement to investigators to hide the actions of his fellow officers" and had been adjudicated guilty in federal court of “conspiracy to obstruct justice and deprive . . . citizens of rights, privileges, and immunities,” forfeited any portion of his public pension other than his own accumulated contributions as required by Florida law. Any felony involving breach of public trust triggers the state forfeiture statute, and the administrative forfeiture determination is not a civil proceeding subject to the four-year limitations period under Florida law.

A former Broward County Sheriff, who “plead[ed] guilty to mail fraud conspiracy and tax charges,” appealed Florida’s decision that his public pension was forfeited since “his crimes were job-related.” The former
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public official argues that his crimes are not on the list of specific crimes “that call for pension forfeiture.”

F. Safety Issues

1. Anti-Bullying Bill

One study “reported that the emotional toll of workplace bullying is more severe than that of sexual harassment.” The study unearthed a few surprises: Many “bullying cases involve health care settings . . . academia and the legal profession . . . [and] 40 percent of workplace bullies are women.”

In 2008, “legislation has been introduced in 13 states to allow people to sue their employers for bullying or offensive behavior even when the conduct doesn’t meet standards for discrimination or infliction of emotional distress.” A recent poll “revealed that 37 percent of U.S. workers, or 54 million people, have been bullied at work.” “[E]mployers generally have a defense if they have used reasonable care to prevent and/or correct the problem and employees failed to avail themselves of the measures.”

On April 30, 2008, the Florida Senate unanimously approved, and on June 10, 2008, Governor Crist signed, the Jeffrey Johnston Stand Up for All Students Act, a bill that while largely aimed at prohibiting bullying directed at students, also prohibits “bullying or harassment of any . . . school system employee for any reason.” “Money for ‘safe schools’ programs—nearly $77 million statewide . . . would only be released to districts once their policies on bullying and harassment are approved by the state.” In July 2008, Broward became “the first Florida school district to put in place a new anti-bullying policy . . . as required by law.”
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ment of Education will use Broward’s policy as a model for the state’s 66 other school districts. 345

2. Violence in the Workplace

On January 7, 2008, a new federal law, the Court Security Improvement Act of 2007, went into effect, aiming at bolstering security measures for federal and state judges and prosecutors, among others. 346

Law enforcement officers are “two or three times more” likely to commit suicide. 347 “[T]he stress of the job and easy access to” firearms may account for this higher risk. 348 Between 400 to 450 officers die by their own hands, “compared with 150 to 200 who die in the line of duty.” 349

3. Overcrowded Classrooms

The Broward school district’s safety director recommended that a Laudererdale Lakes charter school be closed “for packing too many kids into classrooms.” 350 The school’s president “faces 10 second-degree misdemeanor charges” stemming from the overcrowding and a string of fire code violations. 351

4. Guns at the Workplace

In April 2008, “Gov[ernor] Charlie Crist signed [the] so-called guns-at-work legislation . . . allowing employees with concealed-weapons permits to begin stashing their firearms in their locked cars at work starting July 1.” 352 “Business groups have heatedly opposed the bill on the grounds it impinges on their private property rights and their ability to lay the ground rules for employment.” 353 The law exempts the following worksites: “[S]chools, correctional institutions, nuclear-power facilities, defense and homeland-
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security firms and employers whose 'primary business' concerns explosives and combustibles."354

In 2008, Florida business groups challenged the constitutionality of the new guns-at-work law, claiming it "violates private property rights and conflicts with the federal Occupational Safety and Hazard Act."355 It remains to be seen what impact the 2008 Supreme Court ruling upholding an individual right to bear arms, District of Columbia v. Heller,356 will have on this issue, especially in light of the fact that the High Court decision only narrowly applies to the federal government.357

Walt Disney World claims its employees are exempt from Florida's new guns-at-work law, citing the law's exemption "for employers with a federal explosives permit, which Disney has for its massive, daily fireworks shows."358 Acting on this assumption, Walt Disney World fired a guard after he refused "to let Disney authorities search his car."359 "[T]he company maintains a zero-tolerance policy for employees who bring guns onto the property."360

G. Websites and E-mail

"[M]ore governments have turned to clear-cut e-mail and Web policies aimed at erasing gray areas in cyberspace."361 Florida's public employers are "reminding employees and [public] officials to be careful what they send, because on city- or county-owned e-mail accounts, they consider everything a public record."362 Florida "law generally requires [government e-mails] to be kept on e-mail servers or on disk for at least three years."363
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H. Post-Employment Restrictions

