

Nova Southeastern University NSUWorks

All HCAS Student Capstones, Theses, and Dissertations

HCAS Student Theses and Dissertations

12-9-2021

# Element Contamination in Port Everglades – Preparing for Ecological Impacts

Laura White Nova Southeastern University

Follow this and additional works at: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/hcas\_etd\_all

Part of the Environmental Sciences Commons, Marine Biology Commons, and the Oceanography and Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology Commons

# Share Feedback About This Item

#### **NSUWorks Citation**

Laura White. 2021. *Element Contamination in Port Everglades – Preparing for Ecological Impacts.* Master's thesis. Nova Southeastern University. Retrieved from NSUWorks, . (72) https://nsuworks.nova.edu/hcas\_etd\_all/72.

This Thesis is brought to you by the HCAS Student Theses and Dissertations at NSUWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HCAS Student Capstones, Theses, and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of NSUWorks. For more information, please contact nsuworks@nova.edu.

# Thesis of Laura White

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

## Master of Science Marine Science

Nova Southeastern University Halmos College of Arts and Sciences

December 2021

Approved: Thesis Committee

Committee Chair: Dimitri Giarikos

Committee Member: Amy Hirons

Committee Member: Paul Baldauf

Committee Member: Andre Daniels

This thesis is available at NSUWorks: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/hcas\_etd\_all/72

#### NOVA SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY

#### HALMOS COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

# ELEMENT CONTAMINATION IN PORT EVERGLADES – PREPARING FOR ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS

By:

Laura G. White

Submitted to the Faculty of Halmos College of Arts and Sciences in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science with a specialty in:

Marine Science

Nova Southeastern University

December 2021

#### **Table of Contents**

List of Figures iv
List of Tables
Abstract 1
Introduction
Port Everglades History
Dredging and Impacts 4
Sediments as Sinks for Contaminants
Trace Elements and Heavy Metals in Sediment7Arsenic10Chromium12Cobalt13Copper13Lead14Mercury15Molybdenum16Nickel16Selenium17Tin17Vanadium18
Zinc
Study Importance
Objectives 21
Materials and Methods
Sample Collection 21
Continental Crust Composition 24
Results and Discussion 26
As and Mo Covariance in Port Sites 37
As and we covariance in Fort Sites
Marcury in Port and West Lake Sites
Conclusions
$\mathbf{E} \leftarrow \mathbf{C} = 1 1 1 1$
Future Considerations
Literature Cited
Appendix

#### Acknowledgements

I would first like to thank my thesis advisor, Dr. Dimitrios Giarikos of Nova Southeastern University for all the help, patience, and guidance he has provided me throughout my graduate career. I would also like to thank Dr. Amy C. Hirons of Nova Southeastern University, Dr. Paul Baldauf of Nova Southeastern University, Mr. Andre Daniels of the U.S. Geological Survey, Mr. Kyle Kelso of the U.S. Geological Survey, and Ms. Nancy DeWitt of the U.S. Geological Survey for lending their expertise throughout the course of this project. Dr. Alan M. Shiller at the University of Southern Mississippi Center for Trace Analysis conducted ICP-MS analysis. Funding for this project came from Nova Southeastern University's President's Faculty Research and Development Grant awarded to AC Hirons, DG Giarikos, and P Baldauf. I would also like to thank Dr. Radleigh Santos of Nova Southeastern University and Dr. Chris Blanar of Nova Southeastern University for providing excellent statistical assistance.

In addition, I would like to extend my gratitude to the many members of the Charismatic Megafauna and Oceanography Lab (CMOL), HCAS librarians, and NSU undergraduate students, Nathan Andrews and Adham Abdou, that assisted in the sampling process and laboratory analysis necessary to complete this project.

Lastly, I would like to thank my parents, David and Sherry White, and my grandfather, John Jones, for all the support and encouragement they have provided me throughout my academic career, and my fiancé, Franco Lupoli, for the constant daily support. This would not have been possible without all your love and inspiration to continue following my dreams.

### List of Figures

Figure 1. Map of Port Everglades showing Port Everglades (Port), West Lake (WL), and Coral Reef (RF) core sampling locations
Figure 2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within Dania Cutoff Canal categorized by $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.6$ Pearson correlation coefficients
Figure 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within Park Education Center (PEC) categorized by $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.6$ Pearson correlation coefficients
Figure 4. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within Park Headquarters (PHQ) categorized by $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.6$ Pearson correlation coefficients
Figure 5. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within South Turning Basin categorized by $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.6$ Pearson correlation coefficients
Figure 6. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within West Lake (WL) categorized by $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.6$ Pearson correlation coefficients
Figure 7. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within north Coral Reef (RF) categorized by $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.6$ Pearson correlation coefficients
Figure 8. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within south Coral Reef (RF) categorized by $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.6$ Pearson correlation coefficients
Appendix Figure 1. Photo of longitudinally split Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 2 Photo of longitudinally split Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 3. Photo of longitudinally split Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Core 3 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 4. Photo of longitudinally split Park Education Center (PEC) Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019

Appendix Figure 5. Photo of longitudinally split Park Education Center (PEC) Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 6. Photo of longitudinally split Park Education Center (PEC) Core 3 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 7. Photo of longitudinally split Park Headquarters (PHQ) Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 8. Photo of longitudinally split Park Headquarters (PHQ) Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 9. Photo of longitudinally split South Turning Basin (STB) Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 10. Photo of longitudinally split South Turning Basin (STB) Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 11. Photo of longitudinally split West Lake (WL) Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019
Appendix Figure 12. Photo of longitudinally split West Lake (WL) Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019

#### List of Tables

Table 1. Timeline of Port Everglades History (1911 – 2023).5
Table 2. Order of elements from greatest to least based on concentration in each sediment core.DCC = Dania Cutoff Canal, PEC = Park Education Center, PHQ = Park Headquarters, STB =South Turning Basin, WL = West Lake, NR = North Reef, SR = South Reef
Table 3. Range of Values of 14 Elements Analyzed (µg/g) compared to Threshold Effect Levels (TEL), Probable Effect Levels (PEL), and Continental Crust Values (Rudnick and Gao, 2003).
Appendix Table 1. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) detection limits $(\mu g/g)$ for all 14 elements tested
Appendix Table 2. Paired t-tests. P < 0.05 indicates a significant difference between element concentrations
Appendix Table 3. Single Factor One-Way ANOVA. P < 0.05 indicates a significant mean difference between maximum concentrations amongst coring locations
Appendix Tables 4. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Cores 1 Elemental Concentrations (µg/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 5. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Cores 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 6. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Cores 3 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 7 Park Education Center (PEC) Core 1 Elemental Concentrations (µg/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 8. Park Education Center (PEC) Core 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 9. Park Education Center (PEC) Core 3 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core

Appendix Table 10. Park Headquarters (PHQ) Core 1 Elemental Concentrations (µg/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 11. Park Headquarters (PHQ) Core 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 12. South Turning Basin (STB) Core 1 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 13. South Turning Basin (STB) Core 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 14. West Lake (WL) Core 1 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 15. West Lake (WL) Core 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core
Appendix Table 16. North Reef (RF) Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) of surface sediment samples (5cm). Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element
Appendix Table 17. South Reef (RF) Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) of surface sediment samples (5cm). Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element
Appendix Table 18. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 19. Park Headquarters (PHQ) Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 20. South Turning Basin (STB) Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 21. Park Education Center (PEC) Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 22. West Lake (WL) Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth

Appendix Table 23. North Reef and South Reef (RF) Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 24. Pollution Load Index Calculations (PLI) for Port, WL, and RF locations for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 25. Potential Ecological Risk Index Calculations (ERI) – Port, WL, and RF locations for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 26. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Core 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 27. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Core 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth 122
Appendix Table 28. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Core 3 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 29. Park Education Center (PEC) Core 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 30. Park Education Center (PEC) Core 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 31. Park Education Center (PEC) Core 3 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 32. Park Headquarters (PHQ) Core 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 33. Park Headquarters (PHQ) Core 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 34. South Turning Basin (STB) Core 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 35. South Turning Basin (STB) Core 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 36. West Lake (WL) Core 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 37. West Lake (WL) Core 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth

Appendix Table 38. North Reef (RF) Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 39. South Reef (RF) Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth
Appendix Table 40. Reference Elemental Concentrations in Marine Port Sediment
Appendix Table 41. Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC) Pearson Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within sediment cores, Strong correlations represented by $R > 0.6$ 137
Appendix Table 42. Park Education Center (PEC) Pearson Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within sediment cores, strong correlations represented by $R > 0.6$
Appendix Table 43. Park Headquarters (PHQ) Pearson Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within sediment cores, strong correlations represented by R > 0.6
Appendix Table 44. South Turning Basin (STB) Pearson Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within sediment cores, strong correlations represented by $R > 0.6$ . 140
Appendix Table 45. West Lake (WL) Pearson Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within sediment cores, strong correlations represented by $R > 0.6$ . 141
Appendix Table 46. North Reef (RF) Pearson Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within sediment samples, strong correlations represented by R > 0.6
Appendix Table 47. South Reef (RF) Pearson Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within sediment samples, strong correlations represented by R > 0.6

#### Abstract

Port dredging is of economic importance worldwide but its impacts to the marine environment through the remobilization of elemental contaminants are not well understood. A massive deepening and widening of Port Everglades, Florida, will begin in 2023. Contaminated sediment disturbed during the dredging process could be released and prove to be harmful to three coral reef tracks located beginning 1.5 miles away from the port. This study focused on identifying and quantifying 14 different trace elements: arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), manganese (Mn), molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), selenium (Se), tin (Sn), vanadium (V), and zinc (Zn) in Port Everglades, West Lake, and the coral reef sites before dredging commences, using induced coupled plasma mass spectrometry. All 14 elements were found within 5 of 10 port cores, with five cores displaying non-detected (n/d) values of mercury (Hg). West Lake contained all 14 elements, 13 out of 14 elements were found in North Reef samples (n/d values of Hg), and all 14 elements were found in the South Reef samples. Arsenic (As) concentrations in all cores exceeded probable effect levels (PEL, 41.6  $\mu$ g/g) and molybdenum (Mo) concentrations in all cores exceeded the background continental crust (1.5µg/g) by up to 256 %. Additional element concentration spikes above the threshold effect levels (TEL) included cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, and zinc. This study provides evidence of elemental contamination within Port Everglades and its potential harmful impact through remobilization to the threatened reef sites.

Key words: Elemental contamination, sediment, marine sediment cores, Port Everglades, coral reefs, SECLER

#### Introduction

#### **Port Everglades History**

Ports are vital to the U.S. economy as they serve to transport various goods such as cargo, fuel, and passengers all over the world. American Association of Port Authorities (AAPA) reports that 99 % of the country's overseas cargo by volume is handled by seaports (American Association of Port Authorities, 2013). Additionally, Seaport industries also provide jobs to over 23 million Americans (EPA, 2020). One of the most important economical sources to South Florida is Port Everglades. Located in south Fort Lauderdale, Port Everglades was originally envisioned as a solution for "Florida's shipping bottlenecks" and has grown into one of the East Coast's most valuable seaports. (McGoun, 2002).

Port Everglades was opened in 1928. It was previously a mangrove swamp that surrounded an area coined 'Lake Mabel'. Maritime shipping of freight ships increased over the past century from 30,000 in 1900 to nearly 90,000 in the year 2000, along with an increase in cruise ships as well in response to globalization (Corbett et al., 2010). The rapid increase of ship traffic over the past century has led to increase port developments. Additionally, there has been a high demand for berth expansion to accommodate larger vessels, due to large population growth during the last century (porteverglades.net, 2020, Walker et al., 2012).

The parcel before Port Everglades was primarily used as a mean for local farmers to ship produce. Ideas began forming in the late 1800s and early 1900s to transform the naturally formed Lake Mabel, also known as Bay Mabel Harbor, into a more efficient means to transport goods. A resolution was passed in 1911 by the Florida Board of Trade for a Deepwater Port Project, enabling farmers to transport their produce to the north and west of Florida (Port Everglades History, porteverglades.org). In 1893, Frank and Marshall Stranahan arrived in South Florida with hopes to operate a ferry service and trading post near what was known as the time as "New River". The Stranahans then formed Fort Lauderdale Harbor Company in 1913, which served as a hub for small boats. This operation was completed by excavating Lake Mabel, which was referred to as an ideal site for operations to increase trade operations with Cuba by a Florida East Coast railway survey (Port Everglades History, porteverglades.org).

In the 1920s, Joseph Wesley Young, founder and major developer of the City of Hollywood, played a huge role in the development of Lake Mabel. The Great Miami Hurricane in 1926 significantly slowed port development progress (Port Everglades History, porteverglades.org). Due to the impact of the storm along with the Florida real estate crash, Young was no longer able to provide support for the project. However, the following year financiers took on the project and the Broward County Port Authority was established by the Florida legislature in 1927 (Port Everglades History, porteverglades.org).

Progress continued in 1929 as the port project was completed, the first airport was opened, and Port Everglades received its first cargo (86-meter SS Vogtland) and first military ship (carrying personnel of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Battalion of the Fleet Marine Force) (Port Everglades History, porteverglades.org). As development continued, goods such as food, fertilizer, fruits, vegetables, and sugar were prioritized for transport, and the first port manager, Florida Chamber of Commerce's Warren T. Eller, was hired in 1932 (Port Everglades History, porteverglades.org).

