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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE
The annual medical cost of falls resulting in hospitalization exceeds 3.1 billion dollars in the United States.\(^1\) Peripheral neuropathy (PN) is a contributing factor for fall risk,\(^2\) and neuropathy may impact motor, sensory and/or autonomic peripheral nerves.\(^2\) This diagnosis is characterized by damage to the nerve and related structures such as myelin surrounding the nerve, and may result in pain, muscle weakness, decreased proprioception, decreased somatosensation, reduced or absent ankle reflexes, reduced balance control, and higher risk for falling.\(^2,3\) PN-induced sensory deficits are typically permanent, but patients can compensate by “up-training” other systems.\(^4-7\) Many different medical conditions can cause PN. (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source/Type of Peripheral Neuropathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Induced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-myelin-associated glycoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy Induced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Acquired Peripheral Neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Renal Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (Type 1 DM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (Type 2 DM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy (HMSN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV Induced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic Peripheral Neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection induced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory peripheral neuropathy after Guillain-Barré Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Transplant familial amyloid polyneuropathy (FAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyme Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Induced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic imbalance induced neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional deficiency induced neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory Neuron Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic Neuropathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (DM1) are two chronic conditions that both cause peripheral neuropathies, but with differing mechanisms.\(^3,8-12\) Nationally, 1.1 million people are infected with HIV, and 57% of patients with HIV experience a peripheral neuropathy of some type due to medication side-effects and/or immunomodulatory mechanisms.\(^3,8,9\) Similarly, 1 million people are living with DM1, and 33% of these patients develop peripheral neuropathies due to microvascular disease.\(^4,10\) The pathophysiology of PN varies depending on the disease process or medication side effect. Alterations in DNA, protein restructuring, and neuronal dysfunction have all been implicated as potential contributors to PN.\(^13-15\) Despite varied causations, it is typically difficult to clinically distinguish relative contributions to PN in a patient with multiple risk factors.\(^3,15\)

Individuals with both HIV and DM1 are prone to have balance deficits from neuropathy and potentially iatrogenic deficits linked to medications. Balance training improves balance ability in individuals with DM1-associated peripheral neuropathies.\(^4,16\) The research for patients with HIV-associated peripheral neuropathies largely focuses on pain control and balance rehabilitation. These patients demonstrated decreased center of mass sway during tandem stance and increased gait speed during dual motor-cognitive task following balance training.\(^5\)

PN is well researched in individuals with isolated HIV or DM1, but information is scarce on the implications for PN when these conditions exist concurrently, and how training may, or may not be effective in improving balance and function when HIV and DM1 coexist. Purpose: (1) To describe a community-dwelling older adult with HIV, DM1, PN, and neurotoxic medication use (2) Highlight
the pathophysiology of each diagnoses and resulting neuropathy and describe their effect on clinical decision-making when they are both present.

CASE DESCRIPTION

Examination

A seventy-two year-old man presented to outpatient physical therapy with the primary diagnosis of polyneuropathy associated with DM1 and HIV, and concurrent hypertension and hypercholesterolemia. The patient reported a 30-year history of HIV. Onset of DM1 was unknown. Given the expected juvenile onset, it was likely that both diseases had been concurrent and interacting for as long as 30 years. Physical therapy referral was for decreased balance ability during functional tasks, and he reported difficulty with maintaining balance while moving in low-light situations, ascending and descending stairs with objects in hand, and walking in crowds. He lived an active life after retiring as a health professional, including frequent travel, recreational photography, and participation in community theater. He sustained a non-injurious fall one month earlier while standing on ice and suddenly being pulled by his leashed dog. He noted primarily relying on his vision for balance and used eyeglasses for vision correction. He sought to improve his confidence in his abilities and reported improved balance after an episode of physical therapy intervention 10 years prior.

