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A new moderately repetitive DNA sequence family of novel organization
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ABSTRACT
In cloning adenovirus homologous sequences, from a human cosmid library, we identified a moderately repetitive DNA sequence family consisting of tandem arrays of 2.5 kb members. A member was sequenced and several non-adjacent, 15-20 bp G-C rich segments with homology to the left side of adenovirus were discovered. The copy number of 400 members is highly conserved among humans. Southern blots of partial digests of human DNA have verified the tandem array of the sequence family. The chromosomal location was defined by somatic cell genetics and in situ hybridization. Tandem arrays are found only on chromosomes 4 (4q31) and 19 (q13.1-q13.3). Homologous repetitive sequences are found in DNA of other primates but not in cat or mouse. Thus we have identified a new family of moderately repetitive DNA sequences, unique because of its organization in clustered tandem arrays, its length, its chromosomal location, and its lack of homology to other moderately repetitive sequence families.

INTRODUCTION
The homology between retroviral oncogenes and essential cellular proto-oncogenes has attracted considerable interest. There is little evidence that important elements of DNA viruses have corresponding cellular homologues. Prior studies had suggested no homology or a diffuse pattern of hybridization when Southern blots of human DNA were probed with adenovirus. (1,2) Recently Karlsson et al. reported discrete human DNA fragments having homology to adenovirus (3). We have cloned one of these fragments and found that it is part of a new moderately repetitive sequence family. Its hybridization to adenovirus DNA proved to be based on 15-20 nucleotide highly G-C rich segments, present in high copy number at a single position on the Southern blot.

Moderately repetitive DNA sequences comprise approximately 30% of the human genome. (4) Most such sequences are organized into families the individual members of which are widely dispersed within single copy DNA (see ref. 5 and 6 for reviews) whereas certain repetitive sequences are clustered. The Alu and Kpn I families represent the prototypes of short interspersed sequences (SINES) and long interspersed sequences (LINES), respectively. Individual Alu members are approximately 300 bps whereas Kpn I members vary up to as much as 6100 bps (7,8). Dispersed repetitive DNA sequences are not conserved during evolution but in a single species, indi-
Individual family members show high homology suggesting some mechanisms for homogenization. RNA homologous to dispersed moderately repetitive DNA sequences is present in most or all cells, but the function of these families is unknown. (9-15) In contrast, clustered moderately repetitive sequences are highly conserved during evolution and encode essential cellular products, e.g. ribosomal RNAs, (16-18) small nuclear RNAs, (19-20) and histones. (21-23) The family we have discovered occurs in clustered arrays but is not conserved during evolution and therefore may be distinguished from previously described moderately repetitive DNA sequences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Restriction endonucleases and sequencing kits were obtained from Bethesda Research Laboratories, [32P] dCTP, [32P]dGTP and [35S]dATP from Amersham. Deoxynucleotides were from Pharmacia Inc. and Bal 31 was obtained from International Biotechnologies Inc.

Southern Blot

Analysis was by standard techniques. (24) Hybridization was done in 3X SSC at 65°C. Four washes, each thirty minutes, were in 0.1X SSC at 55°C.

Cloning of the Adenovirus Homologous Sequences

Approximately 400,000 colonies of a human cosmid library (25) were screened using a modification of techniques of Grosveld et al. (26) and Woods. (27) Twenty ml of the library diluted to a concentration equivalent to 2000 colonies/ml were filtered onto each nitrocellulose filter through a modified Buchner Funnel. Replica filters were made and processed as described except that after lysis with NaOH they were allowed to dry for 5 minutes before neutralization with Tris/NaCl. Hybridization conditions were the same as in Southern blot analysis.

Sequencing

An isolated SstI repeat member initially cloned into pUC 12 was sequenced, using a modification of the method described by Poncz et al. (28) to construct a set of nested fragments. These were recloned into M13 and sequenced by the method of Sanger. (29) A BRL sequencing kit was used substituting [35S]dATP for [35P]dATP. The reaction was run on an ionic gradient acrylamide gel as suggest by the manufacturer.