By 1950 Port Everglades was the port of call for many cruise lines (Port Everglades History, porteverglades.org). Florida Power and Light became a partner in the 1960s and purchased various lighting units within the port. During this time, petroleum and petroleum storage tanks had become one of the main products being transported within this area. The Foreign Trade Zone No. 25 was opened in the late 1970s, and the port supported its first railmounted container gantry crane as well. The first port-owned crane was acquired in 1981. By the late 1980s, thirty berths were operational, and eight cruise terminals were opened (porteverglades.org). The 1990s brought opening of parking garages, facility improvements for cruise and cargo terminals, and a transfer of governance from the Port Authority to the government of Broward County. This led to the boom in container ship traffic that is now seen today. In 2010, Port Everglades was estimated to have \$13.9 billion in economic value to the State of Florida (Martin Associates 2010). A simplified history of Port Everglades can be found in Table 1.

The boom of ship traffic in the US over the past century has led to an increase in the number of ports being dredged to allow for larger container and cruise ships. Dredging projects in the surface waters of Florida have been regulated since the early 1970s to offer protection to surface waters from degradation due to pollution and the loss of wetlands caused by these construction activities. This includes wetland ecosystem, water quality (stormwater), water quantity (flooding), wildlife, and pollution regulations. All dredging activities are overseen by the following departments and water management districts: Northwest Florida, Suwanee River,

St. Johns River, Southwest Florida, and South Florida, as well as the Army Corps of Engineers (Florida DEP, 2018). Due to these many activities, sediments are often sinks of contaminants for different types of chemicals, such as hydrocarbons, dioxins, pesticides, fertilizers, nutrients, and trace elements (Lucchetti, et al., 2017). Contaminants disturbed during the dredging process can be released and likely bioaccumulated by surrounding biological organisms. Evidence of this has been displayed in the sentinel crab (Macrophthalmus spp.), common periwinkle (Littorina littorea), and Sydney Rock oysters (Saccostrea glomerata) (Davies and Uyi, 2009; Hedge et al., 2009; Saadati et al., 2020).

The South Florida Water Management District manages nine major canals within the area that eventually drain into estuarine areas such as the Intracoastal Waterway and other associated bays and swamps. This discharge then makes its way from the Intracoastal waterway and into the Atlantic Ocean. Water discharges from the major canals can carry bacteria, oil and grease, pesticides, viruses, and toxic trace elements. Water within the Port is classified as Class III, i.e., acceptable for recreation, fish, and wildlife (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2015).

#### **Dredging and Impacts**

Dredging includes the excavation and disposal of bottom material, which can have detrimental impacts on the marine environment (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1983; ABP Research, 1999). Along with harming these aquatic environments, dredging processes and disposal have the potential to change bathymetry, alter water velocities, cause sedimentary regime changes, and erode seagrass beds (Erftemeijer and Lewis, 2006). Specifically, dredging large volumes of sediment will decrease water transparency and increase volume of suspended matter. If the suspended sediment is high in organic matter the resuspension of sediment may lead to changes in water quality as well due to the release of contaminants (Filho et al., 2004). Other implications related to dredging include destruction of benthic communities, nutrient concentration changes, and a decrease in dissolved oxygen levels. Coral reefs and seagrass beds can be most affected, as they are very sensitive ecosystems (Erftemeijer and Lewis, 2006).

Although dredging, or anthropogenic resuspension, is considered a destructive disturbance, natural processes such as bioturbation (resuspension of sediments by living organisms) aids in maintaining ecological balance within sediments. Effects of dredging activity will only be detrimental if the turbidity generated is greater than what is normally occurring in

Table 1 Timeline of Port Everglades History (1911 – 2023)	
Tuble 1. Timeline of Fort Everglades History (1911 – 2025).	
Deepwater Port Project	1911
Excavation of Lake Mabel to build the Port	1913
Port Everglades opened	1920s
Port Everglades completed	1930s
Maintenance dredging projects	1935-1939
Boom in Cruise Ship Operations	1950s
FPL Partnership and increase in petroleum use	1960s
Harbor deepening project	1962
Increased operation of berths and cruise terminals	1980s
Boom in Container Ship Traffic	1990s
Port Everglades estimated \$13.9 billion economic value to South Florida	2010
Navigation channel maintenance project	2013
Dredging Project	2023

the area (Stern and Sickle, 1978; Orpin et al., 2004). In this case, the dredging operation will be extremely harmful to the surrounding ecosystem as there is typically little to no wave action caused by natural forces (e.g., storms, winds, and river discharges). Increased suspended sediments generated by dredging is usually no more than commercial shipping operations, bottom fishing, or severe storms, but the degree of harm depends on quantity frequency and duration of dredging, along with depth and physical dimensions of dredging location, grain size composition, density, and degree of contamination of dredged material, and proximity/distance of sensitive, ecologically/economically important ecosystems such as coral reefs (Pennekamp et al., 1996).

#### Sediments as Sinks for Contaminants

Sediments are described as inorganic or organic particles of biological, chemical, or mineral origin, and the benthic environments within them are highly sensitive, delicate ecosystems. Additionally, the sediment water boundary has the steepest chemical gradient and is most susceptible to disruption (Tuit and Wait, 2020). Marine sediment consists of a variety of materials on the seafloor, originating from biological productivity, continental erosion, cosmic debris, hydrothermal vents and/or volcanism (Dunlea et al., 2018). Sediment particles can be deposited and transported within aquatic systems and involve the interconnection between solid, liquid, and biological phases. Bioavailability of contaminants in sediments such as trace elements can change with depth along with changing seasonally due to temperature changes and various inputs of nutrients (Environment Canada, 1994; US EPA, 1994, 1995; USGS, 2005; Tuit and Wait, 2020). Suspended sediment is a major concern as it can bind contaminants and nutrients. These materials, after released, may become available for biological uptake (Mudroch, 1983).

Sediments of water bodies located in or near industrial locations may be highly polluted with organic and inorganic contaminants, depending on the types of activities in the area (Mecozzi et al., 2011). Economic development, for example, can result in environmental crises such as element pollution (Gao and Chen, 2012). Once trace elements are introduced into the water column, these tend to become incorporated into the underlying sediments, making sediments good indicators of contamination levels (Norville, 2005). Presence of trace elements within sediment can increase transfer within the marine food chain (Saadati et al., 2020); therefore, biomagnification and bioaccumulation are potential outcomes of the contaminants

entering and remaining in the marine environment and can result in an even higher exposure that is already present within the ecosystem (Gao and Chen 2012).

#### **Trace Elements and Heavy Metals in Sediment**

In this study, heavy metals will be referred to as elements, as not all the elements analyzed are metals. However, heavy metal is a commonly used term. Heavy metals are generally defined as naturally occurring metals with a relatively high specific density that may negatively affect the environment and biota (Jakimska et al., 2011; Jaishankar et al., 2014). Heavy metals can be either essential or nonessential to organisms. Essential metals have biochemical and physiological functions, while nonessential metals do not have a biological function in the body (Tchounwou et al., 2012). Essential metals can be harmful at higher levels, but nonessential heavy metals may be highly toxic even at low concentrations. Furthermore, toxic concentrations of heavy metals and trace elements may be just above natural background levels (Jaishankar et al., 2014). Metals of concern for public health include arsenic (considered a metalloid), cadmium, chromium, lead, and mercury due to their high toxicity. The distribution of heavy metals is widespread as they are commonly used in the industrial, agricultural, pharmaceutical, and technological fields (Tchounwou et al., 2012).

Heavy metals have both natural and anthropogenic sources. Most heavy metals are present in the Earth's crust and enter the environment through erosion, volcanic eruptions, and other natural processes. However, many heavy metals are now also introduced into the environment through anthropogenic activities such as the burning of fossil fuels, mining, and industrial processes (Nriagu, 1989; Visschedijk et al., 2004). These metals include mercury, zinc, nickel, lead, cadmium, arsenic, copper, and vanadium (Pacyna et al., 2007; Fowler, 2013). Mining and its associated activities, including smelting and shipping, are large contributors of heavy metals to the environment. A direct relationship was found between the element that is mined and exported and the metals found in the marine environment (Valdes and Castillo, 2014). However, many other contaminants are released in this process. For example, mercury is a byproduct of the smelting of copper, zinc, lead, nickel, and gold (Pirrone et al., 2010). Selenium (considered a non-metal and trace element) is an essential element that is naturally present, but it is also introduced into the environment through the burning of coal and fossil fuels. Arsenic, one of the most common toxins, is introduced anthropogenically through use in herbicides, pesticides, wood preservatives, oil and coal burning, trash incineration, and industrial sources (Rosen and Liu, 2010). Soil, groundwater, and runoff surrounding mines are often heavily contaminated with metals (El Khalil et al., 2008). As a result, heavy metal concentrations are usually elevated in local marine environments and bioaccumulate through trophic webs (Volesky, 1990; Valdés and Castillo, 2014). Heavy metals and trace elements are by-products of multiple industrial processes, varying amounts can get into the environment through waste discharge, anti-fouling paint and heavy fuel from cargo and cruise ships (Robson and Neal, 1997).

Trace elements enter the marine environment through atmospheric and land based effluent sources (Gonzálaz-Marcías, et al., 2006). Metals that are considered most toxic to marine life, in order of decreasing toxicity, include mercury, cadmium, silver, nickel, selenium, lead, copper, arsenic and zinc (Davies, 1979). Sediments can be extremely useful indicators for monitoring these anthropogenic contaminants within aquatic environments (Ergin, et al., 1991; Balls, et al., 1997; Atgin, et al., 2000).

Contaminated sediments in aquatic ecosystems throughout the world have been linked with potential human and ecological risks (Rifkin, et al., 2004). The distribution of these contaminants in aquatic ecosystems depends on the chemical and physical characteristics of these substances, properties of abiotic components and the structure and composition of the biotic community (Jennett et al., 1980). Additionally, partitioning of elements in marine ecosystems is determined by their association with bed sediments, suspended particulates, and organic matter; bottom sediments tend to be one of the major sinks for pollutants as they have the largest capacity for chemical storage (Francis, et al., 1983).

Trace elements have high ecological significance as they can accumulate in both sediment and biota (Nowrouzi and Pourkhabbaz, 2014). Most elements bind to fine-grained sediment fractions (<63  $\mu$ m), as there is a high surface area to grain size ratio and humus matter content (Horowitz and Elrick, 1987; Moore, et al., 1989). The elements within this category display higher bioavailability than sediment fractions larger than 2 mm to 63  $\mu$ m (Everaat and Fischer, 1992). Organic matter content plays a crucial role in sediment ecosystems, as it provides food sources for heterotrophic benthic organisms living below the photic zone (Yang, et al., 2010) and allowing for bioavailability and distribution of contaminants due to its high sorption

affinity to hydrophobic organic contaminants and metals (Li et al., 2009; Fränzle et al., 2007).

Benthic communities within marine sediments are extremely biodiverse. Bacteria, ciliates, fungi, foraminifera, protozoa, meiofauna (nematodes, copepods, turbellarians), and macrofauna (polychaetes, crustaceans, mollusks, echinoderms) thrive in these environments, and all play a role to maintain the ecosystem balance. Bacteria are major players in the breakdown of detritus and help to decompose POC (particulate organic carbon), protozoa and meiofauna feed on bacteria, detritus, fungi and microalgae, and the majority of macrofauna are deposit (obtain nutrition from organic matter within sediments) or suspension feeders (obtain nutrition from passing particles in overlying water) (Snelgrove, 1997).

Benthic marine sediment ecosystems are nutrient rich, as there is a high amount of dead particulate organic material and benthic algae sinking to the bottom from surface waters. Additionally, the benthos can impact water column processes, trophic transfer, global carbon, nitrogen and sulfur cycles, pollutant metabolism, burial and transport, and sediment stability and transport (Snelgrove et al., 1997). The sediments that create these ecosystems are also considered to be a suitable means of identifying sources of element pollution in aquatic ecosystems (Schintu and Degetto, 1999).

The high affinity for metals allows for trace elements concentrate within organic matter and finer grained sediments (Seidemann 1991; Lin and Chen 1998; Espericueta et al., 2006). Wangersky (1986) reported high concentrations of trace elements in organic rich sediments. Additionally, metal concentrations (Cu, Cr, Pb, and Zn) have been found to be positively correlate with organic matter content, as well as a display of positive correlations between trace element adsorption of sediments and organic matter content (Lin and Chen, 1998).

Although many metals and trace elements are biologically essential (copper, nickel, zinc), all are toxic to biological organisms above specific threshold concentrations (Norville, 2005). Threshold effect levels (TEL) and probable effect levels (PEL) can be used as valuable tools to assess Numerical Sediment Quality Assessment Guidelines (SQAG) (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). The threshold effect levels indicate element concentrations in sediment in which benthic organisms have started to exhibit toxic responses, while the probable effect levels indicate concentrations in which a large percentage of benthic organisms have exhibited toxic responses (Geoenvironmental Engineering, 2015).

Background, or baseline, values consisting of surface averages of continental crust composition can also be used to assess sediment contamination. These values are derived from the upper continental crust (the most accessible part of Earth), which is frequently used for various geochemical investigations. The two used methods to determine composition of the upper crust include 1) establishing weighted averages of rocks exposed at the surface and 2) establishing composition averages of insoluble elements in fine-grained clastic sedimentary rocks or glacial rocks and using these values to extrapolate the composition of the upper crust (Clarke, 1889). Composition averages derived from three continents, including the post-Archean Australian average shale (PAAS), European shale composite (ES) and North American shale composite (NASC) samples (Taylor and McLennan, 1995). Chemical compositions of various elements derived from the samples can also be utilized to determine the severity of contamination within sediment samples.