Non-disclosure provisions, barring departing employees from talking "about the circumstances of their departure or even disclosing the existence of the agreements" "in order to obtain severance pay or benefits," while usually found in the private sector, are now also cropping up in public employment.364 Some experts said that the nondisclosure agreements run counter to the presumption in state law that public employees are free to speak about the function and conduct of government agencies.365 The fear exists that such confidentiality agreements will silence whistleblowers.366

A Florida appellate court ruled that a preliminary injunction may be issued to enforce the terms of a non-competition covenant where "actual threat of harm exists when an employee possesses knowledge of an employer's trade secrets and begins working in a position that causes him or her to compete directly with the former employer or the product line that the employee formerly supported."367

I. Family Medical Leave Act

If you work for a company with more than 50 workers: You are one of the 96 million people covered by The Family Medical and Leave Act of 1993. The FMLA allows you to take time off work for up to 12 weeks a year and still hang on to your job.368

On December 12, 2007, the United States House of Representatives adopted the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 conference report,369 which includes provisions to extend the FMLA to family
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members of wounded soldiers.\textsuperscript{370} Under the measure, families of wounded military personnel are entitled "to six months of unpaid leave."\textsuperscript{371}

In 2008, the United States House approved a measure, the Airline Flight Crew Technical Corrections Act, extending FMLA protection to flight attendants and pilots.\textsuperscript{372}

In 2008, the Department of Labor (DOL) proposed changes to update its FMLA regulations.\textsuperscript{373} The changes address "joint employers, waivers of FMLA rights, . . . the relationship between employer approval," and the commencement of leave and medical notification requirements.\textsuperscript{374} One DOL proposal "would guarantee [employees] up to seven paid sick days a year."\textsuperscript{375} In a defeat for employers, the DOL declined to alter the period of time an employee can take intermittent leave of less than a day.\textsuperscript{376}

Under Florida's 2007 Domestic Violence Leave Law, persons living in households hit by domestic violence are entitled "to take up to three days off during any twelve month period to: 1) seek an injunction for protection; 2) obtain medical care; 3) obtain mental health care; 4) [bolster] household security . . . ; or, 5) [secure] legal [aid] or either prepare for or attend court-related proceedings."\textsuperscript{377}

IV. DISCIPLINE, RETALIATION AGAINST WHISTLEBLOWERS, FIRST AMENDMENT AND REMEDIES

A. Discipline

1. Job-Related Misconduct

Increasingly, public employees are being disciplined for activities involving the Internet, websites, e-mail, and blogging. Typically, the public
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employee makes improper use of an employer-owned computer either at work or at home. For example, Burger King "fired two employees following the disclosure that a top official secretly posted blogs slamming a farmworker advocacy group."378 The fired employees "participated in unauthorized activity on public websites which did not reflect the company's views and which were in violation of company policy."379 A judge on the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals was criticized for "contribut[ing] to a Web site that featured sexually explicit materials" and was weighing whether to disqualify himself from presiding over an obscenity case.380 A candidate for the position of Broward's "airport director lost the job after MiamiHerald.com posted the vulgar e-mails he sent from his last government job, in which he insulted Broward commissioners and the county's main airport."381 A "Pompano Beach High School teacher was suspended without pay after an investigation concluded he showed his students his MySpace page, which included profanity and talk about drugs and sex."382 A North Florida state representative "outraged many of Miami-Dade's legislators when he used his state-issued e-mail account to forward a cartoon implying taxpayers were subsidizing illegal aliens."383

"A confidential, nationwide list of 24,500 teachers who have been punished for a wide array of offenses was made available to the public" in 2007.384 "Sexual misconduct, financial misconduct, criminal convictions and other misbehavior all can bring disciplinary actions against teacher licenses."385 A Tampa public school teacher had her teacher's license revoked for "academic fraud" after "she helped students taking the FCAT."386 "The number[] of what is officially called 'academic fraud' [is] tiny when compared to about 15,000 investigations the state has conducted since 1997 for all types of teacher misconduct, including drug, alcohol, sexual and physical abuse."387 In 2007, Broward's school district weighed whether "to fire a teacher with a history of drinking alcohol at school."388
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In 2008, Florida's Judicial Qualifications Commission found that a state appellate court judge "violated judicial ethics by writing a concurring opinion suggesting that another appellate judge cast a corrupt vote." Apparentely, no Florida judge or any other judge on record, "has ever been disciplined for what he or she wrote in an appellate opinion."

