Reliability of Breathing Rate Assessment and Chest Expansion Measurement: A Pilot Study in Typically Developing Children
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Despite the apparent acceptance of breathing rate assessment and chest expansion measurement (CEM) among physical therapists, little is known about the measurement properties of these tests and measures especially when administered to children. Reference data from typically developing children have been reported in the literature without investigating the reliability of measurements. This pilot study aimed to systematically explore the feasibility and inter-rater and test-retest reliability of these chest examination methods in children. Method: Nineteen children, 10 males and 9 females, with mean (SD) age of 11.11 (±1.29) years, were selected through convenience sampling. Assessors measured the breathing rate and chest expansion of the participants using a well-defined protocol. A second assessment was conducted after two weeks under similar testing conditions. Results: Breathing rate assessment yielded poor reliability across raters and testing occasions. CEM taken at the level of the fourth intercostal space revealed poor to excellent reliability (ICC=0.48 to 0.81), while measurements taken along the xiphoid process produced acceptable inter-rater reliability (ICC=0.6 to 0.7) and excellent test-retest reliability (ICC=0.88 to 0.94). Conclusions and Recommendations: CEM may be feasible and reliable to use on typically developing children given a well-defined protocol. Assessment of breathing rate may need to be evaluated further for clinical acceptability given potentially poor reliability in children.

INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary conditions are among the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in the pediatric population. In 2011, pneumonia and other acute respiratory infections were the causes for 13% of deaths in neonates and children under five years globally. In the Philippines, the latest available data from the Department of Health indicate that pneumonia is the third leading cause of child mortality in the pediatric age groups while acute lower respiratory tract infection, pneumonia, bronchitis, and respiratory tuberculosis rank among the top ten leading causes of morbidity. Individuals with primary or secondary pulmonary disorders are not the only ones facing the disease burden, as typically developing children are also at risk of acquiring pulmonary conditions due to their increasing exposure to pollution and passive smoking. Pulmonary disorders can result in limitations in movement and physical function due to the inadequate delivery of oxygen to skeletal muscles either during rest or physical activity.
With its unique emphasis on movement as a primary means of promoting health and preventing disease and disability, physical therapy is well positioned to enhance function in children with impairment in pulmonary function. Physical therapists provide a range of therapeutic exercises and other interventions to address impairment and increase functional ability, and monitor the progress of intervention to optimize patient care. Sound assessment of pulmonary function provides the necessary foundation for sound physical therapy intervention. Pulmonary assessment comprises history-taking, review of systems, and performance of tests and measures, and forms an important component of overall assessment in various physical therapist practice dimensions. Chest examination methods, such as chest expansion measurement (CEM) and quantifying breathing rate, are among the tests and measures conducted by physical therapists to determine the status of ventilation and respiration in patients.

Despite the apparent acceptance of chest examination methods among physical therapists, little is known about the measurement or psychometric properties of these methods especially when administered on children. Evaluation of psychometric properties typically starts with an exploration of the reliability and practicality of administration of tests and measures in the population for which these are intended to be used. Reliability is the repeatability and dependability of a measurement procedure or consistency of a measurement instrument in producing the same result. Practicality of administration includes requirements of the test related to administration time, experience and training of assessors, equipment, format, and method of scoring, and is often determined in a feasibility study prior to implementing a main study.

To date, there is limited evidence on the reliability of chest examination methods in children. One study has reported estimates of intra-rater reliability (ICC=0.95) and inter-rater reliability (ICC=0.85) for CEM, but this study was limited to children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis (CF) in the age range of 5.9 to 18.9 years. Meanwhile, a study conducted among children aged 15 days to 3 years and with no diagnosed respiratory conditions demonstrated good reliability of breathing rate assessed using a stethoscope. Reference data have been reported for breathing rate and CEM for healthy children and children with cerebral palsy (CP) who were 4 years of age and older despite the lack of reliability studies to support the use of the measurement methods. The insufficient evidence for the measurement properties of clinical chest examination methods despite widespread use underscores an important gap in the scientific literature that underpins pediatric physical therapist practice. This pilot study aimed to systematically explore the feasibility and inter-rater and test-retest reliability of breathing rate assessment and CEM in children with typical development (TD). Findings of this study can add to the available information on both the usefulness and limitations of chest examination procedures, as well as provide insights on improving test administration for use in both clinical and research practice.

**METHOD**

This pilot study utilized an observational, methodological design in investigating the properties of the measurement procedures. The study was approved by the ethics review committee of the University of the Philippines Manila – College of Allied Medical Professions.

**Participants**

Nineteen children with TD, 10 males and 9 females, with mean (SD) age of 11.11 (±1.29) years, constituted the sample. Specifically, 19 children participated in the inter-rater reliability testing while 8 children returned for test-retest reliability testing. Participants were sampled conveniently. The study protocol was explained to all participants and their parents prior to obtaining written consent from the participants’ parents or legal guardians. The participants provided verbal assent before undergoing testing.

**Materials**

A printed module containing standardized steps and instructions was used by the assessors in carrying out the procedures. Digital stopwatches were employed in the assessment of breathing rate while standard cloth measuring tapes were utilized in quantifying chest wall expansion. A digital thermometer was used to ensure that none of the participants had fever at the time of testing. Assessment data were recorded on forms created specifically for the study.