#### Arsenic

Arsenic is the 20<sup>th</sup> most abundant element on earth and is released naturally due to weathering of arsenic-rich rocks and volcanic activity. Arsenic is released into the environment via anthropogenic sources as well, such as herbicides, pesticides, plant defoliants and preservatives. It is also commonly used in various pigments, alloys with lead and copper, glass making, and for medicinal purposes (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

Arsenic is prominently toxic and carcinogenic. In its inorganic forms, arsenic is lethal to the environment and organisms (Jaishankar et al., 2014). The toxicity of arsenic to marine organisms is particularly complicated due to the existence of two different inorganic arsenic species – As (III) and As (V); both can be found in aquatic ecosystems (Liber et al., 2011).

Various sublethal effects on behavior, growth, locomotion, reproduction and respiration have displayed results that arsenic is acutely toxic to marine organisms (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Four studies with freshwater invertebrates (Anderson, 1946; Borgmann et al., 1980; Spehar et al., 1980; Golding et al., 1997) determined that As (III) is generally more toxic than As (V). One of the major sinks for arsenic is in sediments (Pierce and Moore, 1982).

Marine sediments can contain substantial concentrations of total arsenic (100-300  $\mu$ g/g). However, environmental conditions can influence these concentrations; for example, anaerobic incubation of flooded soils and sediments will increase arsenic concentrations in porewaters (Brannon, 1987). Arsenic concentrations in surface water typically occurs in a soluble form that will co-precipitate with hydrated aluminum and iron oxides or is adsorbed by organic matter within the sediments (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Arsenic will also co-precipitate or adsorb easily on other metal sulfides (Demayo et al., 1979). The upper continental crust value of arsenic is 1.5  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of arsenic to marine biota is represented by a TEL value of 7.2  $\mu$ g/g and a PEL value of 41.6  $\mu$ g/g (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### Cadmium

Cadmium is a by-product of zinc production and is often found in soil where it is absorbed and accumulated in plants (Irfan et al., 2013; Jaishankar et al., 2014). Cadmium has similar chemical properties to zinc and can replace zinc in metallothionein, acting as an inhibitor. It can also be found in biocides, batteries, pigments, telephone wires, and may be present in phosphate rocks used for fertilizers (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Anthropogenic sources of cadmium in the marine environment include mining, metal smelting, agricultural uses, fertilizers, pesticides, alloys, paints, and the burning of fossil fuels (CCREM, 1987).

Cadmium transport within sediments typically occurs through organic matter and through co-precipitation with aluminum, iron and manganese oxides (Jaagumagi, 1992). Biological availability of cadmium depends on factors such as pH, redox potential, and water hardness (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

Cadmium toxicity has been found to be potentially harmful for aquatic insect larvae in sediments. Midge larvae exposed to cadmium, as well as chromium and zinc contaminated sediments displayed lower survival rates, decreased length and weight and reduced frequencies of emergence (Wentsel et al., 1977b, 1978). Additionally, chironomid larvae were found to avoid sediments containing > 422  $\mu$ g/g Cd and > 8330  $\mu$ g/g Zn (Wentsel et al., 1977b, 1978), and cadmium levels as low as 6.9  $\mu$ g/g is toxic to marine amphipods (Swartz et al., 1985).

Francis et al. (1983) confirmed the high sorption capacity of cadmium from small quantities of the trace elements mobilized from element-enriched sediments. Sediments containing 100 to 1000  $\mu$ g/g Cd were discovered to have released  $\leq 0.01$  % of the metal into surface waters, demonstrating the potential of bottom sediments to behave as sinks for trace elements (Francis et al., 1983). The upper continental crust value of cadmium is 0.098  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of cadmium to marine biota is

represented by a TEL value of 0.68  $\mu$ g/g and a PEL value of 4.2  $\mu$ g/g (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### Chromium

Chromium is the 7<sup>th</sup> most abundant element on Earth and is present in many oxidation states. It is used in various industrial processes, such as the production of chrome alloys and chromium metals, as well as uses in the chemical industry in the production of ceramics, dyes, explosives, paints, and paper (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Anthropogenic sources of chromium include the production of chromium steels, ferrochromium and metal plating industry emissions, coal and oil burning, and cement manufacturing (Taylor et al., 1979).

The most common forms of this trace metallic element are Cr (III) and Cr (VI); behavior in these two oxidation states differ greatly. Cr (VI) is the dominant form under oxic conditions and typically exists as hydrogen chromate ( $HCrO_4^{-1}$ ) or chromate ( $CrO_4^{-2}$ ), depending on pH. There is clear evidence that exposure to certain levels of Cr (VI) can result in significant health risks in humans, as the soluble form of chromium can readily pass-through cell membranes and oxidize intracellular compounds (Rifkin et al., 2004).

Chromium was first used in the production of stainless steel and coatings (Chandra and Kulshreshtha, 2004). Additionally, chromium is widely used in dyes, pigments, wood processing, photography, textiles, and leather tanning. Due to its widespread industrial use, chromium has been introduced as a byproduct into marine and terrestrial ecosystems, e.g., water, soil, sediment, and air (Rifkin et al., 2004).

In the marine environment, aquatic plants play a crucial role in the uptake, storage, and recycling of metals, such as chromium (Chandra and Kulshreshtha, 2004). A study conducted by Berry et al. (2002) examined mortality rates in amphipods with Cr (III) and Cr (VI) in sediment at concentrations ranging from 10 to 100,000  $\mu$ g/g Cr. Amphipods exposed to Cr (III) experienced approximately 10 % mortality rate at the most highly spiked sediment, however, amphipods exposed to Cr (VI) experienced significant mortality rates (> 90 %) at concentrations starting at 1000  $\mu$ g/g Cr. The upper continental crust value of chromium is 35  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of chromium to marine biota is represented by a TEL value of 52.3  $\mu$ g/g and a PEL value of 160  $\mu$ g/g (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### Cobalt

Cobalt is a relatively rare metal. Recent measurements in seawater suggest that cobalt is scavenged from the deep sea and follows a biogeochemical pathway similar to manganese (Heggie and Lewis, 1984). Exposure to cobalt is mainly a concern for industrial workers, but the general public consumes trace amounts of cobalt through fish and vegetables (Barceloux and Barceloux, 1999). Mild effects of cobalt exposure include reversible hematological and endocrine symptoms, but exposure to high concentrations of cobalt can lead to neurologic and cardiac symptoms (Leyssens et al., 2017). The mechanism of cobalt toxicity is not fully understood, but it appears to damage calcium pumps (Simonsen et al., 2012).

Cobalt toxicity interferes with the homeostasis of calcium and iron which can lead to the disruption of many body functions (Leyssens et al., 2017). Heggie and Lewis (1984) discovered solid-phase concentrations of cobalt in surface sediments to be almost double the level of deep-sea concentrations, indicating large portions of sedimentary cobalt are recycled with manganese between porewaters and redistributed in surface sediments. The upper continental crust value of cobalt is 10  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The toxicity of cobalt to marine biota represented by TEL and PEL has not been recorded in the literature.

#### Copper

Copper is a common metallic element that exists in three forms: Cu, Cu (I), and Cu (II) and is biologically available since it is essential for the proper growth of animals (Flemming and Trevors, 1989; Ikemoto et al., 2004). Weathering or the solution of copper-bearing metals, copper sulfides and native copper are natural sources of this element within marine ecosystems (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Anthropogenic sources of copper include copper wire mills, coal burning industries, smelting, and refining industries, and iron and steel producing industries (CCREM, 1987). Copper is an essential micronutrient (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993); therefore, it is easily and readily accumulated by marine organisms, especially plants. However, copper can be toxic to bivalve mollusks, altering the biochemical and physical properties of the surface epithelium and disrupting membrane permeability (Cheng, 1979). Dean et al. (2007) discovered that a significant amount of copper in marine sediments in fish farms originated from anti-fouling agents. These can be released in either solid or particulate form, from either trapped nets or chipped off hard structures (i.e., container ships) into the marine environment (Claisse and Alzieu, 1993; Miller, 1994; Brooks, 2000; Morrisey et al., 2000; Solberg et al., 2002; Brooks

and Mahnken, 2003). The upper continental crust value of copper is 25  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of copper to marine biota is represented by a TEL value of 18.7  $\mu$ g/g and a PEL value of 108  $\mu$ g/g (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### Lead

Lead is a highly toxic, nonessential metal that is absorbed by plants where it causes damage to chlorophyll and photosynthetic processes and suppresses the overall growth (Jaishankar et al., 2014; Najeeb et al., 2014). The main uses of lead include the manufacturing of lead-zinc batteries, and gasoline alkyl lead additives. Construction materials, electroplating, coatings, dyes, glassware, paints, and storage tank linings, transport of radioactive materials, and roofing are other various uses of lead (CCREM, 1987; MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Lead in the marine environment tends to stay tightly bound to marine sediments under oxidizing conditions and is mainly distributed by atmospheric and riverine inputs (Ottley and Harrison, 1991; Turner et al., 1991; MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993; Grousset et al., 1999) where it is released by high temperature processes and combustion of leaded fuel due to its volatile nature. Lead has the potential to be toxic to marine life due to concentrations in surface sediments in coastal areas (Stamatis et al., 2006).

Heinz et al. (1999) found one in ten mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) died due to 24 % lead-contaminated sediment (3400  $\mu$ g/g) and that protoporphyrin levels in the blood were positively correlated with lead concentrations as well. Therefore, lead in sediment can pose a significant threat to waterfowl, which are known to consume large amounts of sediment while feeding (Heinz et al., 1999). Lead contamination in sediment in this area of study originated from spent shotgun pellets, lead fishing weights, and years of upstream mining activities. Anthropogenic values of total Pb concentrations were examined in surface sediments in the Gulf of Kavala, Greece and they ranged from 4 to 135  $\mu$ g/g, with the total values (ranging from 25 to 209  $\mu$ g/g Pb), indicating a high correlation to anthropogenic values. Deeper sampling sites displayed increased anthropogenic recovery (Stamatis et al., 2006). Gastropods exhibit toxic responses to lead as well. The upper continental crust value of lead is 20  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of lead to marine biota is represented by a TEL value of 30.2  $\mu$ g/g and a PEL value of 112  $\mu$ g/g (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### Manganese

Manganese is the 5<sup>th</sup> most common metal in the Earth's crust. Manganese pollution is common due to its ubiquitous natural occurrence, widespread associations with industrial processes, and high mobilization properties (Paschke et al., 2005; Li et al., 2013). Although Mn occurs naturally in sediments and soils due to the weathering of parent material, anthropogenic processes such as mining, smelting and addition of biosolids/organic wastes to agricultural areas have increased Mn concentrations in many areas (Paschke et al., 2005; Boudissa et al., 2006; Li et al., 2013). Li et al. (2013) studied anthropogenic Mn pollution of Yellow River sediment in the region of Ningxia, northwest China, an agricultural area with hundreds of irrigation channels. Various industrial plants have been established over time in this area due to large economic growth; however, they have contributed to a large portion of air, water, and sediment pollution. Mn values in Yellow River sediment included 750  $\mu$ g/g at 0-5 cm depth, 673.4  $\mu$ g/g at 45-50 cm depth and 620  $\mu$ g/g at 95-100 cm depth (Li et al., 2013). The upper continental crust value of manganese is 600  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The toxicity of manganese to marine biota represented by TEL and PEL has not been recorded in the literature.

#### Mercury

Mercury is the most well-studied trace element due to its extreme toxicity and high concentrations in the environment (Jaishankar et al., 2014). Mercury exists in three forms that vary in bioavailability and toxicity: the metallic element, inorganic salts, and organomercury compounds. These forms of mercury are taken up by microorganisms and methylated to produce the bioaccumulating methylmercury (Das et al., 2003; Jaishankar et al., 2014).

Methylmercury is an organic form of mercury that is lipid soluble and highly toxic (Das et al., 2003). The main form of mercury in sediment is mercury sulfide, which is highly insoluble (Tomiyasu et al., 2000). However, microorganisms in bottom mud can convert several mercury compounds into methylmercury (Johnels and Westermark, 1969). This element is commonly used for medicinal compounds, electrical equipment, the paint industry, paper industry, and in the production of chlorine. In the past, mercury-based pesticides were frequently used, however such practices have been banned (CCREM, 1987).

Marine sediment is considered a sink for mercury released into the environment due to the much longer lifetime of mercury in sediment. Additionally, mercury in sediment provides multiple depositional records (spatial distribution) and may provide temporal behavior of sedimentary mercury as well. Discharged mercury is sediment and its environmental impact has become a global concern (Nriagu et al., 1992; Akagi et al., 1995; Ikingura and Akagi, 1996). The main source of this contamination is the recent increase of mercury in gold mining in many developing countries (Tomiyasu et al., 2000).

