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Our concern is characterizing derivatives of solutions to the second order nonlocal boundary value problem

\[ y'' = f(x, y, y'), \quad a < x < b, \quad (1) \]

satisfying

\[ y(x_1) = y_1, \quad y(x_2) + \int_c^d r y(x) \, dx = y_2, \quad (2) \]

where \( a < x_1 < c < d < x_2 < b \), and \( y_1, y_2, r \in \mathbb{R} \) with respect to the boundary parameters.
Definition

Given a solution $y(x)$ of (1), we define the *variational equation along* $y(x)$ by

$$z'' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}(x, y(x), y'(x))z + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_2}(x, y(x), y'(x))z'. \quad (3)$$
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We require that

(i) \( f(x, u_1, u_2) : (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous,

(ii) for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}(x, u_1, u_2) : (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous, and

(iii) solutions of initial value problems for (1) extend to \((a, b)\).

(iii) is not a necessary condition but lets us avoid continually making statements about maximal intervals of existence inside \((a, b)\).
(iv) Given \( a < x_1 < c < d < x_2 < b \) and \( r \in \mathbb{R} \), if
\[
y(x_1) = z(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad y(x_2) + \int_c^d ry(x) \, dx = z(x_2) + \int_c^d ry(x) \, dx\]
where \( y(x) \) and \( z(x) \) are solutions of (1), then, on \((a, b)\),
\[
y(x) \equiv z(x).
\]
(v) Given $a < x_1 < c < d < x_2 < b$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and a solution $y(x)$ of (1), if $u(x_1) = 0$ and 
$u(x_2) + \int_{c}^{d} r y(x) dx = 0$, where $u(x)$ is a solution of (3) along $y(x)$, then, on $(a, b)$,

$$u(x) \equiv 0.$$
Assume that, with respect to (1), conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied. Let $x_0 \in (a, b)$ and $y(x) := y(x, x_0, c_1, c_2)$ denote the solution of (1) satisfying the initial conditions $y(x_0) = c_1$, $y'(x_0) = c_2$. 
Theorem

Assume that, with respect to (1), conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied. Let \( x_0 \in (a, b) \) and \( y(x) := y(x, x_0, c_1, c_2) \) denote the solution of (1) satisfying the initial conditions \( y(x_0) = c_1, y'(x_0) = c_2 \). Then,

(a) for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_i}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\), and

\[ \alpha_i(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_i}(x) \] is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the respective initial conditions

\[ \alpha_1(x_0) = 1, \quad \alpha_1'(x_0) = 0, \]

\[ \alpha_2(x_0) = 0, \quad \alpha_2'(x_0) = 1. \]
\( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_0}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\), and \( \beta(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_0}(x) \) is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the initial conditions

\[ \beta(x_0) = -y'(x_0), \quad \beta'(x_0) = -y''(x_0). \]
\( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_0}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\), and \( \beta(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_0}(x) \) is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the initial conditions

\[ \beta(x_0) = -y'(x_0), \quad \beta'(x_0) = -y''(x_0). \]

\( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_0}(x) = -y'(x_0) \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_1}(x) - y''(x_0) \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_2}(x). \)
Assume (i)-(iv) are satisfied with respect to (1). Let \( y(x) \) be a solution of (1) on \((a, b)\), and let \( a < \alpha < x_1 < c < d < x_2 < \beta < b \) and \( y_1, y_2, r \in \mathbb{R} \) be given. Then, there exists a \( \delta > 0 \) such that, for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( |x_i - t_i| < \delta \), \( |c - \xi| < \delta \), \( |d - \Delta| < \delta \), \( |r - \rho| < \delta \), \( |u(x_1) - y_1| < \delta \), and \( |u(x_2) + \int_{c}^{d} ru(x)dx - y_2| < \delta \), there exists a unique solution \( u_\delta(x) \) of (1) such that \( u_\delta(t_1) = y_1 \) and \( u_\delta(t_2) + \int_{\xi}^{\Delta} \rho u_\delta(x)dx = y_2 \) and, for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( \{u_\delta^{(i)}(x)\} \) converges uniformly to \( u^{(i)}(x) \) as \( \delta \to 0 \) on \([\alpha, \beta]\).
Continuous Dependence for BVPs

**Theorem**

Assume (i)-(iv) are satisfied with respect to (1). Let $y(x)$ be a solution of (1) on $(a, b)$, and let $a < \alpha < x_1 < c < d < x_2 < \beta < b$ and $y_1, y_2, r \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that, for $i = 1, 2$, $|x_i - t_i| < \delta$, $|c - \xi| < \delta$, $|d - \Delta| < \delta$, $|r - \rho| < \delta$, $|u(x_1) - y_1| < \delta$, and $|u(x_2) + \int_c^d ru(x)dx - y_2| < \delta$, there exists a unique solution $u_\delta(x)$ of (1) such that $u_\delta(t_1) = y_1$ and $u_\delta(t_2) + \int_\xi^\Delta \rho u_\delta(x)dx = y_2$ and, for $i = 1, 2$, $\{u_\delta^{(i)}(x)\}$ converges uniformly to $u^{(i)}(x)$ as $\delta \to 0$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