**Test protocol**

Two of the authors (MGMJ and EJRG) conducted the examination procedures. Both assessors were licensed physical therapists with clinical experience in both pediatric and adult physical therapy. No additional training on administering the pulmonary assessment procedures was provided to the assessors. All assessments were made independently by the two assessors. The assessors administered the tests to the participants in adjacent rooms under similar conditions (similar room temperature, time of assessment, measurement materials, physical setup, and assessment procedures) based on the study protocol. A random order of participants to be tested was generated for each assessor. A second assessment was conducted after two weeks under similar testing conditions. Data from the first assessment were not made available to the assessors during the second
Breathing rate was assessed unobtrusively (i.e. without informing the participant that breathing cycles were being counted). The participant was placed in a short-sitting position, with the assessor seated facing the participant at a 45-degree angle. Breathing rate was measured by counting the number of cycles of relaxed inhalation and exhalation in one minute.\textsuperscript{22,23} The assessor placed one hand over the chest of the participant for easier monitoring of cycle count.

Amount of chest wall expansion was quantified by determining the difference between chest circumference measurements during maximal voluntary inspiration and maximal voluntary expiration.\textsuperscript{20} To measure maximal voluntary inspiration, participants were instructed to hold a deep, full breath for three seconds. To measure maximal voluntary expiration they were instructed to exhale fully. A standard cloth measuring tape was wrapped around the chest at the levels of the fourth intercostal space and xiphoid process that represented the body landmarks for upper chest and lower chest movements respectively. Three trials of CEM were conducted and scores were averaged and recorded. CEM was obtained with the participant in short-sitting position and with the assessor situated posterior to the participant.

**RESULTS**

**Inter-rater and test-retest reliability of breathing rate assessment and CEM**

Reliability estimates for breathing rate assessment and CEM are detailed in Table 1. Breathing rate assessment yielded poor reliability estimates across assessors and testing occasions. Estimates for chest expansion measurements taken at the level of the fourth intercostal space were poor for inter-rater reliability and acceptable to excellent for test-retest reliability. Measurements obtained at the level of the xiphoid process produced consistently higher ICC values than those taken at the level of the fourth intercostal space, yielding acceptable inter-rater reliability and excellent test-retest reliability.

**DISCUSSION**

This pilot study sought to provide useful information regarding the reliability and practicality of breathing rate assessment and CEM in children. Breathing rate assessment and CEM are conventional assessment procedures utilized by physical therapists to clinically determine ventilatory function in children in clinical practice, yet there is limited evidence regarding the measurement properties and practical aspects of administration of these methods.
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This pilot study was limited to children who were typically developing and thus the results might have some generalizability in typically developing children who have acquired a pulmonary disorder. The results may not necessarily be generalized to other sub-groups in the pediatric population such as those with neuromotor conditions and cognitive impairment. As a pilot study, however, the main purpose was not to establish definitive results but rather to explore the feasibility of methods and gather preliminary data for generating hypotheses. The use of a standardized methodology in this study allowed for preliminary positive findings to be generated for CEM within the limits of a pilot study design, suggesting that such methodology might be useful in future studies of wider scope. A logical next step would be to explore the measurement properties of CEM in children with either primary or secondary pulmonary conditions. Studies that employ a more rigorous design, including a sufficiently large sample size, and that involve patients with diagnosed health conditions other than CF are recommended to achieve maximum reliability such as dichotomizing the classification of breathing rate into bradypnea (abnormally slow breathing) and tachypnea, defined as greater than 27 breaths/minute, which has been shown to result in moderate reliability (k = 0.6). Ultimately, however, results of breathing rate assessment should not be interpreted in isolation and should be used with careful consideration of other assessment findings in ascertaining pulmonary status in clients.

Reliability of CEM

CEM taken at the level of the fourth intercostal space revealed varied reliability estimates whereas measurements taken along the xiphoid process produced consistently higher reliability estimates for both inter-rater reliability and test-retest reliability. This is likely related to the xiphoid process being a more stable landmark and therefore more easily and accurately palpated. The finding was consistent with the high intra-rater and inter-rater reliability estimates for CEM obtained in studies that employed similar methods on children and adolescents with CF and healthy adult males. It would be reasonable to hypothesize that CEM may be taken effectively at the level of the xiphoid process in routine clinical assessment.

Feasibility

Specific features of clinical assessments are known to contribute to safety and practicality in administration. Many of such features were covered in the pulmonary assessment protocol used in this study. The protocol specified the testing conditions, needed materials, and procedures to be performed for each area of assessment, including participant position, therapist position, and verbal instructions. The protocol also provided a definition of breathing rate assessment and description of the landmarks for CEM to guide the assessors in measuring and recording results. Use of a well-defined protocol was particularly helpful in the absence of any highly specialized training or experience in pulmonary assessment between the two assessors. In total, assessment of each participant required only 10 minutes or less. Assessment employed materials that were not costly and were often available in conventional clinical settings. All these lend support to the practicality of administration of breathing rate assessment and CEM on children with TD. Conducting the tests on children might benefit from creative means of promoting relaxation prior to administration given children’s natural tendency to engage in active play.

Limitations and Recommendations

This pilot study has shown that CEM may be feasible and reliable to use on children given a well-defined protocol. Breathing rate assessment appears to be of poor reliability and may warrant justification for routine use in clinical practice. It is hoped that this pilot study will provide the impetus for additional research on the measurement properties of clinical pulmonary assessment methods for children, specifically those with impaired health.
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