In 2008, the Judicial Conference of the United States released compulsory guidelines on how complaints alleging wrongdoing by federal judges should be processed. Judicial wrongdoing covers areas such as conflicts of interest, bias, incompetence, and claims that a judge's mental or physical disability undermines his or her ability to manage a case.

2. Off-Duty Misconduct

A few states, like "Colorado and Minnesota have laws explicitly protecting all employees from discrimination for engaging in any lawful activity off premises during nonworking hours." But not Florida. For example, a Key West police officer lost her "job after pictures she posted on her MySpace page were deemed 'conduct unbecoming' of an officer." In another instance, Miami's personnel director warned all employees about "zooming through highway toll plazas by choosing the automated SunPass lane—without paying" in city vehicles. Miami's personnel director warned that "'[d]isregard for the law as well as for city policies will result in disciplinary action.'"

"[P]ublic officials have historically been removed from office only for felonies or misdemeanors having to do with their public duties, such as theft from city coffers." A former Miami City Commissioner sued to be reinstated, arguing that his conviction for misdemeanor battery and disorderly
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intoxication while off-duty does not fall within any type of crime that should disqualify him from reinstatement.\textsuperscript{398}

B. Retaliation Against Whistleblowers

In 2008, the United States Supreme Court handed down two decisions prohibiting employers from retaliating against employees who charge age and race discrimination.\textsuperscript{399} In *Gomez-Perez v. Potter*,\textsuperscript{400} by a vote of six to three, the Court ruled that even though the statute barring age discrimination against federal employees does not specifically prohibit retaliatory discharges, "that understood in the context of its enactment, the provision did cover retaliation."\textsuperscript{401} In *CBOCS West, Inc. v. Humphries*,\textsuperscript{402} by a vote of seven to two, the Court "held that Congress intended to cover retaliation claims brought under the provision of the Civil Rights Act of 1866 that is usually referred to as Section 1981."\textsuperscript{403}

"The federal whistle-blower law, known as the False Claims Act (FCA), has been a potent tool for keeping government contractors honest since it was last amended in 1986."\textsuperscript{404} In 2008, members of a Senate committee are weighing changes to the FCA that would make it easier for whistle-blowers to prevail on their claims of governmental corruption.\textsuperscript{405} One contentious issue is whether the Act "allow[s] government employees to file suit under the False Claims Act."\textsuperscript{406} One senator recommends "allow[ing] government employees to sue under the False Claims Act if they first exhausted all other channels without success."\textsuperscript{407} For its part, the Justice Department opposes allowing "government officials [to] sue contractors on the basis of information they collected in the ordinary course of doing their jobs."\textsuperscript{408}

In 2008, the United States Supreme Court resolved a circuit court split over whether liability under the FCA requires presentment of a fraudulent
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claim to the government. In Allison Engine Co. v. United States ex rel. Sanders, the Court ruled that proof that "government money was used to pay [a] fraudulent claim" is insufficient to support a plaintiff's claim under two FCA sections that do not require actual presentment of a claim to the government.

In the past year, Congress has also weighed strengthening the 1989 Whistle-Blower Protection Act.

The reforms would provide stronger outside review protection for whistle-blowers and would make it more difficult for their security clearances to be revoked, a common shunning device. Workers would also be freer to share classified information with Congress—when necessary to reveal the details of abuse and fraud—and would have a strengthened court review process for appealing disputed cases.

Other possible changes include "extending whistle-blower protection to workers at the F.B.I. and national intelligence agencies."

C. First Amendment

In Garcetti v. Ceballos, the United States Supreme Court severely limited what public employee speech is protected by the First Amendment by ruling that "when public employees make statements pursuant to their official duties, the employees are not speaking as citizens for First Amendment purposes." Post-Garcetti cases raise thorny questions about what public employee speech is job-related and therefore, unprotected, and what speech is not job-related. For example, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeal ruled, in Green v. Barrett, that a public employee's in-court testimony is
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protected under the First Amendment when speech is not performed pursuant to his or her official duties, but is merely based on facts that the employee learned because of his or her employment.\footnote{419}{See id. at 886.}