For a typical proof, we refer [5].
Main Result

Theorem

Assume conditions (i)-(v) are satisfied. Let $y(x)$ be a solution of (1) on $(a, b)$. Let $a < x_1 < c < d < x_2 < b$ and $y_1, y_2, r \in \mathbb{R}$ be given so that

$$y(x) = y(x, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, c, d, r),$$

where

$$y(x_1) = y_1, \quad y(x_2) + \int_c^d ry(x)dx = y_2.$$
Then,

(a) for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( u_i(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_i}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\) and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the respective boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
u_1(x_1) &= 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_1(x)\,dx = 0, \\
u_2(x_1) &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_2(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_2(x)\,dx = 1.
\end{align*}
\]
Then,

(a) for $i = 1, 2$, $u_i(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_i}(x)$ exists on $(a, b)$ and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along $y(x)$ satisfying the respective boundary conditions

$$u_1(x_1) = 1 \text{ and } u_1(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_1(x)dx = 0,$$

$$u_2(x_1) = 0 \text{ and } u_2(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_2(x)dx = 1.$$

(b) for $i = 1, 2$, $z_i(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i}(x)$ exists on $(a, b)$ and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along $y(x)$ satisfying the respective boundary conditions

$$z_1(x_1) = -y'(x_1) \text{ and } z_1(x_2) + \int_c^d rz_1(x)dx = 0,$$

$$z_2(x_1) = 0 \text{ and } z_2(x_2) + \int_c^d rz_2(x)dx = -y'(x_2).$$
(c) \( C(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial c}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\) and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[
C(x_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C(x_2) + \int_{c}^{d} rC(x) dx = -ry(c).
\]
(c) \( C(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial c}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\) and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \(y(x)\) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[
C(x_1) = 0 \text{ and } C(x_2) + \int_c^d rC(x)\,dx = -ry(c).
\]

(d) \( D(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial d}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\) and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \(y(x)\) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[
D(x_1) = 0 \text{ and } D(x_2) + \int_c^d rD(x)\,dx = ry(d).
\]
(c) \( C(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial c}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\) and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[
C(x_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C(x_2) + \int_c^d rC(x)\,dx = -ry(c).
\]

(d) \( D(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial d}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\) and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[
D(x_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D(x_2) + \int_c^d rD(x)\,dx = ry(d).
\]

(e) \( R(x) := \frac{\partial y}{\partial r}(x) \) exists on \((a, b)\) and is the solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[
R(x_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R(x_2) + \int_c^d rR(x)\,dx = -\int_c^d y(x)\,dx.
\]
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Denote $y(x, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, c, d, r)$ by $y(x, y_1)$.

Let $\delta > 0$ be as in the Continuous Dependence Theorem, $0 < |h| < \delta$ be given, and define the difference quotient

$$ u_{1h}(x) = \frac{1}{h}[y(x, y_1 + h) - y(x, y_1)]. $$
Note that for every $h \neq 0$,

$$u_{1h}(x_1) = \frac{1}{h} [y(x_1, y_1 + h) - y(x_1, y_1)] = \frac{1}{h} [y_1 + h - y_1] = 1$$
Note that for every $h \neq 0$,

$$u_{1h}(x_1) = \frac{1}{h} [y(x_1, y_1 + h) - y(x_1, y_1)] = \frac{1}{h} [y_1 + h - y_1] = 1$$

and

$$u_{1h}(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_{1h}(x)dx = \frac{1}{h} [y(x_2, y_1 + h) + \int_c^d ry(x, y_1 + h)dx - y(x_2, y_1) - \int_c^d ry(x, y_1)dx]$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} [y_2 - y_2] = 0.$$
We want to show that $u_{1h}(x)$ is a solution of the variational equation (3). So, we view $y(x)$ in terms of the solution of an initial value problem at $x_1$. 
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We want to show that $u_{1h}(x)$ is a solution of the variational equation (3). So, we view $y(x)$ in terms of the solution of an initial value problem at $x_1$.

Therefore, let

$$\mu = y'(x_1, y_1)$$

and

$$\nu = \nu(h) = y'(x_1, y_1 + h) - \mu.$$ 

Then,

$$y(x) = u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu),$$

and we have

$$u_{1h}(x) = \frac{1}{h}[u(x, x_1, y_1 + h, \mu + \nu) - u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu)].$$
Next, by utilizing a telescoping sum, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
u_{lh}(x) = & \frac{1}{h}[u(x, x_1, y_1 + h, \mu + \nu) - u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \nu) \\
+ & u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \nu) - u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu)].
\end{align*}
\]
Next, by utilizing a telescoping sum, we have

\[ u_{lh}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ u(x, x_1, y_1 + h, \mu + \nu) - u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \nu) 
+ u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \nu) - u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu) \right]. \]