Methylmercury is readily taken up by marine organisms. Therefore, sedimentary methylmercury may be re-taken up in the biogeochemical cycle and is likely to be bioaccumulated at high levels in marine organisms (CCREM, 1987). The upper continental crust value of mercury is 0.096  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of mercury to marine biota is represented by a TEL value of 0.13  $\mu$ g/g and a PEL value of 0.70  $\mu$ g/g (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### Molybdenum

Molybdenum is an essential element with low toxicity (Barceloux and Barceloux, 1999). It does not occur naturally in a pure metallic state, thus occurs in association with other elements. It is generally found as Mo (IV) and Mo (VI) in nature; Mo (IV) is often found as MoS<sub>2</sub> in mineral deposits (Fox and Doner, 2003). Many of the anthropogenic sources for molybdenum include mining operations (and by-products of copper-mining operations), municipal sewage, or coal combustion. Most molybdenum is used in stainless steel and cast-iron alloys (Barceloux and Barceloux, 1999). Invertebrate mortality in short-term tests have been reported at molybdenum concentrations greater than 28  $\mu$ g/g, with some LC50 (lethal concentration) doses as high as 2650-3619  $\mu$ g/g (Martin and Holdich, 1986; Khangarot, 1991; Naddy et al., 1995). The upper continental crust value of molybdenum is 1.5  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The toxicity of molybdenum to marine biota represented by TEL and PEL has not been recorded in the literature.

#### Nickel

Nickel is the 23<sup>rd</sup> most abundant metal in the Earth's crust and typically occurs naturally in a combination with arsenic, antimony, and sulfur, and is commonly used in the production of nickel alloys, nickel plating and stainless steel (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Anthropogenic sources include electroplating industries, nickel ore mining, fossil fuel combustion, and smelting and mining activities (CCREM, 1987).

Nickel typically occurs in the Ni (II) form in aquatic ecosystems. In sediments, it is deposited due to the sorption to organic matter and co-precipitation with iron and manganese oxides (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Nickel within sediment also tends to form complexes with iron and manganese oxides (Jaagumagi, 1992).

The toxicity of dissolved nickel to marine invertebrates has been widely reported, with LC50 doses of  $0.2 - 70 \ \mu g/g$  (Warnick and Bell, 1969; Biesinger and Christensen, 1972; Baudouin and Scoppa, 1974; Martin and Holdich, 1986; Khangarot and Ray, 1989, Schubauer-Berigan et al., 1993; Phipps et al., 1995; Doig and Liber, 2006). Further effects of nickel-contaminated sediments on aquatic organisms include reduction in growth, avoidance reactions, and mortality. In the presence of copper, the toxicity of nickel increases; therefore, the existence of both elements in sediment may be a factor that increases the contamination factor of Ni. The upper continental crust value of nickel is  $20 \ \mu g/g$  (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of nickel to marine biota is represented by a TEL value of 15.9  $\mu g/g$  and a PEL value of 42.8  $\mu g/g$  (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### Selenium

Selenium has mainly been studied for its role in selenoproteins and detoxification of heavy metals. The chemical forms of selenium in sediment are unknown, however, selenium may enter aquatic systems as selenite, selenate, or elemental selenium from smelting operations (Peters et al., 1999). Previous studies from Fowler and Benayoun (1976a), Lui et al. (1987), and Zhang et al. (1990) demonstrated evidence of food web bioaccumulation as the major route of selenium accrual in marine animals. However, selenium concentrations in organic detritus in sediments is thought to be a greater contributor to food web contamination than that dissolved in water (Canton and Van Derveer, 1997). Selenium values in selenium spiked sediment in Lake Macquarie, Australia (conducted by Peters et al., 1999) displayed evidence that two invertebrate species, polychaete Marphysa sanguinea and bivalve Spisula trigonella, amassed selenium from the spiked sediment, confirming bioaccumulation. The upper continental crust value of selenium is 50  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The toxicity of selenium to marine biota represented by TEL and PEL has not been recorded in the literature.

#### Tin

Tin can be found as inorganic or organic forms in the environment (natural or anthropogenic sources) and occur naturally as inorganic forms within the Earth's crust. Chronic exposure to tin can lead to accumulation in the kidneys, liver, and bones. The organometallic form of tin, organotin, primarily originates from anthropogenic sources. Short chain alkyl forms of tin, specifically butylin compounds, have a significant effect on gastropods as they simulate the development of male sex characteristics in female gastropods (Ostrakhovitch, 2015). Wong et al. (1982) discovered that organic tin compounds in green and blue algae cultures acted as inhibitors to primary production and reproduction.

Tributyltin (TBT), a member of the organotin compound family, is used in biocidal wood preservatives and the production of plastics. The most important tributyltin compounds include tributyltin fluoride (TBTF) and tributyltin oxide (TBTO) (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Tributyltin oxide is utilized as a slimicide in cooling water towers, a wood preservative, and marine anti-fouling paint for use on vessels of all types (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993; De Mora et al., 1995).

Tributyltin is frequently leached from the paint and is released into the water column and underlying sediments. TBT compounds are extremely toxic to marine life (flora and fauna). It was discovered that tributyltins can produce negative biological effects, even at extremely low levels. De Mora et al. (1995) examined surface sediments from the Auckland region of New Zealand following newly regulated introduction of organotin (TBT)-containing marine paint. Concentrations from 13 locations ranged from < 2 to 1360  $\mu$ g/g. The high toxicity of TBT compounds and the elevated potential of their release into marine ecosystems makes them a significant threat in marine sediments (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Insufficient data exist to determine TEL and PEL toxicity levels for TBT compounds; however, Clark et al. (1987) observed 100 % mortality in grass shrimp exposed to tributyltin concentrations as low as 10 mg/g. The upper continental crust value of tin is 5.5  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The toxicity of tin to marine biota represented by TEL and PEL has not been recorded in the literature.

#### Vanadium

Vanadium is a trace element, widely distributed throughout nature, and present in nearly all living organisms. It may exist in valence states ranging from +2 to +5. V (IV) and V (V) are dominant under moderately reducing and aerobic conditions (Fox and Doner, 2003). Major anthropogenic sources of vanadium include power-producing plants using fossil fuels, burning of coal wastes, and dumps of coal dusts in mining areas. Marine invertebrates, such as tunicates,

have been found to accumulate vanadium levels up to 0.3 % dry weight. Aquatic plant growth can be simulated by trace amounts of vanadium, however above 100  $\mu$ g/g are toxic (Venkataraman and Sudha, 2005). A sediment incubation study conducted by Amrhein et al. (1993) discovered that vanadium concentrations slowly decreased under reducing conditions, while under oxidizing conditions vanadium concentrations dropped to nearly zero. Additionally, values recorded by Fox and Doner (2003) indicated that vanadium generally decreased with increasing depth of soil cores. The upper continental crust value of vanadium is 60  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The toxicity of vanadium to marine biota represented by TEL and PEL has not been recorded in the literature.

#### Zinc

Zinc is the 24<sup>th</sup> most abundant element in the Earth's crust, and is used in alloys for die casting, dry batteries, brass, roofing, and in coatings to protect iron and steel (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). Common sources of zinc in the marine environment originate from aerial deposition and riverine inputs (Neff, 2002). Major anthropogenic sources of zinc into the marine environment include atmospheric emissions, iron and steel production, wood combustion, municipal wastewater effluents, smelting, refining activities, and zinc mining (CCREM, 1987).

Zinc toxicity in sediments depends not only on its total concentration, but on mobility and reactivity to ecosystem components; adsorbed metals onto solid particles (i.e., sediment) are potentially available as they may be dissolved due to changes in salinity, pH, redox conditions, etc. (Rousseau et al., 2009). Zinc existing at a neutral pH can be deposited in sediments by sorption to clay minerals, organic matter, and hydrous iron and manganese oxides. However, sorption of organic matter seems to be the most significant environmental process in fine-grained sediments (Jaagumagi, 1992; MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). The upper continental crust value of zinc is 71  $\mu$ g/g (Taylor et al. 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and the toxicity of zinc to marine biota is represented by a TEL value of 124  $\mu$ g/g and a PEL value of 271  $\mu$ g/g (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993).

#### **Potential Impacts**

Element contamination, which is frequently detected in sediments, has become a concern worldwide. Trace elements can stay in the sediment for long periods of time, but not forever. Combinations of chemical and physical water conditions will result in these contaminants to become available to overlying water and marine organisms. These contaminants can be significantly harmful to aquatic organisms, as well as having the potential to be toxic to humans through the food chain (Peng et al., 2009).

Port Everglades, located in direct proximity to the Intracoastal Waterway, exhibits characteristics of an estuarine environment, as it is semi-enclosed and connects to the ocean. Estuarine waters, the most productive marine ecosystems in the world (Underwood and Kromkamp, 1999) can receive significant anthropogenic input from point and non-point sources located within or near the estuary (Chapman and Wang, 2000). Historic contamination, such as decades' worth of port contaminants, remains a significant concern for these sediments and can have correlated effects on benthic and water column species (Hedgpeth, 1967; Varanasi et al. 1985; Nichols et al. 1986; French, 1993; Valette-Silver, 1993; Stein et al., 1995; Virkanen, 1998).

From 2013 to 2015, a dredging project in the Port of Miami, similar to the proposed Port Everglades dredging project, yielded significant sediment accumulation, resulting in a higher prevalence of partial mortality of corals (Miller et al., 2016). Although the dredging project will convenience many industries, it has the potential to be extremely harmful to the aquatic environment, particularly for benthic communities and corals, which take thousands of years to form.

Although the projected negative impacts are known, the consequences of dredging and other coastal construction are unavoidable due to the proximity of the reef ecosystems. Dredging reduces visibility and smothers reef organisms, leading to sediment rejection behaviors. Corals can display sediment rejection in various ways such as: corals polyp rejection, mucus production, ciliary/tentacular action, morphological variation (Erftemeijer et al., 2012). Southeast Florida's reef ecosystems are highly biodiverse, with several species of scleractinian and gorgonian corals, sponges, algae, and reef fish (Walker et al., 2012). Specifically, 27 species of scleractinian corals and 39 species of gorgonians can be found along Palm Beach, Broward, and Miami-Dade counties (Goldberg, 1973).

#### **Study Importance**

This study provides a first ever comprehensive approach to quantify element contamination within Port Everglades sediment and compare them with West Lake and the nearby coral reef sites. The temporal and spatial aspects of the sediment core samples are valuable first steps to understanding the accumulation of these elements and their variation within each core, site, and throughout Port Everglades. This research lays groundwork for future anthropogenic contamination research and environmental toxicology studies.

#### Objectives

The port sediment analysis focused on identifying and quantifying fourteen different trace elements in Port Everglades and the coral reef sediment prior to the 2023 proposed dredging project using induced coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Fourteen trace elements (arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, tin, vanadium, and zinc) were measured in fourteen sediment cores 1-2m in length collected in Port Everglades and surrounding areas. These data have established contaminated element accumulation levels that could be released to the nearby coral reef track by the resuspension of sediment during the massive dredging operation.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Sample Collection**

Permits for sediment core collection were provided by Broward County (Permit #ES2019-11), US Army Corps of Engineers (Permit #SAJ-2019-01644(NW-LCK)), and Florida DEP (Permit #05131935, Project #06-375933-001-EE). Sediment cores, collected on July 9-11, 2019, ranging from 1-2 meters in length, were collected from seven different locations via vibratory coring from a boat platform within and outside Port Everglades, Florida including 1) Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC): contained exposed mangroves and is located adjacent to the park road (26.064° N, 80.114° W), 2) Park Education Center (PEC): located within a semi-exposed mangrove channel with shallow tidal flow (26.084° N, 80.112° W), 3) Park Headquarters (PHQ): an exposed beach located south of Oceanographic Center along a small beach and lies within the Von D. Mizell – Eula Johnson State Park (26.07372° N, 80.11276° W), 4) South Turning Basin (STB): a semi-isolated canal surrounded by mangroves located within Port Everglades (26.075° N, 80.1162° W), 5) West Lake (WL): an isolated water body west of the intracoastal waterway, surrounded entirely by mangroves (26.075° N, 80.112° W), 6) North Reef (RF): Located between the first reef tract and Fort Lauderdale Beach, (26.110° N, 80.100° W), and 7) South Reef (RF): located between mooring balls and Park Beach, situated directly east of port gantry (26.074° N, 80.096° W). A map of coring locations can be found in Figure 1.

A Bradford pneumatic vibrator, powered by an air compressor, was clamped to aluminum barrels (measuring 7.5 cm diameter and 3 m length). This setup was further supported by a vibracore extraction land-based tripod. The United States Geological Survey (USGS) at the Davie and St. Petersburg locations provided the materials for and assisted in this process. Core catchers were positioned at the base of each barrel to ensure complete recovery of the sediments. The mechanical vibration of the pneumatic vibrator pushed the barrels into the sediment. Upon recovery of the sediment core, the barrel was removed from the water and the Bradford pneumatic vibrator was detached. The barrels were then cut to core length via pipe cutter and capped immediately. The core lengths were then measured, recorded, and prepared for transport.

The cores were split longitudinally at the USGS St. Petersburg Coastal and Marine Science Center using a custom-made sediment core splitter. Following the splitting process, each core segment was placed inside plastic sleeves prevent desiccation and prepared for transport to the USGS laboratory at the USGS laboratory at NSU's Center for Collaborative Research building, where they were stored horizontally at 4° C in a Continental CH3R-GD refrigerator. High resolution, panoramic photos were taken of each core prior to sampling to assess lamination following the sampling process. Subsamples of sediment (1 cm<sup>3</sup>, 2-3 g) were taken at 5 cm intervals along the entire length of the core from surface to base. A total of 302 sediment samples were collected and analyzed for the 14 element concentrations.