In 2008, "[t]he panel that oversees Florida's public university system has asked a federal judge to overturn part of a 2006 state law that bans universities from spending money to travel to Cuba and four other nations on the U.S. terrorist list."\footnote{420}{Gary Fineout, \textit{Board: Undo Law on Travel to Cuba}, \textit{Miami Herald}, Jan. 2, 2008, at 1B.} The panel contends that "the travel act's prohibition runs afoul of the academic freedom accorded to universities under the First Amendment."\footnote{421}{Id.} Surprisingly, "[o]ne of the defendants, the board that runs the state's university system, has sided with the plaintiffs" that the ban "is an unconstitutional curtailment of academic freedom."\footnote{422}{Id.}

D. Remedies

Under Florida's Whistle Blower Act, a prevailing plaintiff may recover "back pay and future wages."\footnote{423}{Patrick Danner, \textit{Ex-Worker Challenges Firing}, \textit{Miami Herald}, Feb. 6, 2008, at 3C.} The Act bars employers "from taking retaliatory action against employees for objecting to illegal conduct."\footnote{424}{Id.}

An issue arose in 2008 over whether a former Miami city attorney who pleaded no-contest to misdemeanors involving misuse of city funds should be entitled to $120,000 in severance pay.\footnote{425}{Opinion, \textit{Punish Wrongdoing; Don't Pay for It}, \textit{Miami Herald}, Feb. 22, 2008, at 20A.} An editorial in the Miami Herald made its position clear: "City officials who abuse the public trust shouldn't be rewarded as they slink out the door."\footnote{426}{Id.}

The doctrine of "election of remedies" posits that at some point a plaintiff in a civil suit must make up his or her mind over which one of two or more remedies he or she is seeking.\footnote{427}{See, e.g., \textit{City of Jacksonville v. Cowen}, 973 So. 2d 503, 506 (Fla. 1st Dist. Ct. App. 2007).} For example, in a breach of contract case, the plaintiff must choose whether to sue on the contract and ask for damages or seek to unravel the agreement through rescission.\footnote{428}{Jackson v. Bellsouth Telecomms., 372 F.3d 1250, 1279 (11th Cir. 2004).} The two
remedies are mutually exclusive.429 The issue of election of remedies arose in a 2007 Florida appellate court case where the issue was whether an enforcement officer "had waived his right to" arbitrate his disciplinary dismissal under a collective bargaining agreement by electing to appeal an earlier suspension "to the Civil Service Board and then having that appeal dismissed."430 The court ruled that whether the plaintiff had waived his right to arbitration was a question for the trial court, not the arbitrator, but because no waiver occurred "the parties must participate in arbitration as ordered by the trial court."431

V. EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION

A. Generally

In 2007–08, the United States House of Representatives considered bills to overturn Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.,432 making clear that the time limit for filing pay discrimination claims begins to run each time an employee receives a paycheck that reflects discrimination, not only when the employer makes a discriminatory pay decision.433 In the Senate version, time limits for filing pay bias claims begin to run when the employee "knew or should have known" of the discrimination.434

On June 9, 2008, the United States Supreme Court ruled in Engquist v. Oregon Department of Agriculture435 that public employees who are the targets of arbitrary treatment by their employers may not bring a class of one equal protection claim, unless the discrimination is grounded on "race, sex, or another protected [class]."436

In 2007, the Eleventh Circuit rendered two decisions bearing on elements of the prima facie case for employment discrimination under Title VII.437 In Crawford v. City of Fairburn,438 the court ruled that when an em-
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ployer produces multiple legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons for firing an employee, the employee needs to rebut each and every reason—rebutting one is now sufficient. In *McMillan v. DeKalb County*, the court noted a circuit split over the proper application of the qualified immunity defense in mixed-motive cases.

In 2008, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) issued a final rule deleting a regulation allowing dismissal of federal employment discrimination claims when the plaintiff could not be found, was uncooperative, or rejected a fair remedy. Instead, under the new rule, the EEOC authorizes dismissal of a charge only when the agency finds no rational basis for the claim, or the charge does not state a claim on which relief can be granted.