In Situ Hybridization

The procedure followed was essentially that of Harper and Saunders. (30)

Statistical Analysis

Triplicate dot blots prepared with DNA samples from eleven subjects with diverse ethnic background were probed with isolated Alu, Kpnl, or SstI repeat members. The spots were cut out and counted in a scintillation counter. The respective Dpms were converted to logarithms and the deviations from the expected value were calculated. An analysis of variance was performed; the source of variation estimated to be interaction using Tukey's procedure (31) was 0.001 (ldf.) compared to a residual mean square of 0.01.
RESULTS

Human DNA Contains Adenovirus Homologous Sequences in Several Fragments

Human DNA derived from tissues or cell lines (K562, HL-60, HEL, and HeLa), when digested with Sst I and probed with adenovirus, yielded discrete bands of 12, 8.5, and 2.5 kilobases (kb) (Figure 1a). One individual appeared to be homozygous for a restriction fragment length polymorphism in that the 12kb band was replaced by one of 9.5 kb. The possibility that the DNA samples were contaminated with adenovirus DNA was considered but seemed highly unlikely based on this apparent polymorphism in one sample. The adenovirus genome was resolved into three fragments by digestion with Sal I followed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

The 5' 9 kb segment annealed to the 2.5 kb band (Figure 1B), the middle 7 kb segment did not reveal discrete bands and the 3' 20 kb annealed to the 12 and 8.5 kb bands (data not shown). The 5' fragment contains the coding sequences for proteins involved in DNA replication, transcriptional control, and cell transformation and therefore we chose to clone the sequences homologous to the fragment.

Fig. 1. (a) Southern blots of human genomic DNA probed with the entire adenovirus 5 genome. Locations of Standard Molecular weight markers are indicated at the left. Lanes are as follows: (1) K562, (2) HeLa, (3), (4), (5) normal humans. (b) The same filter washed free of adenovirus 5 probe and tested by 24 hr. exposure was reprobed with the 5' 9 kb of adenovirus 2.
The 2.5 kb Band Reflects Hybridization of Adenovirus to Members of a New Moderately Repetitive Sequence Family

Fragments containing sequences homologous to the 5' 9 kb adenovirus segment were isolated from a human cosmid library. When purified DNA from a positive clone was restricted with either Sst I or Pvu II, a 2.5 kb fragment was released in vast molar excess (Figure 2a) suggesting the possibility of a tandem array. Consistent with this interpretation is the fact that Hind III and EcoR I released fragments of greater than 25 kb from which we infer that the 2.5 kb fragments released by Sst I or Pvu II lack EcoR I or Hind III sites. Partial digestion with Sst I verified the tandem array (Figure 2b). BamH I sites are present within the tandemly repeated 2.5 kb fragment as indicated by the presence of smaller fragments in molar excess (figure 2a - lane 3).

The tandem array of the 2.5 kb Sst I fragment was shown to be present in genomic DNA. One of the Sst I fragments from the cosmid clone was sub-cloned into pUC12 and used as a probe in Southern blots of human DNA, partially restricted with Sst I (Figure 3). Predicted bands of 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, 10.0, 12.5, 15, and 17.5 kb could easily be recognized, providing proof for the tandem array of this sequence in normal cellular DNA.

The sequence of the 2.5 kb Sst I fragment that had been sub-cloned was determined and is present in Figure 4a. A computer assisted search against adenovirus DNA failed to reveal any long segments of homology. The truncated fragments that had been generated for sequencing were probed with the 5' 9 kb adenovirus fragment in dot blots. The results indicated that the annealing segment was located between nucleotide 1000 and 1200 (data not shown). This region is extremely G-C rich and contains several (14-20) nucleotide segments nearly identical to similar non-adjacent segments in the 5' end of the adenovirus genome. (fig. 4b) The $T_m$ for several of the homologous segments was calculated as greater than 55° C and one has a $T_m$ of greater than 65° C. We interpret the original "positive" signal on the Southern blot as reflecting (1) annealing of the short G-C segments and (2) the high copy number of the 2.5 kb fragment.

Appropriate computer analysis failed to reveal internal or inverted repeats within the 2.5 kb Sst I fragment. Furthermore, we found no homology to any known unique or repetitive DNA sequences including members of the Alu or Kpn I families and the genes for histones, or ribosomal or small nuclear RNA's. Thus the isolated 2.5 kb repeat is a member of a new moderately repetitive sequence family. We have designated these sequences the Sst I family.