#### **Digestion and Analysis**

Each sediment sample was washed three times with ultrapure deionized water (18.2 megohm) from a Barnstead water purification system. The sediments were then pre-dried overnight in an VWR drying oven for 18 hours at 80° C and then for five hours in a Fischer Scientific isotemp vacuum oven model 282A at 80° C at a pressure below 10<sup>-2</sup> torr, using a 14008-01 model Welch 1400 DuoSeal vacuum pump. The dry weight of each sample was then recorded. The digestion technique used for this experiment was EPA Method 3050B (EPA). Ultrapure deionized water was added to reach the remaining volume of 100 mL.

Analyses were performed using a sector-field induced coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) (ThermoFisher Element XR) with a Peltier-cooler spray chamber (PC-3; Elemental Scientific, Inc.) at The University of Southern Mississippi's Center for Trace Analysis.



Figure 1. Map of Port Everglades showing Port Everglades, West Lake, and north and south reef sampling location

Concentrations of fourteen elements were measured for arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), molybdenum (Mo) manganese (Mn), mercury (Hg),nickel (Ni), selenium (Se), tin (Sn), vanadium (V), and zinc (Zn). Prior to analysis, digested samples were diluted 5-fold in 0.64 M ultrapure nitric acid (Seastar Baseline) containing 2 ppb indium as an internal standard. Diluted samples were held in acid-washed Teflon autosampler vials. Mass spectrometer scans were performed in low (Cd-111, Hg-199, 200, 201, 202, Pb-208), medium (Al-27, V-51, Cr-52, Mn-55, Fe-56, Co-59, Ni-60, Cu-63, Zn-66), and high (As-75, Se-77, 82) resolution, depending on the isotope. Mo-98 was monitored to correct for MoO<sup>+</sup> interference on Cd. Standardization was employed by external standards, with a high standard and a blank re-run every eight samples. For the elements (Hg, Se) where multiple isotopes were determined, no significant analytical differences were noted between the isotopes. Two USGS reference water concentrations were also assessed as part of each analytical run to verify the standardization. In several cases, sample calibration was also verified by standard additions. Blanks of ultrapure deionized water, hydrogen peroxide, and trace metal basis nitric acid (10 %) were used for quality control purposes. Detection limits were calculated as three times the standard deviation of the blank (Appendix Table 1). SRM (Standard Reference Material) was digested and analyzed as well to evaluate reliability of the analytical methods used in this study. The SRM recovery rates for As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, Sn, V, and Zn were 73 %, 89 %, 71 %, 62 %, 76 %, 66 %, 82 %, 48 %, 57 %, 79 %, 76 %, 31 %, 66 %, 77 %, respectively.

#### **Continental Crust Composition**

Continental crust chemical composition averages were derived from three continents, including the post-Archean Australian average shale (PAAS), European shale composite (ES) and North American shale composite (NASC) samples (Taylor and McLennan, 1995). These naturally occurring crustal compositions can be utilized to determine the severity of contamination within sediment samples.

#### **Geo-accumulation Index**

The geo-accumulation index ( $I_{geo}$ ) measures the pollution intensity of individual sampling locations. This is a quantitative measure of the degree in contamination in sediments (Förstner et al., 1990). The  $I_{geo}$  is calculated with the following calculation:

$$I_{geo} = \log 2(\frac{Cn}{1.5xBn})$$

Cn represents measured concentrations within the sediment cores and Bn represents background levels of the continental crust (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). Mueller (1979) has provided values that quantify the degree of contamination from the above equation:

4 - 5: strongly to extremely contaminated

3 - 4: strongly contaminated

2 - 3: moderately to strongly contaminated

1 - 2: moderately contaminated

0 - 1: uncontaminated to moderately contaminated

< 0: uncontaminated.

#### **Pollution Load Index**

The pollution load index (PLI) has been proposed by Tomlinson et al. 1980. PLI is calculated using contamination factor (CF), represented by  $C_{metal}/C_{background}$ . The calculation for PLI is as follows:

 $PLI = (CF1 \times CF2 \times CF3 \times ... CFn)^{1/n}$ 

where n = number of elements. This approach looks at elements within each sediment core as a whole and providing a PLI value that explains overall element pollution within each sediment sample. A sample with a PLI > 1 is classified as polluted while a sample with a PLI < 1 indicates that there is no contamination present (Tomlinson et al., 1980; Ray et al., 2006; Badr et al., 2009).

#### **Potential Ecological Risk Index**

The potential ecological risk index (PER) is another approach to observe the contamination degree of the overall element concentrations within each sediment sample (Jahan and Strezov, 2018). Guo et al. (2010) presented the following equation to determine the potential ecological risk index:

$$PER = \sum E$$
$$E = TC$$
# T = Ca/Cb

where  $C_a$  = element content within sample and  $C_b$  = reference value of the element. T represents the toxic response factor as follows: Zn, Mn = 1, Cr = 2, Cu, Pb = 5, Ni = 6, As = 10, and Cd = 30 (Hakanson, 1980; Fu et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2014; Cao et al., 2015).

# Threshold effect levels (TEL) and Probable effect levels (PEL)

Threshold effect levels (TEL) and probable effect levels (PEL) can be used as valuable tools to assess Numerical Sediment Quality Assessment Guidelines (SQAG) (MacDonald and Ingersoll, 1993). The threshold effect levels indicate element concentrations in sediment in which benthic organisms have started to exhibit toxic responses, while the probable effect levels indicate concentrations in which a large percentage of benthic organisms have exhibited toxic responses (Geoenvironmental Engineering, 2015).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted with Microsoft Excel (v. 2012; Microsoft Corporation) and the statistical software PRIMER (v7, PRIMER-E Ltd). A parametric design was employed to assess differences among trace element concentrations at the seven sites and at every 5 cm. Single-factor one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was utilized to determine significant differences (p < 0.05) between and among elements within and across sample sites. The statistical software PRIMER (v7) was used to generate Pearson correlations to test for differences among the trace element concentrations. The Pearson correlation values were then used to create dendrograms and non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) cluster analyses to further investigate relationships between the element concentrations within the sediment cores. A single factor one-way ANOVA was performed to examine significant mean differences (p < 0.05) between maximum concentrations amongst coring locations and match paired t-tests were performed to further investigate the origin of the significant differences (v. 2012; Microsoft Corporation).

# **Results and Discussion**

Ten sediment cores were taken from four sites within Port Everglades and two cores from West Lake south of Port Everglades along the Intracoastal Waterway (ICW). Three cores were taken from Dania Cutoff Canal (DCC), two from South Turning Basin (STB), three from Park Education Center (PEC), and two from Park Headquarters (PHQ). Replicate cores within each site were taken to compare element concentration variations per location as sediments are dynamic systems. All cores were collected along the perimeter of the Intracoastal Waterway within the port and in West Lake (WL) in water depths less than two meters. Reef sites (RF) surface sediment concentrations were compared to the surface concentrations of all cores. Concentrations of all 14 elements were detected in all sediment cores. Table 2 illustrates the total elemental concentrations across each sediment core from greatest to least, while Table 3 demonstrates all elemental ranges, upper continental crust values (Rudnick and Gao, 2003), and TEL and PEL values.

Cobalt, cadmium, mercury, and selenium were consistently in the lowest concentrations across all port sites, West Lake, and the adjacent nearshore coral reef tract. Meanwhile, arsenic, manganese, vanadium, and zinc concentrations were the highest at all port sites, West Lake, and the nearshore reef sites. Chromium was the highest at the nearshore reef sites but not across the port sites or West Lake. Molybdenum was the second lowest element concentration at the reef sites and fifth lowest at West Lake while it was moderately to highly represented at all the port sites.

### **Elemental Core and Sediment Differences per Location**

No significant differences were noted between or among replicate cores at WL or replicate sediment samples from the nearshore reef sites. However, two of the four port sites (DCC, PEC) exhibited significant variability, specifically among tin concentrations. DCC exhibited significant differences among the three duplicate cores in concentrations of cadmium and tin and PEC exhibited significant differences (p < 0.05) among concentrations of tin (Appendix Table 2). No significant differences in elemental concentrations were found among the cores collected at PHQ and STB. No significant differences were found between the element concentrations of duplicate cores in WL. No significant differences were found among the element concentrations of the surface sediment samples from either north or south RF. The single factor one-way ANOVA revealed significant mean differences between elemental concentrations (Port and WL) and RF sites were not able to be determined due to small sample sizes (i.e., surface sediment samples of only 5 cm in RF sites). The elements (Co, Mn, and Se) with significant differences between Port and WL, p < 0.006, 0.0055, and 0.0032, respectively, were all elements that displayed low concentrations with respect to the upper continental crust

values (Table 3). Due to the low concentrations of these elements, variance was lower, and therefore the analysis of variance (single-factor one-way ANOVA) was more likely to detect differences between coring locations (Port and WL).

Hg (p = 0.0002) did not display concentrations below upper continental crust values (Table 3). The majority of Hg concentrations were not detected (Appendix Tables 4 - 17) and were only measured in spikes (Appendix Tables 5, 7 - 9, 12 - 13, 14 - 15). This may be the reason of the significant difference of Hg concentrations across Port locations and WL. Comparisons to Continental Crust Values

Of the fourteen elements analyzed (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, Sn, V, Zn), all but nickel and selenium had at least one concentration in the cores that exceeded continental crust values (Table 3). Sediment molybdenum concentrations exceeded those of continental crust ( $1.5 \mu g/g$ ) at all port locations but not WL or the RF sites (Appendix Tables 4 - 17). Concentrations in one port location (DCC core 3, 384.5  $\mu g/g$ ) exceeded continental crust values by more than 250 %. Arsenic (entire core), cadmium (entire core), molybdenum (entire core), chromium (35 cm), vanadium (35 cm) were above continental crust values at PHQ. Vanadium and chromium have been previously found to be incorporated into sediments due to adsorption to soluble iron (Fe) oxide grain coatings at the sediment water interface (Loring, 1979). Arsenic, cadmium, copper, mercury, molybdenum, and tin in the sediment from WL exceeded continental crust values. The north and south RF sites had levels of copper (28.6  $\mu g/g$ ) and zinc (91.1  $\mu g/g$ ) These concentrations were 1.4 and 1.3 times greater, respectively, than those found in the crust. Arsenic concentrations were anywhere from 1.8 to 5.7 times higher than the background continental crust.

Overall, sediment samples from cores collected within the port displayed the most element concentrations above continental crust values, with one location exceeding background levels for 11 of the 14 elements (PEC, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Mo, Ni, Sn, V, and Zn). WL displayed five of 14 element concentrations above continental crust values (As, Cd, Hg, Mo, and Sn), and north and south RF sites only displayed three of 14 elements (south RF - As, Cu, and Zn); the RF sites had the lowest levels of elemental contaminants.

#### Geo-accumulation index (Igeo)

Of the 14 elements analyzed, only arsenic and molybdenum exhibited geo-accumulation values showing moderate to extreme contamination levels. Molybdenum and arsenic displayed contaminated Igeo values in DCC and PHQ core samples, ranging from strongly to extremely contaminated (Appendix Tables 18 - 19). Molybdenum and arsenic within STB cores exhibited moderate to strongly contaminated values (Appendix Table 20). Arsenic and molybdenum exhibited uncontaminated to moderately contaminated values at PEC (Appendix Table 21). Arsenic in WL was the only element to show moderately to strongly contaminated levels (Appendix Table 22). Both RF sites showed uncontaminated to moderately contaminated reserves to moderately contaminated to moderately contaminated to moderately to strongly contaminated levels (Appendix Table 22). Both RF sites showed uncontaminated to moderately contaminated arsenic levels (Appendix Table 23).

# **Pollution load index (PLI)**

The PLI value explains the overall element pollution within each sediment sample. This approach assesses elements within each sediment core. The DCC site cores had polluted sediments throughout the core. STB had low PLI levels while PEC yielded polluted sediments only at the surface sediment. The PLI at PHQ was below contaminated values. The PLI in WL and the RF sites all showed no signs of contamination (Appendix Table 24).

#### Potential ecological risk (ERI)

DCC sediment exhibited high to significantly high ecological risk. STB and PHQ sediment exhibited considerable to significantly high ecological risk. PEC displayed low to high ecological risk throughout the cores except for the surface sediment that exhibited significantly high risk. WL exhibited low to considerable ecological risk while the RF sites displayed low to moderate potential ecological risk (Appendix Table 25).

### Threshold and Probable Effect Levels (TEL and PEL)

DCC arsenic exceeded both TEL and PEL at all depths, chromium and nickel regularly exceeded TEL while copper was found above TEL levels at one DCC sample and Zn exceeded TEL levels at one sample and PEL levels in another (Appendix Tables 26 - 28). PEC samples exceeded the PEL for arsenic, copper, mercury, nickel, and zinc while exceeding the TEL for copper and zinc. PEL also had most of its high elemental contaminants (Cd, Hg, Pb, Ni, Zn, Cu and As) in its surface sediment (Appendix Tables 29 - 31). Arsenic exceeded the TEL values in PHQ cores while cadmium also exceeded the TEL values in multiple samples (Appendix Tables 32 - 33). Copper found at the STB exceeded the PEL level while arsenic, mercury, and zinc

exceeded the TEL and were found primarily at the surface of the cores (Appendix Tables 34 - 35).

Copper and mercury exceeded the TEL in WL samples while arsenic exceeded the TEL values across the WL cores. No PEL values were exceeded by any of the fourteen elements in the WL core samples (Appendix Tables 36 - 37). The only elemental concentration found above the PEL threshold was copper in a single sample at the RF sites; the north RF site had samples containing arsenic concentrations (Appendix Tables 38 - 39).