Table 2. Medications and clinical symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication (Generic/Brand)</th>
<th>Classification/Intended Treatment</th>
<th>Clinical Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Antipyretic, non-opioid analgesic</td>
<td>Increases risk for gastrointestinal bleeds and anemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clonazepam/Klonopin®</td>
<td>Anticonvulsant, benzodiazepine</td>
<td>May cause ataxia or hypotonia, contributing to balance disturbances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efavirenz*/Sustiva®</td>
<td>Antiretroviral</td>
<td>Reverse transcriptase inhibitor for HIV-1. An ART and therefore associated with PN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenofibrate/Lipofen®</td>
<td>Lipid-lowering agent</td>
<td>May cause nausea, fatigue, and headaches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone/Flovent HFA®</td>
<td>Steroidal anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>Can lead to muscle wasting and osteoporosis if taken regularly for extended periods of time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide/Lasix®</td>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>May cause blurred vision, headaches, and dizziness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochlorothiazide/HCTZ®</td>
<td>Anthypertensive, diuretic</td>
<td>May cause headache and hyperglycemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin/Humulin®</td>
<td>Antidiabetic, hormone</td>
<td>May cause hypoglycemia and rarely, diabetic ketoacidosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisinopril/Zestril®</td>
<td>Anthypertensive, ACE inhibitor</td>
<td>May occasionally cause hypotension, syncope, and dizziness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole/Prilosec®</td>
<td>Proton-pump inhibitor</td>
<td>May cause dizziness, headache, nausea, and vomiting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quetiapine/Seroquel®</td>
<td>Antipsychotic, mood stabilizer</td>
<td>May cause agitation, headache, dizziness, hypotension, and abdominal pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raltegravir*/Isentress®</td>
<td>Antiretroviral</td>
<td>An HIV-1 replication inhibitor. An ART and therefore associated with PN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zolpidem/Ambien®</td>
<td>Sedative</td>
<td>May cause dizziness, headache, and drowsiness.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Known to contribute to PN in some patients

Of his thirteen medications (Table 2), 2 were antiretroviral therapies (ARTs), which are associated with PN. Although the duration of ART use was unknown, it is likely that use of ARTs or other similarly neurotoxic medications spanned most of the 30 years since his HIV diagnosis.

Physical Examination

Based on his history of HIV, DM1, PN, and chronic ART use, it was hypothesized that the patient would present with decreased functional balance due to impairments in the musculoskeletal and neuromuscular systems. Following a systems review (see Table 3), the therapist performed tests and measures of the musculoskeletal and neuromuscular systems including balance, strength, sensation, proprioception, and vestibular function (see Table 4). Gait was steady without the use of an assistive device, although
he occasionally grasped stationary objects as he turned corners. He did not rely on the objects for major balance correction. Because of his reported balance challenges, the Functional Reach Test (FRT) and The Activities-Specific Balance Confidence Scale (ABC) were chosen as outcome measures. The scores on both measures indicated risk for falls (See Table 5).

Table 3: Systems review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Decision &amp; Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular/Pulmonary</td>
<td>Respiration appropriate during rest, exercise, and recovery. No cardiovascular data obtained.</td>
<td>Further examination not indicated for pulmonary system. No cardiovascular data was obtained. See Discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>Difficulty with balance tasks.</td>
<td>Requires further examination and potential intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular</td>
<td>Difficulty with balance tasks.</td>
<td>Requires further examination and potential intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integumentary</td>
<td>Skin appears intact. Patient reports performing foot checks based on his knowledge as a healthcare professional.</td>
<td>Further examination not indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive/Communication</td>
<td>Patient appropriately interacts with therapist.</td>
<td>Further examination not indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psycho-emotional</td>
<td>Mood and responses appear appropriate for given situation.</td>
<td>Further examination not indicated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Tests and measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impairment</th>
<th>Test/Measure</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Balance</td>
<td>FRT\textsuperscript{18}</td>
<td>6 inches (15.24cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Confidence</td>
<td>ABC\textsuperscript{20}</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprioception</td>
<td>Passive Joint Position Sense\textsuperscript{21}</td>
<td>Great toe and ankle impaired bilaterally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensation</td>
<td>Dermatome, Light Touch\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>Diminished in stocking pattern bilaterally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Muscle Strength</td>
<td>Myotome Testing\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td>No evidence of myotomal weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular Function</td>
<td>Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex\textsuperscript{24}</td>
<td>No evidence of vestibular dysfunction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcome Measures