Fig. 2 (a) Isolated cosmId clone was digested with several enzymes. Digests were run on a 1% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. Lane (1) molecular weight marker (2) Sst I (3) Pvu II (4) BamH I (5) EcoR I (6) Hind III. (b) Southern blot of Sst I partial digest of isolated cosmId clone probed with 5' 9 kb of adenovirus 2 The persistent 10 kb bands represents pHG79 vector which is not cut by Sst I joined at Mbo I site to an internal fragment of Sst I repeat.
Members of the SstI Family Are Clustered At Only Two Chromosomal Locations

In order to determine the chromosomal location of the Sst I tandemly repeated members, a panel of 45 previously characterized rodent-human lymphocyte somatic cell hybrids was examined. The DNA from the hybrid cells were digested with Sst I and subjected to Southern analysis with the sub-cloned and sequenced 2.5 kb Sst I fragment. 30 cell lines were found to contain the 2.5 kb Sst I fragment whereas the remaining 15 cell lines were negative. Analysis of the discordancies between the presence or absence of the Sst I 2.5 kb fragment and the presence or absence of

Fig. 3 Southern blot of Sst I partial digest of normal human DNA probed with an isolated member of Sst I repeat. Fragment sizes were estimated by semilog plots against standard molecular weight markers.
specific human chromosomes in the hybrid cell lines failed to yield convincing data.

Localization was therefore approached through in situ hybridization (figure 5). A total of 125 grains were distributed throughout the chromosomes of the 56 cells examined. Of this total, a significant number of grains were found to be localized along two different chromosomes; 29 grains fell over chromosome 4 (7-8 expected; p<0.001 and 29 grains along chromosome 19 (2-4 expected; p< 0.001). Twenty-three of the 29 grains along chromosome 4 were found in region q28-q31 while 29 of the grains along chromosome 19 occurred in the region q13.1-q13.3. Subsequently when the somatic cell hybrid data was reevaluated, considering chromosomes 14 and 19 together, a 95% concordance was obtained confirming the in situ data. A graphic display of this data appears in figure 6. Thus the vast majority of the Sst I family members are found at these two chromosomal locations.

DNA from Several Individuals Contains Approximately 400 Copies of the Sst I Family

Variable numbers of short tandemly repeated sequences may form the basis for restriction fragment length polymorphisms. (18,33,34). We wondered whether an analogous dose polymorphism might exist within the Sst I fragment among humans as its members are present in tandem array and therefore might be susceptible to expansion or contraction by homologous, but unequal recombination. This hypothesis was investigated by probing dot blots of DNA from several individuals of various ethnic groups with the Sst I family member, a Kpn I family member and an Alu family member. The latter two families are dispersed and therefore likely to be present in equivalent copy number in individual humans. We found that the signal intensities generated with the three probes, when compared to one another, were perfectly proportional. Thus there is no evidence for dose polymorphism for the Sst I family.

The copy number of the Sst I family was estimated by comparison of the signal intensities generated with the Sst I and Kpn I fragment probes. The Kpn I fragment probe was derived from the 5' end of the 6.1 kb repeat downstream from the beta globin gene; there are approximately 4000 copies of this portion of the repeat in the human genome. By comparison of the signal intensities, we concluded that the Sst I family has approximately 400 members.

DISCUSSION

The Sst I repeat family is clearly distinct from other repetitive DNA by virtue of its clustered tandem array, location, and lack of sequence homology to known repetitive sequences (Table 1). It resembles dispersed repetitive DNA in that it is not conserved in evolution. Of the homogeneity of the Sst I family we know only that it's length is constant and that Sst I, Pvu II and BamH I sites are preserved. The Sst I family is similar to the set of clustered multigene families in its structure but differs in that it has no known function.
The absence of any known function for an amplified sequence makes it
difficult to determine if the sequence has been preserved through natural
selection at the organismal level or is merely an example of selfish DNA.
(45-49) The theory of selfish or parasitic DNA has grown out of opposition
to the tendency of ascribing phenotypic benefit, either immediate or
evolutionary, to classes of repetitive DNA. It rests on the reasoning that
if the only selection pressure DNA encounters is to survive within cells,
that sequences will arise whose only function is self preservation.
Whether this class of amplified DNA is truly unnecessary to the organism, a
by-product of essential operations of recombination and amplification, or a
pool from which new genes can arise through mutation and recombination is a
matter for speculation. Roberts and Axel (50) have shown that an essential
gene transfected into eukaryotic cells is amplified as a cluster under
selective conditions. They have furthermore demonstrated the existence of
a nonreciprocal process of gene conversion which can maintain the
homogeneity of this amplified family. Gene conversion in higher eukaryotes
has been implicated in homogenization of small repeated families such as
encode hemoglobins, immunoglobulins, and the proteins of the major
histocompatibility loci. (51-54) It is not known if it influences
repetitive DNA in general although reciprocal recombination between
partially homologous repeats has been used in computer modeling to predict
an intrinsic tendency towards simple periodicity in DNA under nonselective
conditions. (55) The possibility that gene conversion also occurs in
moderately repetitive DNA (56) makes evaluation of a repetitive family
without known function all the more difficult.
There is both evidence for and against the Sst I repeat family
encoding a protein. Analysis of the sequenced member shows several open
reading frames of approximately 600 bp and one greater than 700 bp (Table
2). Simple probability theory predicts a 1 in 20 chance of finding a stop
codon at any one point in a random sequence of DNA. This should preclude
such relatively long open reading frames in unselected DNA. Whether in
actuality random sequences of DNA exist with open reading frames of this
size is not known. A search for control signals has failed to show typical
RNA polymerase II or III control sequences. On the other hand all pol II
dependent transcripts do not require a TATA box and deviation from a
consensus sequence is not uncommon. Preliminary Northern blots have in
fact shown an RNA fragment of 6 kb size which anneals to the Sst I family
member (unpublished observations).
Other intriguing information relevant to the Sst I repeat includes the
presence of a constitutive fragile site at 4q31. (57) This is interesting
in view of the tandem array structure and the theoretical possibility for