B. Race

The Eleventh Circuit and a federal district court in the Eleventh Circuit each decided one notable race discrimination case in 2008. In *Goldsmith v. Bagby Elevator Co.*, the court ruled that an African-American fired after refusing to sign a waiver of an EEOC charge pending against his employer, established a causal relation between the filing of plaintiff’s complaint of discrimination and his dismissal, especially since a white employee, who refused to sign a waiver, was given an opportunity to reconsider. By contrast, the Eleventh Circuit ruled in another case that the employer was entitled to summary judgment owing to plaintiff’s failure “to establish a prima facie case of rac[ial] discrimination.” The plaintiff was unable to show that similarly situated employees were treated differently or that she was qualified for the job she lost. Even if plaintiff did establish a prima facie
case, she failed to show that the defendant’s non-discriminatory reason for her dismissal was pretextual. In *Davis v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co.*, the Eleventh Circuit, along with three other circuits, rejected the EEOC’s view that “a pattern or practice claim may be brought [either] as an individual action or a class action as the plaintiff chooses.”

A Miami-Dade jury, in 2008, ruled that “Florida International University discriminated against a black employee when it reorganized his department and fired him in 2004.”

A former North Miami Police Chief filed a complaint with the EEOC in 2007, “saying she was fired out of retaliation for filing previous complaints with the same board. . . . She claimed she was discriminated against based on race and gender.”

Presidential candidates John McCain and Barack Obama disagree on the issue of affirmative action in employment. While McCain backs “an effort to end state and locally run minority preferences . . . Obama say[s] policies that consider race need to continue.”

C. Gender, Same-Sex, Transsexuals

Since the 1960's, “a number of developments [provide] more opportunities for pregnancy leave, paid and unpaid, and increased protections for pregnant women against job discrimination.” But, a backlash has recently emerged from employees without children who oppose special benefits for pregnant workers and employees with children. “‘Childless singles feel put upon, taken for granted and exploited—whether because of fewer benefits, less compensation, longer hours, mandatory overtime or less flexible schedules or leaves—by married and child-rearing co-workers . . . .”
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451. *Id.* at 967 n.25, 969 n.30 (concluding that a pattern or practice claim against employer not filed as class action was properly dismissed).
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Three cases from the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit have addressed the prima facie case for proving sexual harassment.\(^\text{459}\) In *Reeves v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*,\(^\text{460}\) the court ruled that "harassment in the form of offensive language can be 'based on' the plaintiff's membership in a protected group even when the plaintiff was not the target of the language and other employees were equally exposed to the language."\(^\text{461}\) In a second decision, the court found that a "[c]ounty exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct any . . . harassing behavior, and that [the plaintiff] unreasonably failed to take advantage of [the] employers [sic] corrective measures or to avoid any harm to her."\(^\text{462}\) In addition, the plaintiff failed to demonstrate that failure to transfer plaintiff to a school resource officer position at a certain middle school was in retaliation for plaintiff's complaints about workplace harassment.\(^\text{463}\) In *Dar Dar v. Associated Outdoor Club, Inc.*,\(^\text{464}\) the court ruled that "two sexually inappropriate comments and two incidents" when a woman's buttocks was touched, were no more serious than conduct deemed insufficient to constitute hostile work environment in governing precedent.\(^\text{465}\)

In 2008, Attorney General Michael B. Mukasey signed a new equal employment opportunity policy that bans discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation at the Department of Justice.\(^\text{466}\) Moreover, an organization for gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender employees and contractors of the Department of Justice received permission to use department bulletin boards and other avenues of communication.\(^\text{467}\)

In 2007, Congress considered a measure, the Employment Non-Discrimination Act (ENDA),\(^\text{468}\) "which would put bias involving sexual orientation and gender identity in the workplace on the same legal footing as

\(^{459}\) See generally Reeves v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., 525 F.3d 1139 (11th Cir. 2008); Webb-Edwards v. Orange County Sheriff's Office, 525 F.3d 1013 (11th Cir. 2008); Dar Dar v. Assoc. Outdoor Club, Inc., 248 F. App'x. 82 (11th Cir. 2007).

\(^{460}\) 525 F.3d at 1139.

\(^{461}\) Id. at 1143. Daily exposure to male-co-workers' use of "bitch" to refer to women was pervasive enough to be actionable. Id. at 1141, 1147.

\(^{462}\) Webb-Edwards v. Orange County Sheriff's Office, 525 F.3d 1013, 1016 (11th Cir. 2008).

\(^{463}\) Id. at 1028.

\(^{464}\) 248 F. App'x 82 (11th Cir. 2007).

\(^{465}\) Id. at 85–86 (citing Gupta v. Fla. Bd. of Regents, 212 F.3d 571, 585 (11th Cir. 2000)).