By the Mean Value Theorem, we obtain

\[ u_{1h}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_1} (x, u(x, x_1, y_1 + \bar{h}, \mu + \nu))(y_1 + h - y_1) 
+ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu} (x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \bar{\nu}))(\mu + \nu - \mu) \right], \]

where \( y_1 + \bar{h} \) is between \( y_1 \) and \( y_1 + h \), and \( \mu + \bar{\nu} \) is between \( \mu \) and \( \mu + \nu \).
Furthermore, by Peano’s Theorem, we have

\[ u_{1h}(x) = \frac{1}{h}[\alpha_1(x, u(x, x_1, y_1 + \bar{h}, \mu + \nu)) \cdot h \]
\[ + \alpha_2(x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \bar{\nu})) \cdot \nu], \]

where for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( \alpha_i(x, y(\cdot)) \) is the solution of the variational equation (1) along \( u(\cdot) \) satisfying respectively

\[ \alpha_1(x_1) = 1, \quad \alpha'_1(x_1) = 0, \]
\[ \alpha_2(x_1) = 0, \quad \alpha'_2(x_1) = 1. \]
Simplifying,

\[ u_{1h}(x) = \alpha_1(x, u(x, x_1, y_1 + h, \mu + \nu)) + \frac{\nu}{h} \alpha_2(x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \nu)). \]
Simplifying,

\[ u_{1h}(x) = \alpha_1(x, u(x, x_1, y_1 + \bar{h}, \mu + \nu)) + \frac{\nu}{h} \alpha_2(x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu + \bar{\nu})). \]

Thus, to show \( \lim_{h \to 0} u_{1h}(x) \) exists, it suffices to show \( \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\nu}{h} \) exists.
By hypothesis (v), that $\alpha_2(x, u(\cdot))$ is a nontrivial solution of (3) along $u(\cdot)$, and $\alpha_2(x_1, u(\cdot)) = 0$, we have

$$\alpha_2(x_2, u(\cdot)) + \int_c^d r\alpha_2(x, u(\cdot))dx \neq 0.$$  

Recall,

$$u_{1h}(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_{1h}(x)dx = 0.$$
By hypothesis (v), that $\alpha_2(x, u(\cdot))$ is a nontrivial solution of (3) along $u(\cdot)$, and $\alpha_2(x_1, u(\cdot)) = 0$, we have

$$\alpha_2(x_2, u(\cdot)) + \int_c^d r\alpha_2(x, u(\cdot))dx \neq 0.$$ 

Recall,

$$u_1h(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_1h(x)dx = 0.$$ 

Thus,

$$\alpha_1(x_2, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu)) + \frac{\nu}{h} \alpha_2(x_2, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu))$$

$$+ \int_c^d r[\alpha_1(x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu)) + \frac{\nu}{h} \alpha_2(x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu))]dx = 0.$$
Hence, we obtain

\[
\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\nu}{h} = \frac{-\alpha_1(x_2, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu)) - \int_c^d r \alpha_1(x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu))}{\alpha_2(x_2, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu)) + \int_c^d r \alpha_2(x, u(x, x_1, y_1, \mu))} dx \\
= \frac{-\alpha_1(x_2, y(\cdot)) - \int_c^d r \alpha_1(x, y(\cdot))}{\alpha_2(x_2, y(\cdot)) + \int_c^d r \alpha_2(x, y(\cdot))} dx \\
: = U.
\]
Now let
\[ u_1(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} u_{1h}(x), \]
and note by construction of \( u_{1h}(x) \),
\[ u_1(x) = \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_1}(x). \]
Now let

\[ u_1(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} u_{1h}(x), \]

and note by construction of \( u_{1h}(x) \),

\[ u_1(x) = \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_1}(x). \]

Furthermore,

\[ u_1(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} u_{1h}(x) = \alpha_1(x, y(x)) + U\alpha_2(x, y(x)) \]

which is a solution of the variational equation (3) along \( y(x) \).
In addition,

\[ u_1(x_1) = \lim_{h \to 0} u_{1h}(x_1) = \lim_{h \to 0} 1 = 1, \]

and

\[ u_1(x_2) + \int_c^d r u_1(x) \, dx = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ u_{1h}(x_2) + \int_c^d r u_{1h}(x) \, dx \right] = \lim_{h \to 0} 0 = 0. \]
In addition,

\[ u_1(x_1) = \lim_{h \to 0} u_{1h}(x_1) = \lim_{h \to 0} 1 = 1, \]

and

\[ u_1(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_1(x) dx = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ u_{1h}(x_2) + \int_c^d ru_{1h}(x) dx \right] = \lim_{h \to 0} 0 = 0. \]

This completes the proof for part (a).
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Analogue to Part (c) of Peano
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Corollary

Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, we have

(a) \( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} = -y'(x_i) \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_i} \), for \( i = 1, 2, \)

(b) \( \frac{\partial y}{\partial c} = -\frac{y(c)}{y(d)} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial d} \), and

(c) \( \frac{\partial y}{\partial c} = \frac{ry(c)}{\int_c^d y(x) dx} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \)

The proof is a result of the dimensionality of the solution space for the variational equation.
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