FRT is an assessment of stability in static standing, with strong intrarater and interrater reliability for community-dwelling elderly (CDE).\textsuperscript{18,25} A cut-off score of < 7 inches (17.8 cm) is related to decreased mobility and activities of daily living (ADL).\textsuperscript{18} The FRT was prioritized due to its excellent correlation with walking speed (r = 0.71) and mobility skills (r = 0.65), and its moderate correlation with ADL performance (r = 0.48).\textsuperscript{18}

The ABC is a sixteen-question self-report survey that assesses confidence during daily situations that challenge balance. The ABC was chosen because the patient had a goal of increasing confidence in his own ability to maintain balance. An ABC score of < 67% correlates with increased risk of falling in CDE.\textsuperscript{19} The ABC has excellent correlation with the Berg Balance Scale (BBS) (r = 0.75) and the Timed Up & Go Test (TUG) (r = 0.69).\textsuperscript{26} See Table 5 for additional psychometrics of the FRT and ABC.
Table 5: Psychometrics of outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>FRT</th>
<th>ABC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrarater Reliability</td>
<td>ICC = 0.89&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ICC = 0.94&lt;sup&gt;27*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrater Reliability</td>
<td>r = 0.97&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>r = 0.92&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal Detectable Change (MDC)</td>
<td>2.88 in. (7.32cm)&lt;sup&gt;29*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13%&lt;sup&gt;27*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error of Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>1.03 in. (2.64cm)&lt;sup&gt;29*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.197%&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Risk Cut-off</td>
<td>&lt; 7 in. (17.8 cm)&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt; 67%&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Consistency</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Cronbach’s Alpha = 0.96&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICC = Intra-class correlation coefficient
*Established for patients with Parkinson’s disease

Evaluation

The patient presented with PN secondary to concurrent HIV, DM1 and neurotoxic medication use, with findings of decreased distal lower extremity somatosensation and proprioception bilaterally. This pathologic process hindered his ability to perform tasks such as maintaining balance in low-light situations, traversing stairs with objects in hand, and navigating crowded spaces. These difficulties hindered him in his participations as a traveler and photographer (See Figure 1).

Figure 1: ICF model of patient’s condition

Prognosis

Prognosis considered medical, environmental, and personal factors. Neuropathy and the associated activity limitations are expected to gradually worsen as a result of the progressive and chronic nature of his health conditions.<sup>3,8-12</sup> Progression of PN was expected not only due to the disease processes of DM1 and HIV, but also as a side effect of ART medications.<sup>3,12</sup> Additionally, CDE who take ≥ 4 medications are at an increased risk for falls.<sup>31</sup> He took thirteen medications at the time of evaluation and this
number is not expected to decrease as he ages, compounding his fall risk. Therefore, his long-term medical prognosis for improvement in balance was poor.

Despite expected PN progression, exercise interventions have been shown to improve motor and sensory function, which translate to improved balance and gait. Based on existing cutoffs, he was at risk of falls on the FRT and ABC. In individuals with diabetic neuropathy, 8 weeks of balance training focused physical therapy is expected to lead to improvement in FRT and ABC scores. Balance intervention has also been associated with balance improvement in patients with HIV. Accordingly, his short-term prognosis for improvement in balance function was good. However, the authors were unable to find information on balance improvement in the presence of concurrent diagnoses.

The patient’s prognosis was positively affected by his health literacy and strong social support. Patients with adequate health literacy consistently demonstrate better adherence to prescribed interventions and have lesser HIV symptom intensity and body change distress. Adherence also correlates positively with social support. Overall personal and environmental factors therefore indicate that improved functional outcomes were expected. Considering the negative and positive prognostic factors, a long-term progression in PN was expected, but with a short-term improvement in function with physical therapist management.

Plan of Care and Goals
The plan of care was created from the patient-identified activity and participation limitations in the ICF model. It included 8 weekly sessions of static and dynamic balance exercises to train anticipatory and reactive balance, with the goal of enhancing compensatory balance strategies. In addition, a 10-minute daily home exercise program (HEP) was planned to reinforce in-clinic balance exercises. Goals for the patient (Table 6) were set based on the challenges he identified considering both his personal objectives for physical therapy and expectation for clinical improvement.