\(^{467}\) See Darryl Fears, Attorney General Reverses Curbs on Gay Group at Justice Department, WASH. POST, Feb. 5, 2008, at A17.

discrimination on the basis of race, color, gender, religion, national origin, age and disability." 469 Thirty-one states do not ban sexual orientation discrimination in employment. 470 Before the United States' House passed ENDA by a 235-184 vote, 471 however, the provision protecting transgendered employees was eliminated, "fractur[ing] the nation's gay organizations." 472

Some courts accord transgendered workers protection under Title VII under the doctrine of sexual stereotyping developed by the United States Supreme Court in Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins, 473 which outlaws discrimination against an employee based on "stereotyped notions of appropriate female [or male] appearance and behavior." 474

In 2008, Broward County made "it illegal to deny housing or jobs to transgender or pregnant residents." 475 In 2007, "Oakland Park became the eighth city in Florida to enact legal protections for transgendered people. West Palm Beach, Miami Beach, Gulfport, Tequesta, Key West, Largo, and Lake Worth each have included gender identity and expression in their policies." 476

In 2008, gay-rights groups rated eighty-eight hospitals "on how they treat[ed] same-sex partners." 477

D. Age Discrimination

In 2008, the United States Supreme Court handed down four decisions involving age discrimination in employment. In Federal Express Corp. v. Holowecki, 478 the Court ruled that any document filed with the EEOC that may fairly be read as a request for agency action to safeguard a worker's rights, or otherwise resolve a dispute with the employer, counts as a "charge" that triggers the waiting period for filing a suit under the Age Discrimination

---

470. Id.
in Employment Act (ADEA).\textsuperscript{479} In \textit{Kentucky Retirement Systems v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission},\textsuperscript{480} the Court ruled that a public pension plan that intentionally affords differing amounts of retirement benefits to employees based on their age does not violate the ADEA.\textsuperscript{481} In \textit{Sprint/United Management Co. v. Mendelsohn},\textsuperscript{482} the Court ruled that evidence introduced by an ADEA plaintiff that other workers at the firm sustained age bias by bosses outside of plaintiff’s supervisory chain is “neither \textit{per se} admissible nor \textit{per se} inadmissible.”\textsuperscript{483} In \textit{Gomez-Perez v. Potter},\textsuperscript{484} the Court ruled that federal employees may bring retaliation claims based on age even though the ADEA is silent on the issue.\textsuperscript{485}

Since 1960, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has imposed mandatory retirement for airline pilots at age sixty.\textsuperscript{486} In 2007, thirty House members asked the FAA to waive its age cap for one year while Congress framed legislation “to raise the mandatory retirement age.”\textsuperscript{487} On December 13, 2007, the United States House of Representatives approved legislation, the Fair Treatment for Experienced Pilots Act, raising the mandatory retirement age for airline pilots to sixty-five from age sixty.\textsuperscript{488}

In 2008, House and Senate Democrats introduced the Civil Rights Act of 2008, aimed at entitling state employees the right to sue their state employers for damages for alleged age discrimination.\textsuperscript{489}

In 2007, the EEOC published a final rule amending 29 C.F.R. part 1625 to reflect that the ADEA does not bar employers from favoring older workers over younger ones, even if all employees are older than forty.\textsuperscript{490} In 2007, the EEOC issued a final rule permitting employers to alter, reduce, or eliminate retiree health benefits once a retiree becomes eligible “for Medicare or com-

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{479} Id. at 1159.
\item \textsuperscript{480} 128 S. Ct. 2361 (2008).
\item \textsuperscript{481} Id. at 2370.
\item \textsuperscript{482} 128 S. Ct. 1140 (2008).
\item \textsuperscript{483} Id. at 1143.
\item \textsuperscript{484} 128 S. Ct. 1931 (2008).
\item \textsuperscript{485} See id. at 1937.
\item \textsuperscript{486} \textit{House Members Request One-Year Waiver of FAA’s Age 60 Limit for Commercial Pilots}, 76 U.S.L.W. 2037 (2007).
\item \textsuperscript{487} Id.
\end{itemize}
parable[s]tate health benefits program[s]" without committing age discrimination.\footnote{491}

In 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit ruled, in Van Voorhis v. Hillsborough County Board of County Commissioners,\footnote{492} that plaintiffs may establish disparate treatment either by direct or circumstantial evidence.\footnote{493}