#### Comparison of Port, WL, and RF with world-wide Port Elemental Concentrations

Appendix Table 40 displays elemental concentrations of marine sediment at various worldwide Port locations with the Port Everglades data added for comparison purposes. Concentrations of cobalt and nickel were generally lower in the Port Everglades cores (Co  $0.02 - 7.40 \ \mu\text{g/g}$  and Ni  $0.42 - 19.5 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ ) than those found in previous port studies in New South Wales Australia (Co: 1-12  $\mu$ g/g and Ni  $3-20 \ \mu$ g/g), Naples, Italy (Co: 1.96-7.2  $\mu$ g/g) and Koper, Slovenia (Ni  $61.3-109.4 \ \mu$ g/g). Concentrations of chromium ( $0.34 - 56.8 \ \mu$ g/g), copper ( $0.29 - 210 \ \mu$ g/g), mercury ( $0 - 0.74 \ \mu$ g/g), lead ( $0.06 - 35.9 \ \mu$ g/g), manganese ( $1.6 - 203.6 \ \mu$ g/g), selenium ( $0.05 - 6.8 \ \mu$ g/g), tin ( $0.0 - 140.1 \ \mu$ g/g), vanadium ( $0.2 - 176.2 \ \mu$ g/g), and zinc ( $0.63 - 387 \ \mu$ g/g) were similar to concentrations those found in these studies, while arsenic ( $0.8 - 223 \ \mu$ g/g), cadmium ( $0.0 - 0.92 \ \mu$ g/g) and molybdenum ( $0.0 - 140.1 \ \mu$ g/g) were consistently higher than those found in port sediment in these various locations (Appendix Table 40).

# Elemental Contaminants – Dania - Cutoff Canal

The highest concentration of molybdenum was displayed in DCC (384.5  $\mu$ g/g), 256 times greater than continental crust background levels, Appendix Table 6), and was the highest molybdenum concentration in all the cores (Port, WL, and RF) collected in this study. The range of molybdenum in DCC was not detected (n/d) to 384.5  $\mu$ g/g. The high concentrations of molybdenum could be due to anoxic and sulfidic conditions in the water column. Molybdenum concentrations in sediment, along with iron (Fe) distribution, is typically used to identify such conditions in marine systems (Scholz, et al. 2017). Molybdenum also has a long residence time of approximately 440,000 years in oxygenated seawater (Miller, et al. 2011), and is converted to sulfur-containing complexes in the presence of dissolved hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) (Helz et al. 1996; Erikson and Helz, 2000; Vorlicek et al., 2004; Dahl et al., 2013a). Therefore, sedimentary Mo enrichments will typically indicate the presence of hydrogen sulfide as well as anoxic conditions (Scholz, et al., 2017). It is possible sediment samples collected from DCC contained anoxic and H<sub>2</sub>S sediments due to consistently high levels of Mo in the first 50 cm. Additionally, DCC displayed the highest level of arsenic of all the cores collected within this study, with a concentration of 223  $\mu$ g/g (Appendix Table 6), 148.7 times greater than upper continental crust values. The range of arsenic in DCC was  $0.80 - 233 \mu$ g/g.

High concentrations of both molybdenum and arsenic could indicate a significant contamination within the surrounding ecosystem. Significant correlations between the two elements (R = 0.87641) were displayed within DCC as well (Figure 2; Appendix Table 41). Visible evidence of this disturbance, e.g., an obvious separation between sediment and organic material, within the longitudinally split sediment core can be seen in the Appendix Figure 3.

Dania Cutoff Canal is located just south of Port Everglades and is used by small ships handling heavy equipment and general cargo (U.S. Harbors, 2021). Widespread groundwater contamination within Port Everglades has been reported due to storage tank leakage, as well as observations of significant standing petroleum floating and mixing on the groundwater table. The Port Everglades Impact Statement (2015) stated that contamination into the underlying groundwater and adsorption of elements by underlying sediments in Port Everglades and Dania Cutoff Canal is likely; therefore, elements above PEL were to be expected. All other samples for all 14 elements were below the TEL (Appendix Tables 26 - 28).

### **Elemental Contaminants - Park Education Center**

Manganese (98.1  $\mu$ g/g), vanadium (176.2  $\mu$ g/g), and zinc (602.8  $\mu$ g/g) were the highest concentrations found in PEC. Manganese has been associated with mangrove forest rhizospheres and high permeability of sediments (Guieros et al., 2003). Vanadium and zinc are strongly associated with organic matter (Emerson and Huested, 1991; Shine and Ford, 1995; Du Laing et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2016; Telfeyan et al., 2017). Thus, sediments with higher particulate organic matter are more likely to become contaminated with elements over time through processes such as sorption to humic substances (Liang and Wong, 2003).

The highest concentration of copper was displayed in PEC (214.9  $\mu$ g/g, 8.6 times greater than continental crust background levels, Appendix Table 8), and had the highest copper concentration of all the cores (Port, WL, and RF) collected in this study. Copper has been used in antifouling treatments since ancient times (Yebra et al., 2004); however, starting at the end of the 18<sup>th</sup> century, is has been more frequently incorporated along with other metals such as lead,

arsenic, and mercury (OSPAR Commission, 2016). This sample site's proximity within central Port Everglades may explain the high concentrations of copper found in surface sediment. The highest concentration of zinc was displayed in PEC (602.8  $\mu$ g/g, 8.5 times greater than continental crust background levels, Appendix Table 9), was also the highest zinc concentration of all the cores (Port, WL, and RF) collected in this study. Copper and zinc have been previously analyzed in surface sediments in Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina, an area that is near oil refineries, terminals, petro-chemical industries, and textile plants that discharge waste into the surrounding estuaries. This area additionally is frequently utilized by fishing boats and cargo ships and requires frequent dredging. Both copper and zinc were detected in surface sediments in Bahía Bahía Bay, with zinc displaying a 1.3 times high acute toxicity than copper to recently hatched Chasmagnathus granulate larva, a burrowing semiterrestrial crab (Ferrer et al., 2003).

PEC displayed strong correlations (R > 0.8) between the following: molybdenum and arsenic (R = 0.92858), copper and lead (R = 0.8888), and arsenic and selenium (R = 0.85846) (Figure 3, Appendix Table 42). Lead is known to have a high correspondence with reactive solid phases and copper concentrations are strongly affected by the presence of organic matter (Laxen, 1985; Della Puppa et al., 2013; Seda et al., 2016). Additionally, lead has been found to bind to soil particles as well as to living and dead microbial cells (Chaney et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2009). It has also been found in association with copper and iron in freshwater streams in central Indiana (Reising et al., 2018). Anthropogenic sources or arsenic and selenium include coal combustion, mining, smelting, and municipal, industrial, and domestic waste disposal (Wen and Carignan, 2007; Zeng et al., 2015; Ali et al., 2019;). Arsenic and selenium are both metalloids with very similar chemical properties with different biological effects (Sun et. al., 2014). However, little is known about their elemental interactions in sediment.

# **Elemental Contaminants - Park Headquarters**

Element concentrations in PHQ exceeded upper continental crust values (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) for the following: molybdenum (269.5  $\mu$ g/g, 179.1 times greater than upper continental crust values), cadmium (0.70  $\mu$ g/g, 7.1 times greater than upper continental crust values), vanadium (70.8  $\mu$ g/g, 1.18 times greater than upper continental crust values), zinc (241  $\mu$ g/g, 3.4 times greater than upper continental crust values) and arsenic (75.0  $\mu$ g/g, 50 times greater than upper continental crust values) (Appendix Tables 10 - 11). Park Headquarters is less than 0.4

kilometers south of Park Education Center, however, it displayed considerably lower element concentrations. It is difficult to determine the cause of such differences, as sediment systems are dynamic and unpredictable. One site may have had more anthropogenic sediment disturbance related to park activities than the other.

PHQ displayed significant correlations (R > 0.8) between the following: molybdenum and arsenic (R = 0.8717), molybdenum and selenium (R = 0.8243), lead and copper (R = 0.8954), vanadium and chromium (R = 0.9150), vanadium and selenium (R = 0.8889), chromium and selenium (R=0.9181), and arsenic and selenium (R = 0.8045) (Figure 4, Appendix Table 43). Although this location displayed many significant interactions between elements, the highest element concentrations found within PHQ were molybdenum ( $269.5 \mu g/g$ , 177 times greater than upper continental crust values) and zinc ( $241 \mu g/g$ , 3.4 times greater than upper continental crust values) (Appendix Tables 10 - 11). Like DCC and PEC, evidence of anoxic conditions, organic matter, and higher likelihood of contamination were displayed at PHQ (Shine and Ford, 1995; Du Laing et al., 2007; Schneider et al. 2016; Scholz et al., 2017).

### **Elemental Contaminants - South Turning Basin**

The highest element concentrations within STB were copper (136.5  $\mu$ g/g), manganese (101.8  $\mu$ g/g), and zinc (190  $\mu$ g/g) (Appendix Tables 12 - 13). Samples collected from the South Turning Basin exceeded upper continental crust values (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) for the following: arsenic (59.9  $\mu$ g/g, 39.9 times greater than upper continental crust values); cadmium (0.35  $\mu$ g/g, 3.6 times greater than upper continental crust values), copper (136.5  $\mu$ g/g, 5.5 times greater than upper continental crust values), copper (136.5  $\mu$ g/g, 5.5 times greater than upper continental crust values), lead (28.3  $\mu$ g/g, 1.4 times greater than upper continental crust values), mercury (0.19  $\mu$ g/g, 1.9 times greater than upper continental crust values) tin (11.1  $\mu$ g/g, 2.0 times greater than upper continental crust values), and zinc (190  $\mu$ g/g, 2.7 times greater than upper continental crust values) (Appendix Tables 12 - 13).

Sediment core samples collected from STB were visibly high in organic matter content (Appendix Figures 9-10), which may explain the higher number of elemental concentrations exceeding upper continental crust values (Rudnick and Gao, 2003), as elements such as arsenic, cadmium, copper, mercury, and zinc have been found to be associated with high particulate organic matter (Coale and Bruland, 1988; Moffett and Dupont, 2007; Bruland, 1989; Donat and Bruland, 1990; Little et al., 2014; DiToro et al., 1990; Gibbs, 1973; Orem et al., 1986; Chin and

Gschwend, 1991; Johansson and Iverfeldt, 1994; Kainz et al., 2003; Mirlean et al., 2003; Wang and Mulligan, 2005). Additionally, STB displayed values exceeding probable effect level (PEL) threshold values for copper ( $0.36 - 136.5 \ \mu g/g$ , PEL value: 108  $\ \mu g/g$ ), and South Turning Basin core 1 displayed a single value (10 cm) of arsenic exceeding the PEL threshold (range  $3.0 - 59.9 \ \mu g/g$ , PEL value: 41.6  $\ \mu g/g$ ).

Element distribution and their relationship with organic matter is a crucial component to determining elemental mobility, potential bioavailability, and toxicity to the surrounding aquatic ecosystems (Baran et al., 2019). Copper and zinc are commonly associated with high organic matter content (Shine and Ford, 1995; Du Laing et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2016), due to slow decompositions rates in the anaerobic conditions (Liang and Wong, 2003). Sediments with high manganese content often occur in anoxic basins (Huckriede and Meischner, 1996).

STB displayed a multitude of significant interactions (Figure 5, Appendix Table 44); the highest Pearson correlations coefficients were found to be between molybdenum and arsenic (R=0.9105), cadmium and lead (R = 0.9597), cadmium and vanadium (R=0.9360), cadmium and chromium (R=0.9394), cadmium and nickel (R = 0.9679), cadmium and zinc (R=0.9449), cadmium and copper (R = 0.9466), lead and nickel (R = 0.9442), lead and zinc (R=0.9835), lead and copper (R = 0.9964), vanadium and chromium (R = 0.9637), vanadium and nickel (R=0.96), vanadium and selenium (R = 0.9755), chromium and nickel (R = 0.9812), chromium and selenium (R = 0.9592), cobalt and selenium (R = 0.9462), nickel and zinc (R = 0.9273), nickel and selenium (R = 0.9287), and zinc and copper (R=0.9840). Arsenic cadmium, copper, lead, molybdenum, and zinc exceeded upper continental crust values (39.9, 3.6, 5.5, 1.4, 59, and 2.7 times greater, respectively) for samples collected from South Turning Basin, all of which have been found to be associated with high organic matter content (Laxen, 1985; Emerson and Huested, 1991; Shine and Ford, 1995; Kaschl et al., 2002; Korshin et al., 2005; Wang and Mulligan, 2005; Du Laing, et al., 2007; Della Puppa et al., 2013; Marks et al., 2015; Schneider, et al., 2016; Seda et al., 2016; Telfeyan, et al., 2017).

## **Elemental Contaminants - West Lake**

Sediment core samples collected from West Lake Park served as the control, as the site is located within a mostly isolated water body west of the Intracoastal Waterway within an enclosed mangrove habitat five miles south of Port Everglades. There is little to no anthropogenic activity since boats are not permitted. The sediment samples provided valuable insight to the degree of contamination within the area. Low to no water movement was observed in this sample site. However, concentrations exceeding upper continental crust values (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) included molybdenum (3.6  $\mu$ g/g, 2.4 times greater than upper continental crust values), cadmium (0.28  $\mu$ g/g, 2.9 times greater than upper continental crust values), mercury (0.26  $\mu$ g/g, 2.7 times greater than upper continental crust values), copper (30.4  $\mu$ g/g, 1.2 times greater than upper continental crust values), tin (7.7  $\mu$ g/g, 1.4 times greater than upper continental crust values), and arsenic (21.7  $\mu$ g/g, 14.5 times greater than upper continental crust values) (Appendix Tables 14 - 15). Even so, elemental concentrations from WL are significantly lower than sediment samples collected from the Port (Appendix Tables 4 – 15).