Fig. 4 (a) Sequence of isolated Sst I repeat member. The region between
1001 and 1078 contains short G-C rich segments homologous to
nonadjacent segments of the left end of adenovirus 2. (b) Some of
the short G-C segments in the Sst I repeat member homologous to the
left side of the adenovirus genome. The numbers indicate the
position in the sequence. * indicates a mismatch, + indicates the
complement of the sequence as it appears in figure 4a.
Fig. 5  Localization of Sst I repeat member using in situ hybridization. (a) Histogram of the distribution of 125 grains from 56 metaphase cells. (b) Ideograms depicting grain distributions along chromosomes 4 and 19. (c) Q banded metaphase cell with both members of pair 4 labeled (arrows). (d) A series of chromosome 4 (upper row) and chromosome 19 (lower row) from several different Q banded cells demonstrating typical labeling pattern.
Fig. 6  Analysis of a hybrid panel of 45 rodent-human somatic cell hybrids for the presence or absence of 23 human chromosomes and of 2.5 kb St I fragment. The last entry on the X axis shows the % discordance of the 2.5 kb fragment vs. human chromosome 4 and/or 19.

Table 1. Families of Dispersed and Clustered Repetitive DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeat</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Copy Number</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alu</td>
<td>approx. 300 bp</td>
<td>5 x 10⁵</td>
<td>Prototype of SINEs. Recent evidence suggests SINE families are derived from mammalian tRNA genes.</td>
<td>35-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kpn</td>
<td>Avg. 2 kb longest-6.1 kb</td>
<td>4000 - 50,000</td>
<td>Prototype of LINEs.</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>40 bp</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Present in all eukaryotic DNA.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>373-435</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Recent evidence suggests G repeats may be solitary LINES. Some are linked to longer transposon-like elements.</td>
<td>6,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1</td>
<td>164 bp</td>
<td>30-125 bon- alide genes 10 fold greater pseudogenes</td>
<td>Role in splicing of mRNA precursors. Genes are loosely clustered with intergenic distances greater than 15 kb. Majority of bonalide U1 genes are on chromosome 1. Pseudogenes are dispersed throughout the human genome.</td>
<td>38-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2</td>
<td>188 bp coding region im- bedded in 6 kb repeat unit</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>Role in splicing of mRNA precursors. Bonalide U2 genes organized in a tandem array on chromosome 17 bands q21-22.</td>
<td>19-20, 42-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal</td>
<td>18S repeat approx. 31 kb is non- transcribed spacer</td>
<td>150-300</td>
<td>Arranged in tandem clusters located in the satellite regions of acrocentric chromosomes 13, 14, 15, 21, 22.</td>
<td>16-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone</td>
<td>30-40 copies</td>
<td></td>
<td>A cluster of H₁ coding sequence localized to the long arm of chromosome 1. Other clusters containing H₂, H₃, H₄, and H₅ with or without H₁ have been assigned to chromosomes 1, 6 and 12.</td>
<td>21-23, 64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Does not include the set of endogenous retroviral like elements whose identifying characteristics exclude them from this discussion.
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crossover. It does not fit with either the lack of any known transposition between 4q31 and 19q 13.1-q13.3 or the conservation of dose that we have indicated. Recent findings that adenoviral 12 sensitive sites correspond to clusters of tandem arrays of U1 and U2 genes on chromosome 1 and 17 (10) suggest other possible consequences for tandem arrays. Of note are studies of somatic cell hybrids that suggest the human cell susceptibility to Coxsackie B3 virus is determined by a locus on chromosome 19(58) as is polio virus. (59) Echo virus, (60) an ^ baboon virus. (61)
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