E. Disability Discrimination

In 2008, the House of Representatives passed a measure aimed at overturning Murphy v. United Parcel Service, Inc.,\footnote{494} by instructing courts not to "consider the effects of 'mitigating measures'" in assessing whether a worker is disabled.\footnote{495} In addition, the proposed legislation would delete the words "'substantially limits'" and replace it with "'materially restricts' a major life activity," rendering far more workers disabled under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).\footnote{496}

In 2008, President Bush signed a law that prohibits genetic discrimination in employment.\footnote{497} The Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act bars employers from dismissing, refusing to hire, or in any way targeting employees on grounds of genetic information.\footnote{498} The law bans the gathering of genetic information by employers but permits workplace genetic testing only in specified circumstances, such as monitoring the harmful effects of toxic workplace exposures.\footnote{499}

In 2008, the EEOC issued an opinion letter discouraging periodic medical exams for city bus drivers whose job, unlike police officers and firefighters, "does not exist for the [key] purpose of [shielding] the public from outside harm."\footnote{500}
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500. EEOC Notes Issues in Periodic Medical Exams for City Bus Drivers, Says Other Options Exist, 76 U.S.L.W. 2633 (2008).}
In 2007, a New York Times editorial bemoaned the fact that "[i]t still takes almost half a year for the average veteran's claim for disability benefits to be decided in a tortuous process that can involve four separate hearings . . . [and urged] wholesale changes in the veterans' benefit system, which hasn't been modernized since 1945."  

A 2007 study found that chronic diseases like "diabetes and hypertension cost the" United States economy over one trillion dollars annually in lost productivity. Another study found that "[e]mployers who screen and guide depressed [employees] through treatment [programs enjoy] an average of three extra weeks of productivity" from such employees annually. Finally, a 2007 study found that seven percent of full-time employees suffered from depression, women were more likely to suffer from it, and that younger employees scored "higher rates of depression than older" workers. Three categories of workers battled depression the most: "11 percent of personal-care workers," 10.3 percent of "[w]orkers who prepare and serve food," and 9.6 percent of healthcare and social workers.

Three Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals cases dealt with disability issues in 2007. In Sheely v. MRI Radiology Network, P.A., relying on Title VI and Spending Clause precedents, the court ruled that victims of intentional discrimination may recover non-economic damages under the Rehabilitation Act. In Smith v. Olin Corp., the court ruled that in a retaliation case, in assessing the proximity of an adverse employment activity to a protected activity, the causal connection analysis runs from the date of the latest protected activity, and not from the earliest ADA protected activity. In Littleton v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., the court noted a circuit split over

---

504. Kevin Freking, Depression Hits Care Workers Hardest, MIAMI HERALD, Oct. 14, 2007, at 3A.
505. Id.
506. See generally Littleton v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 231 F. App'x 874 (11th Cir. 2007) (per curiam); Sheely v. MRI Radiology Network, P.A., 505 F.3d 1173 (11th Cir. 2007); Smith v. Olin Corp., No. 06-15830 (11th Cir. May 23, 2007).
507. 505 F.3d at 1173.
508. Id. at 1191–92 (allowing recovery of emotional distress damages).
509. No. 06-15830 (11th Cir. May 23, 2007).
511. 231 F. App'x at 874.
whether interaction with others, or social interaction, amounts to a "‘major life activit[y]’ under the ADA." 512

F. Religion

In 2008, “[t]he Bush administration wants to require all recipients of aid under federal health programs to certify that they will not refuse to hire nurses and other providers who object to abortion and even certain types of birth control.” 513 "Such certification would also be required of state and local governments, forbidden to discriminate, in areas like grant-making, against hospitals, and other institutions that have policies against providing abortion.” 514

G. Veterans

“Many veterans have a hard time transitioning from the military life into civilian work.” 515 One survey “found that 76 percent of veterans felt unable to effectively translate their military skills in civilian terms and 72 percent felt unprepared to negotiate a salary.” 516