WL TEL threshold levels were exceeded at only specific depths for mercury (TEL 0.13  $\mu g/g$ , 45-55 cm WL 1, 40 cm WL 2), nickel (TEL 15.9  $\mu g/g$ , 75 cm WL 2), copper (TEL 18.7  $\mu g/g$ , 5 – 10 cm WL 1, 5 cm WL 2), and arsenic (TEL 7.24  $\mu g/g$ , found consistently throughout both WL 1 and WL 2 cores) (Appendix Tables 36 – 37). Molybdenum concentrations in WL (n/d - 3.61  $\mu g/g$ ) were much lower compared to Port sites (n/d – 140.1  $\mu g/g$ ) (Appendix Tables 4 – 15).

Overall, the sediment core samples collected from the port and WL displayed high correlations between molybdenum and arsenic (R = 0.83629), chromium and nickel (R = 0.84364), chromium and selenium (R = 0.84602), and copper and lead (R = 0.79765) (Figure 6, Appendix Table 45). The highest correlations among elements from WL were between cadmium and lead (R = 0.9239), vanadium and tin (R = 0.9811), chromium and cobalt (R = 0.9081), nickel and tin (R = 0.9715), and arsenic and selenium (R = 0.9608), with the highest elemental concentrations in manganese and zinc (Figure 6, Appendix Table 45). WL is surrounded by mangroves and their rhizospheres can result in increased manganese levels (Guieros et al., 2003). The particulate organic matter located in the upper portion of the cores may explain the high levels of zinc as it is strongly associated with organic matter (Emerson and Huested, 1991; Shine and Ford, 1995; Du Laing et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2016; Telfeyan et al., 2017).

#### **Elemental Contaminants - North and South Reef**

North RF had high arsenic concentrations (up to 8.55  $\mu$ g/g, 5.7 times greater than upper continental crust values) (Appendix Table 16). North RF displayed significant correlations (R > 0.8) between cadmium and lead (R = 0.9843), cadmium and vanadium (R = 0.9648), cadmium and chromium (R = 0.9968), cadmium and manganese (R=0.9996), lead and vanadium (R =

0.9033), lead and chromium (R = 0.9953), lead and manganese (R = 0.9887), vanadium and chromium (R=0.9405), vanadium and manganese (R = 0.9574), chromium and manganese (R = 0.9986), cobalt and zinc (R = 0.9646), cobalt and copper (R = 0.9988), nickel and zinc (R = 0.9263), nickel and tin (R = 0.9932), and zinc and copper (R = 0.9508) (Figure 7, Appendix Table 46). South RF had correlations between cadmium and cobalt (R = 1), lead and nickel (R = 0.9869), vanadium and arsenic (R = 0.9998), manganese and zinc (R = 0.9999), manganese and copper (R = 0.9989), and zinc and copper (R = 0.9993) (Figure 8, Appendix Table 47).

South RF displayed high arsenic (up to  $3.82 \ \mu g/g$ ), copper (28.6  $\mu g/g$ ), and zinc (91.1 $\mu g/g$ ) concentrations as well (Appendix Table 17). The copper levels were high enough to exceed the TEL threshold of 18.7  $\mu g/g$ . Although element concentrations were found to be visibly lower in the RF sites compared to both Port and WL, arsenic concentrations were consistently high (up to 5.7 times above the upper continental crust values). in both north and south RF, although lower than Port and WL (Appendix Tables 4 - 17).

There are both natural and anthropogenic sources of arsenic including erosion of arseniccontaining rocks, volcanic eruptions, mining and fracking, coal-fired power plants, trash incineration, arsenic- chromated copper arsenate (CCA) treated wood, cattle-dipping vats, chicken litter, fertilizers, pesticides, soil amendments, and sludges from water treatment plants (Missimer et al., 2018). A major anthropogenic source of copper into the marine environment is from antifouling paints, which are used to coat buoys, ship hulls and underwater surfaces. Additionally, chromated copper arsenate (CCA) is used in treated timbers for decking and piling structures as well (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2007). Along with copper, the antifouling paint product is dominated by zinc as well (Ytreberg et al., 2016). Elemental Contaminants Comparisons for all Sites

Overall, sediment samples collected from the Port cores (DCC, PEC, PHQ, STB) displayed higher concentrations of all elements, except As, compared to WL and RF sites (Appendix Tables 4 - 17). As was found to be extremely high within the Port, exceeding PEL thresholds at all four Port sites (DCC, PEC, PHQ and STB). However, As was consistently high in WL, and RF sites, as well, exceeding the TEL threshold in all but one of the sampling sites (south RF).

### As and Mo Covariance in Port Sites

All sites revealed that arsenic (As) concentrations (0.6-223.2  $\mu g/g$ ) in all cores exceeded probable effect levels (PEL, 41.6  $\mu g/g$ ), where a large percentage of benthic organisms show a toxic response. Molybdenum (Mo) concentrations (0-384.5  $\mu g/g$ ) in all cores exceed the background continental crust (1.5  $\mu g/g$ ). Excluding West Lake, North Reef, and South Reef, all port cores (DCC, STB, PEC, and PHQ) displayed evidence of covariance between molybdenum and arsenic.

Transfer of arsenic from the water column to marine sediments is associated with iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) oxyhydroxides and oxides (Tribovillard, 2020). Similarly, molybdenum may be transferred from the water column to sediments through a particulate shuttle effect involving Fe and Mn (Algeo and Lyons, 2006; Algeo and Tribovillard, 2009). Additionally, high molybdenum values have been found in areas bearing manganese oxides (Crusius et al., 1996).

Significant correlations between molybdenum and arsenic (R = 0.87641) were displayed within all three cores collected from DCC (Figure 2, Appendix Table 41). Arsenic displays correlations with molybdenum enrichments in iron shuttling processes and diagenetic cold fluid circulation (i.e., cold seeps). In both cases of particulate iron shuttling and diagenetic fluid circulation, the correlation between molybdenum and arsenic is caused by a strong relationship between these two metals and reactive iron. Iron causes both molybdenum and arsenic to combine within the sediment where they are trapped (Tribbovillard, 2020). Therefore, there is a high possibility of iron within the sediment samples.

Anthropogenic origins of molybdenum in the environment include agricultural and industrial contamination, such fly ash from mine wastes, fossil fuel combustion (Morrison and Spangler, 1992; Zhang and Reardon, 2003) and crop supplementation to counteract molybdenum deficiency in crops (WHO, 2011a). Arsenic has been used extensively in Florida since 1893 as a pesticide in agriculture and later on golf courses (Singleton, 1929; Miller and McBride, 1931; Miller et al., 1933; Deszyck and Sites, 1953; Singleton, 1958; Deszyck and Ting, 1959; Beard, 1998; Cai et al., 2002; Fishel, 2005; and Bencko and Foong, 2017;). Default Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTL) for arsenic levels in Florida soils are defined as 2.1  $\mu$ g/g in residential environments and 12  $\mu$ g/g in commercial or industrial environments (Chapter 62-777, Florida Administrative Code). Arsenic levels found within this study exceeded not only TEL and PEL

thresholds and displayed extreme contamination (geo-accumulation index, potential ecological risk), arsenic concentrations exceeded the Florida SCTL (2.1  $\mu$ g/g) as well. Average arsenic concentrations in Florida have been reported to be 3  $\mu$ g/g (Goldberg, 1963); therefore, anthropogenic inputs are significantly contributing to the arsenic contamination found within the sediment core samples.

Another possible contributor to the anthropogenic sources of arsenic found within the sediment cores is past discharges from Wingate Road Municipal Incinerator and Landfill, a site located approximately 5 miles west of Port Everglades. Municipal solid waste was regularly incinerated here from 1954 to 1978. After being added to the Environmental Protection Agency's Superfund National Priorities list in 1990, the site closed 11 years later. Investigations of toxic chemicals, heavy metals and trace elements have been conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency. Previous arsenic detections as early as 1996 were found to be 211  $\mu$ g/g at the Wingate Road Municipal Incinerator Dump and Landfill site (EPA, 1996). Additionally, a cancer incidence data analysis was conducted in 2016 for communities in the vicinity of the facility (Florida Department of Health and Division of Environmental Health, 1997). Levels of arsenic at the previous Wingate facility were found to be 23  $\mu$ g/g in soil and ash residue and 46  $\mu$ g/g in sediment, as well as sediment collected from Rock Pit Lake (south of Wingate) (59  $\mu$ g/g in 2013 and 12.2  $\mu$ g/g in 2015) (EPA, 2016).

The combustion of solid waste produces fly ash and bottom ash, which have been found to contain arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and nickel (Chrostowski and Sager 1991). Due to the close vicinity of this site to Port Everglades, it is possible that the high volume of ash produced by the Wingate facility between the years 1954 and 1978 could be one of the root causes of high levels of arsenic across all locations. However, sedimentation rates are variable by location; therefore, it may be difficult to pinpoint exact timelines to which the ash entered the water column and settled into marine sediment.

Along with multiple possibilities of anthropogenic sources, particulate organic matter may play a role as well. Previous case studies have demonstrated that naturally occurring organic matter has the potential to influence the sorption behavior of arsenic. Organic matter can enhance arsenic release by 1) competition for available adsorption sites, 2) formation of aqueous complexes, or 3) change of redox chemistry of the arsenic species and site surfaces (Wang and Mulligan, 2005). High geo-accumulation index levels of tin were found in association with molybdenum and arsenic in all three cores collected from Dania Cutoff Canal (Appendix Table 18); tin has also been used in biofouling paints: In the early 1960s, organotin compounds (commonly in the forms tributyltin, TBT, or triphenyltin, TPhT) were used in paints as a biocide (Dafforn et al., 2011). The tin-containing biofouling paints became popular as they were effective at low concentrations and were used extensively in the 1970s and 1980s. However, negative environmental effects were observed due to their usage, and the organotin compounds were restricted in the late 1980s for use only on vessels less than 25 meters in length. Today, the anti-fouling market is dominated by copper- and zinc-based paints (Ytreberg et al., 2016).

#### **Copper and Zinc Covariance in Port and West Lake Sites**

Copper and zinc consistently displayed maximum values at the same depths, mostly surface sediment (5 to 30 cm) in the following cores: Dania Cutoff Canal 1 (174.5  $\mu$ g/g Cu, 5 cm and 102.0  $\mu$ g/g Zn, 5 cm), Park Education Center 1 (28.1  $\mu$ g/g Cu, 55 cm and 33.3  $\mu$ g/g Zn, 55 cm), Park Education Center 2 (214.9  $\mu$ g/g Cu, 5 cm and 415.6  $\mu$ g/g Zn, 5 cm), South Turning Basin 1 (129  $\mu$ g/g Cu, 20 cm and 190  $\mu$ g/g Zn, 25 cm), South Turning Basin 2 (136.6  $\mu$ g/g Cu, 15 cm and 156.6  $\mu$ g/g Zn, 15 cm), West Lake 1 (30.4  $\mu$ g/g Cu, 5 cm and 49.8  $\mu$ g/g Zn, 5 cm), and West Lake 2 (21.1  $\mu$ g/g Cu, 5 cm and 37.7  $\mu$ g/g Zn, 5 cm) (Appendix Tables 4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15).

Copper is both a common marine pollutant and an essential element to marine organisms in trace amounts. At higher concentrations, however, it can have toxic effects on marine organisms (Kim et al., 2008). Particularly, copper can be extremely toxic to coral reefs (Sabdano, 2009). Sabdano, 2009 demonstrated dramatic coral bleaching and death at copper concentrations of 0.1  $\mu$ g/g. This indicates the potential severity of the much higher levels of copper observed in this study to the nearshore coral reef tracts.

Copper has been known to be strongly complexed to organic material (Coale and Bruland, 1988; Moffett and Dupont, 2007), and is incorporated within some insecticides in Florida (Diepenbrock et al., 2020). Zinc has been correlated to organic material as well, however, to a lesser extent and by weaker ligands than copper (Bruland, 1989; Donat and Bruland, 1990; Little et al., 2014). Due to the relationship among copper, zinc, and organic materials, it has been hypothesized that enrichments of the two elements in anoxic sediments are controlled by both sulphidiation and transfer of organic matter to the sediment (Francois, 1988; Calvert and Pederson, 1993; Brumsack, 2006; Tribovillard et al., 2006). Both copper and zinc are used in antifouling and anticorrosive paints that protect the hulls of marine ships, and zinc is often associated with increased sewage, wastewater discharge, and increased sedimentary runoff due to rapidly expanding coastal development (Ali et al., 2011). Due to the high ship traffic in proximity to the sampling sites, these factors may be what responsible for the large zinc spikes observed in the sediment samples.

# **Cadmium in Port Sites**

Cadmium concentrations (0-0.92  $\mu$ g/g) were found above upper continental crust levels at specific depths, Dania Cutoff 1-3, Park Education Center 1-3, Park Headquarters 1-2, South Turning Basin 1-2, with the highest cadmium level found at 130cm in Park Education Center 1, 9.4 times higher than background levels. Park Education Center was the only site with Cd levels above TEL and PEL values (Appendix Tables 29 – 30).