### Table 6: Goals for intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient will increase FRT score by ≥ 2 inches</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Average improvement in FRT score is 8% following balance training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient will increase ABC score by ≥ 15%</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Average improvement in ABC score is 13% following compensatory balance training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intervention
Intervention primarily focused on balance-challenging neuromotor exercise (See Table 7). The combination of in-person intervention and a HEP met the guidelines for neuromotor exercise prescription per the American College of Sports Medicine. Progression of each exercise occurred as the patient mastered the given exercise, as no optimal strategy for progression of neuromotor exercise has been identified. The exercises began with a static balance focus including stance on ground, foam, and a rocker board, then were progressed to be more dynamic with variations such as challenging balance during walking, plyometric stepping, and hopping. Eyes-closed conditions for exercises were included due to his noted visual dominance and in order to “up-train” vestibular and somatosensory systems. The patient’s personal activity and participation goals were considered as exercise was progressed. Due to his scheduling preference, he attended 5 weekly sessions of physical therapy intervention over 7 weeks.

### Table 7: Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Visit Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recumbent Biking warm up</td>
<td>In Clinic</td>
<td>3 METs</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapevine / Braiding</td>
<td>Daily (HEP)</td>
<td>3 METs</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tandem Walking</td>
<td>Daily (HEP)</td>
<td>3 METs</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing on Foam</td>
<td>Daily (HEP)</td>
<td>2 METs</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocker Board</td>
<td>In Clinic</td>
<td>2 METs</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Limb Stance (Eyes Open)</td>
<td>In Clinic</td>
<td>3 METs</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Limb Stance (Eyes Closed)</td>
<td>In Clinic</td>
<td>3 METs</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking on Uneven Surface (Reactive)</td>
<td>In Clinic</td>
<td>3 METs</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUTCOMES

Outcome measures were completed upon evaluation and on the final visit. A summary of the outcomes can be found in Table 8 and in Figure 2. The patient met all stated goals in 7 weeks and he was no longer at risk for falls per the FRT and ABC, although he was still 1 inch below age group norms on the FRT. Scores below the 7 inch cutoff of FRT identify patients who have difficulty leaving the neighborhood on their own, who are limited in mobility skills, and who are most restricted in ADLs. Scores on the ABC below the 67% cutoff accurately identify people who fall 84% of the time.

Scores on the ABC and FRT exceeded the published MDC’s for each measure. The outcomes are meaningful in light of the patient’s goals, as they reflect an improvement in performance and confidence with balance related activities. For the patient, this meant pursuing traveling and photography without increased fear of falling.

Table 8: Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Week 7</th>
<th>Fall Risk Cutoff</th>
<th>MDC</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRT</td>
<td>6 inches</td>
<td>12 inches</td>
<td>&gt; 7 inches&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.88 inches&lt;sup&gt;29*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.16 inches&lt;sup&gt;39**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>&gt; 67%&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13%&lt;sup&gt;27*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For patients with Parkinson’s disease
**CDE males, 70-87, no recent falls or major neurological or orthopedic diagnoses

DISCUSSION

This case report described the physical therapist management of a community-dwelling older adult with HIV, DM1, PN, and neurotoxic medication use, with a focus on pathophysiology and its effect on clinical decision-making. Improvement in balance was meaningful to the patient, and the outcome measures related to both patient goals of improving confidence and functional balance ability. Confidence in his ability to participate in travel and photography improved over the course of physical therapy treatment.
The relative contribution of ART medication, the HIV disease process, and the DM1 disease process on the patient's neuropathy is unknown. Most likely, his PN was the product of multiple pathophysiologic processes that adversely impacted his peripheral nerves over time. ART use may lead to mitochondrial DNA damage in axons of peripheral nerves.13 Neurotoxicity may also occur secondary to the viral infection of HIV itself – infected macrophages are known to release inflammatory cytokines that may cause nerve fiber damage.14 Glycosylated proteins, as a result of DM1, also contribute to nerve dysfunction.15