Under the Federal Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA), 517 employers are prohibited “from Discriminating against military personnel.” 518 In 2008, “the Justice Department settled a class-action USERRA suit against American Airlines over allegations the company didn’t allow 353 pilots to accrue vacation time and sick-leave benefits while on military leave.” 519 In 2007, “[t]he Labor Department opened 1,366 USERRA cases . . . [o]f those, 75 were in Florida, down from 81 in 2006.” 520 In 2007, “[t]he Defense Department’s Employer Support for the Guard and Reserve, which mediates disputes between employers and reservists, reported having 100 cases in Florida.” 521 “A Pentagon survey of reservists in 2005–2006 . . . found that 44 percent [of returning troops] said they
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were dissatisfied with how the Labor Department handled their complaint of employment discrimination based on their military status, up from 27 percent in 2004."522

In 2007, the United States House Veterans’ Affairs Subcommittee on Economic Opportunity questioned “whether federal money dedicated to finding vets employment is being spent wisely and fairly.”523 The Labor Department “runs a separate Web campaign called HireVetsFirst that aims to raise employer awareness about the value of hiring veterans.”524

H. Remedies

The Class Action Fairness Act of 2005,525 aimed at removing most class action suits from state courts to federal courts where it was thought such suits would be assessed more objectively.526 A 2006 study found that CAFA had an immediate effect and time-series analysis show statistically significant increases in class action filings and removals after the effective date of CAFA for certain natures of suit.527

A measure introduced in both houses of Congress, the 2008 Civil Rights Act,528 would, among other things, eliminate the cap on Title VII damage awards and curtail the use of mandatory arbitration clauses in individual employment contracts.529

Under the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA),530 a court must confirm an arbitration award unless it is vacated or modified on grounds such as fraud in procuring the award or arbitrator partiality.531 In 2008, resolving a circuit split, the United States Supreme Court ruled, in Hall Street Associates, L.L.C. v. Mattel, Inc.,532 that the FAA’s grounds for vacating and modifying
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526. Id. § 2.
529. Id. § 423.
531. See id. §§ 9–10.
an arbitration ruling are exclusive and may not be expanded by agreement of parties seeking judicial review. 533

In 2008, the Eleventh Circuit handed down two decisions involving remedies. 534 In Advanced Bodycare Solutions, L.L.C. v. Thione International, Inc. 535 the court ruled that mediation is not arbitration for purposes of compelling the mediation process under the FAA, in contrast with several district court rulings that mediation contracts are enforceable under the FAA. 536 In Davis, the court joined three other circuits in rejecting the EEOC's view "that a pattern or practice claim may be brought [either] as an individual action or [as] a class action as the plaintiff chooses." 537

VI. PUBLIC SECTOR UNIONS

"[U]nion membership as a share of the total work force rose [in 2007] for the first time in a quarter-century, inching up to 12.1 percent from 12 percent the year before. A total of 7.5 percent of private-sector workers were in unions, and 35.9 percent of public-sector workers." 538

Surprisingly, "George W. Bush is in line to be the first president since World War II to preside over an economy in which federal government employment rose more rapidly than employment in the private sector." 539 "Under [Bush], federal job growth has averaged 0.73 percent per year, but employment rolls at state and local governments have grown even more rapidly, at rates of 0.88 percent for state governments and 1.21 percent for local governments." 540

According to a 2007 study, the ranks of tenured professors is thinning out as the ranks of part-time instructors and contract professors have grown, owing largely to administrators' need for greater "flexibility in hiring, firing and changing course offerings." 541 In response, the American Federation of
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Teachers is supporting measures “in 11 states to [require] that 75 percent of classes be taught by tenured or tenure-track teachers.”

After five bargaining sessions over raises between the Miami-Dade teachers’ union and the school district, little progress toward a compromise was made. The union “declared an impasse in negotiations,” triggering a procedure whereby “a state-appointed special magistrate . . . will issue a nonbinding opinion . . . . The School Board will then have to decide whether to accept the magistrate’s recommendation about the raises.”

The Police Benevolent Association (PBA) has represented Broward Sheriff’s Office “deputies for more than a decade,” but that may change as deputies vote over whether to stay with the PBA or to switch to the International Union of Police Associations, a rival public union promising “smoother relations between management and the rank and file.”

VII. CONCLUSION

This survey merely skims the tip of the iceberg of Florida public employment law in 2007–08. Every stage of employment, from hiring, to the terms of employment, to discipline and retaliation against whistleblowers, to employment discrimination, creates a wide array of legal issues at the federal, state, and local levels. As evidenced by the pervasive citation to news articles, public sector employment invites widespread media attention, and news stories provide a wealth of insight and supplements the usual source of legal precedent: constitutional, statutory, regulatory, administrative, and the common law.
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