Current research supports physical therapist management and balance interventions for patients with either HIV, DM1, or PN.4,5,16 However, the current literature lacks intervention guidance when HIV, DM1, and PN coexist. Notable outcomes in previous studies that isolate either HIV or DM1 include decreased postural sway, increased balance confidence, increased gait speed, decreased pain (if present), and overall reduced fall risk with balance interventions.4,5,7,16 A review by Streckmann et al indicates that differing intervention may be appropriate depending on the source of the neuropathy, "metabolically induced" like with Diabetes Mellitus or "non-metabolically induced." Based on their review, they suggested balance intervention for metabolically induced PN focus on endurance exercises as a way of reducing body weight, and inducing glycemic control amongst other mechanisms. For PN with a non-metabolic etiology, they suggest sensorimotor/balance intervention to induce neural adaptation, taking advantage of the nervous system’s plasticity. They specifically suggest that exercises focused on sensorimotor training be limited to 5, and that they be performed for twenty to forty seconds to allow recovery, and to minimize “neural fatigue.” However, these studies lack guidance and information on physical therapist management of an individual with multiple concurrent health conditions. In an individual such as the patient in this case, a blend of these two approaches would have been most appropriate. Shorter duration sensorimotor balance interventions with longer breaks may have allowed more complete inter and intra-session recovery. That said, the reduced rest may have been more effective at creating an endurance component, which is recommended in those with DM.2

The patient’s score on the FRT increased by 6 inches in 7 weeks. While this may wholly reflect a balance improvement, alternative explanations must be considered. The evaluating therapist gave the patient one trial to complete the FRT each time that it was performed. The correct clinical administration of the FRT calls for performing 5 trials and recording the average of the final 3 trials.18 The performance of only one trial may have led the patient to complete the FRT cautiously and below his true functional level. In addition, the follow-up measurement could reflect familiarity with the test and confidence in balance ability following treatment, thus providing an inaccurate disparity between the two scores. Despite this possibility, a 100% increase in score is likely meaningful.

In light of the patient’s goals, intervention focused on static and dynamic balance activities. The interventions performed were driven by patient input, research findings, and therapist experience, the 3 tenets of Evidence Based Practice; however, more targeted and potentially appropriate interventions may have been chosen had a more thorough initial balance evaluation been used.42 One suggested measure is the Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest).38 The BESTest provides information on specific deficits across the balance systems of biomechanical restraints, stability limits, anticipatory postural adjustments, postural responses, sensory orientation, and stability in gait.38 Besides intervention guidance, this measure has excellent correlation with the ABC (r = 0.636).38 Intervention could have been structured to specifically address deficits identified by the BESTest.

Several examination items would have provided a more complete picture of the patient prior to intervention. Achilles' tendon deep tendon reflex (DTR) may have provided information regarding the severity of PN.19 As a standard neurological examination tool, DTR testing should be performed with similar patients.19 In future management of similar patients, DTR's should be included. The physical therapist did not obtain blood glucose readings or cardiovascular vital signs from the patient during the episode of care. Obtaining these values would have improved patient safety, given the risks of exercising with DM1 and the cardiovascular risk profile of hypertension and hypercholesterolemia.16

Despite potentially different etiological processes causing PN, the impairments are thought to be similar across patients.7 However, it is currently unclear if the source of PN influences prognosis, progression, and response to physical therapy intervention. This case report serves as a foundation for identifying current gaps in the literature in complex individuals with PN. Areas for future research may take two directions: (1) Including patients with coexisting conditions in trials (2) Stratification with very clear description of diagnoses in studies seeking optimal examination and intervention approaches.

CONCLUSION
Physical therapists should have an awareness of differing pathophysiological processes in individuals with PN. This case report describes an individual with PN due to a combination of concurrent HIV, DM1, and neurotoxic medication use. The relative contribution of pathophysiological and iatrogenic processes was unknown, and results in a complicated clinical presentation. Current research lacks guidance for physical therapist management of patients with multiple health conditions that contribute to PN. In the
absence of clearer guidelines and stratification, an understanding of pathophysiology, patient goals and expectations, and preliminary published evidence should be used to develop an individualized approach to evaluating and treating these individuals.
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