Cadmium is an element of concern due to its toxicity and potential for trophic transfer (Jacob et al., 2013), and it is one of the EPA's priority pollutants due to its bioaccumulation, persistence, and toxicity (Fu and Allen, 1992). Accumulation of cadmium in organisms is of high concern due to its carcinogenic properties and relative toxicity (Waalkes, 2000; Goyer et al., 2004; Satarug et al., 2010).

One common source of cadmium is within mineral phosphate fertilizers, which commonly contains high zinc concentrations as well (Mortvedt, 1996; Lambert et al., 2007). Although little is known about the adsorption of cadmium by sediment, previous studies (Lo et al., 1992) have found that organic matter tends to bind to cadmium and copper, inducing mobility and affects bioavailability.

#### Mercury in Port and West Lake Sites

Mercury concentration spikes (0-0.63  $\mu$ g/g) were found above the threshold effect levels (TEL:\_0.13  $\mu$ g/g) in the following cores and depths: Dania Cutoff Canal 2 (20 cm), Park Education Center 1 (135 cm), Park Education Center 2 (80 cm), Park Education Center 3 (125 cm), South Turning Basin 1 (15 cm), South Turning Basin 2 (10 cm), West Lake 1 (55 cm), and West Lake 2 (40 cm) (Appendix Tables 5, 7 – 9, 12 – 13, 14 – 15).

Mercury is listed as a priority pollutant by international agencies of marine environmental protection and is acutely hazardous in estuaries due to their high biologic productivity (Baeyens and Leermakers, 1998; Kannan et al., 1998; Sferra et al., 1999; Harland et al., 2000; Turner et al., 2001). This has additionally been reported for marine mammals such as dolphins, porpoises, and

seals (Law et al., 1992). Organic matter has been found to be an important component in controlling the distribution of mercury and other trace elements in bottom aquatic sediments (Gibbs, 1973; Orem et al., 1986; Chin and Gschwend, 1991; Johansson and Iverfeldt, 1994; Kainz et al., 2003; Mirlean et al., 2003).

A study conducted through Simón Bolívar University in Caracas, Venezuela revealed that Porites astreoides exposed to  $1.738 \ \mu g/g$  Hg accumulated 89% of the mercury within the zooxanthellae, with the remaining found to have accumulated in the polyps and skeleton. Porites astreoides also experienced a decrease in both zooxanthellae density and protein content per unit surface area (Bastidas and García, 2004). All surface sediment samples collected from the North reef and South reef locations did not contain mercury. This provides a clear baseline of the present conditions of the reef tracts in proximity to Port Everglades.

### **Potential Impacts to Coral Reefs**

The Port Everglades dredging project will most likely present an elemental contaminant threat to the adjacent coral reef species, as most sediment cores collected near the port displayed evidence of elemental contaminant concentrations at dangerous levels. All reef sediment samples (North and South Reef) displayed significantly lower element concentrations compared to sediment core samples from all locations. These locations have provided pre-dredging baselines and will help to understand the full extent of the potential impacts to the reef ecosystems.

Coral reefs are ubiquitous throughout many shallow coastal environments in lower latitudes and are at high risk of rising pollution pressures (Ali et al., 2011). Trace elements are included in the three classes of pollutants that pose a significant risk to coral ecosystems, and various stress responses, including 1) physiological stressors, 2) inhibition or coral fertilization and reduced reproductive stress, 3) decreased settlement and survival of coral larvae, 4) changes in growth and population of zooxanthellae, 5) changes in photosynthesis rates resulting in decrease of coral calcification and growth rates during juvenile polyp stage, 6) increased bleaching, and 7) overall increased coral mortality have been linked to elevated element levels (Kayser, 1976; Esquivel, 1983; Howard and Brown, 1984; Heyward 1988; Abdel-Salam, 1989; Harland and Brown, 1989; Goh, 1991; Falkowski et al., 1993; Reichelt-Brushett and Harrison, 1999, 2005; Reichelt-Brushett and Harrison 2000; Ferrier-Pages et al., 2001; Reichelt-Brushett and Michalek-Wagner, 2005; Mitchelmore et al., 2007; Sabdono 2009). Laboratory experiments have displayed evidence of chemical contamination posing a threat to corals species; however, it is difficult to pinpoint specific contaminants. Low concentrations of copper, zinc, and iron can physiologically impact coral function at various life These toxic substances, commonly found in antifouling agents, can have severe impacts on corals. Unfortunately, the effects of these elements are "silent", resulting in chronic and/or sublethal stress or mortality of unknown causes (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2015).

### Conclusions

Sediments within the vicinity of Port Everglades contain a variety of potentially harmful elemental concentrations. Trace elements were found in high concentrations at all Port locations but not at the coral reef sites. Contamination spikes (including cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, and zinc) throughout the sediment cores suggest possible elemental interactions with high organic matter and/or anthropogenic inputs contributing significantly to element concentrations in sediment. Arsenic (As) consistently exceeded both TEL and PEL threshold levels within the Port, as well as exceeding TEL in WL and RF sites. Additionally, molybdenum (Mo) exceeded upper continental crust values (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) in the Port and WL. However, there has been no TEL or PEL Mo data in the literature to help determine its toxicity to the marine environment; therefore, more studies will need to be conducted to determine the potential toxicity of Mo to benthic organisms. It is likely that the surrounding coral reef ecosystem will be greatly affected by these contaminants once the dredging project begins. The measured port sediment is highly contaminated with arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu), mercury (Hg), molybdenum (Mo), and zinc (Zn), and the high level of water and sediment movement produced during the dredging operation will be much greater than what typically occurs in the shallow Intracoastal Waterway. Due to the high elemental concentrations found within this study, the potential impacts to the nearby reef tracts (coral damage or mortality) could be substantial.

### **Future Considerations**

Along with organic matter, various elements have been found to be directly correlated with iron (Fe) concentrations in marine sediments. The inclusion of Fe in future studies will most certainly aid in the understanding of the origin of element concentrations in sediment samples.

Additionally, future research on the extent of entry and accumulation of elements in

marine port sediment would help determine the potential impacts to benthic communities and nearby coral reefs. A separate study to investigate the change in element concentrations postdredging, as well as incorporating the analysis of persistent organic pollutants (POP), would help to identify the extent of the damage, and possibly to further identify their sources which pose significant threats to the marine ecosystem. Separate studies of temporal accumulation of elements in sediment cores to create a timeline of when contamination occurred would contribute substantially to the discovery of their origin. Sediment traps will need to be placed at the RF locations to observe various types of accumulation and determine possible contaminant sources. Future research will also be needed to determine sedimentary rates, sediment types, and the amount of particulate organic matter (POM) present within the sediment cores. Table 2. Order of elements from greatest to least based on concentration in each sediment core. DCC = Dania Cutoff Canal, PEC = Park Education Center, PHQ = Park Headquarters, STB = South Turning Basin, WL = West Lake, NR = North Reef, SR = South Reef.

DCC1: Mn > As > Cu > Sn > Zn > V > Mo > Cr > Ni > Pb > Se > Co > Cd > HgDCC2: Mn > Sn > As > V > Mo > Cr > Zn > Ni > Cu > Se > Pb > Co > Cd > HgDCC3: Mn > Mo > As > Zn > V > Cu > Sn > Cr > Ni > Pb > Se > Co > Cd > HgPEC1: Mn > V > Zn > Cr > Cu > As > Pb > Mo > Ni > Sn > Se > Co > Cd > HgPEC2: V > Mn > Zn > Cu > Cr > As > Pb > Mo > Ni > Sn > Co > Se > Cd > HgPEC3: Zn > V > Mn > Cr > Cu > As > Sn > Ni > Mo > Pb > Co > Se > Cd > HgPHQ1: Mo > V > As > Zn > Mn > Cr > Ni > Cu > Sn > Pb > Se > Co > Cd > HgPHQ2: Mo > V > As > Mn > Cr > Ni > Zn > Cu > Sn > Pb > Se > Co > Cd > HgSTB1: Zn > Cu > Mn > V > Mo > As > Cr > Pb > Ni > Sn > Se > Co > Cd > HgSTB2: Zn > Cu > Mn > V > As > Mo > Pb > Cr > Ni > Sn > Se > Co > Cd > HgWL1: Mn > Zn > Cu > As > Pb > Cr > V > Ni > Sn > Mo > Se > Co > Cd > HgWL2: Mn > Zn > As > V > Cu > Pb > Cr > Ni > Sn > Mo > Se > Co > Cd > HgNR1: Mn > As > V > Cr > Zn > Sn > Pb > Cu > Ni > Se > Co > Cd > Mo > HgNR2: Mn > Cr > V > As > Zn > Sn > Pb > Cu > Ni > Co > Se > Cd > Mo > HgNR3: Mn > V > As > Cr > Zn > Sn > Pb > Cu > Ni > Se > Co > Cd > Mo > HgSR1: Zn > Cu > Mn > Cr > V > As > Sn > Pb > Ni > Se > Co > Cd > Mo > HgSR2: Mn > Cr > V > Zn > As > Sn > Pb > Ni > Cu > Se > Co > Cd > Mo > HgSR3: Mn > Zn > Cr > V > As > Pb > Sn > Ni > Cu > Se > Co > Cd > Mo > Hg

s of 14 Elements Analyzed (μg/g) compared to Threshold Effect Levels (TEL), Probable Effect Levels (PEL), and s (Rudnick and Gao, 2003).	Continental Crust			1.5	0.098	35	10	25	20	600	0.098	1.5	20	50	5.5	60	71	
	shold Effect Levels (1EL), F	ssessment Guidelines	PEL		41.6	4.21	160	NA	108	112	NA	0.626	NA	42.8	NA	NA	NA	271
	(µg/g) compared to 1 hres	Sediment Quality As	TEL		7.24	0.676	52.3	NA	18.7	30.2	NA	0.13	NA	15.9	NA	NA	NA	124
	s of 14 Elements Analyzed (Rudnick and Gao, 2003).	Range of Values	(b/gµ)	(cores)	0.8 - 223	0 - 0.92	0.34 - 56.8	0.02 - 7.40	0.29 - 210	0.06 - 35.9	1.6 - 203.6	0 - 0.74	0.0 - 384.5	0.42 - 19.5	0.05 - 6.8	0.0 - 140.1	0.2 - 176.2	0.63 - 387
	I able 5. Kange of Values Continental Crust Values	Trace Element			Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Manganese	Mercury	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	Tin	Vanadium	Zinc



Figure 2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within Dania Cutoff Canal categorized by R = 0.2 and R = 0.6 Pearson correlation coefficients.











Figure 5. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) Cluster Analysis of elemental concentrations found within South Turning Basin categorized by R = 0.2 and R = 0.6 Pearson correlation coefficients.











Figure 8. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) cluster analysis of elemental concentrations found within south RF, categorized by R = 0.2 and R = 0.6 Pearson correlation coefficients.

# Literature Cited

- Abdollahi, S., Raoufi, Z., Faghiri, I., Savari, A., Nikpour, Y., and Mansouri, A. (2013).
   Contamination levels and spatial distributions of heavy metals and pahs in surface sediment of imam khomeini port, Persian Gulf, Iran. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 71(1-2), 336–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.025
- ABP Research (1999). Good practice guidelines for ports and harbors operating within or near UK European marine sites. English Nature, UK Marine SACs Project, 120.
- Adamo, P., Arienzo, M., Imperato, M., Naimo, D., Nardi, G., and Stanzione, D. (2005).
   Distribution and partition of heavy metals in surface and sub-surface sediments of Naples City Port. Chemosphere, 61(6), 800–809. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.04.001
- Akagi, H., Malm, O., Branches, F. J., Kinjo, Y., Kashima, Y., Guimaraes, J. R., Oliveira, R. B., Haraguchi, K., Pfeiffer, W. C., Takizawa, Y., and Kato, H. (1995). Human exposure to Mercury due to goldmining in the Tapajos River Basin, Amazon, Brazil: Speciation of Mercury in human hair, blood and urine. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 80(1-4), 85–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01189656
- Algeo, T. J., and Lyons, T. W. (2006). Mo-total organic carbon covariation in modern anoxic marine environments: Implications for analysis of paleoredox and paleohydrographic conditions. Paleoceanography, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004pa001112
- Algeo, T. J., and Tribovillard, N. (2009). Environmental analysis of paleoceanographic systems based on molybdenum–uranium covariation. Chemical Geology, 268(3-4), 211–225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2009.09.001
- Ali, A.-hamid A., Hamed, M. A., and Abd El-Azim, H. (2010). Heavy metals distribution in the coral reef ecosystems of the Northern Red Sea. Helgoland Marine Research, 65(1), 67–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-010-0202-7
- Ali, W., Aslam, M. W., Feng, C., Junaid, M., Ali, K., Li, S., Chen, Z., Yu, Z., Rasool, A., and Zhang, H. (2019). Unraveling prevalence and public health risks of arsenic, uranium and co-occurring trace metals in groundwater along riverine ecosystem in Sindh and Punjab, Pakistan. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 41(5), 2223–2238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-019-00278-7
- Ali, W., Zhang, H., Junaid, M., Mao, K., Xu, N., Chang, C., Rasool, A., Wajahat Aslam, M., Ali, J., and Yang, Z. (2020). Insights into the mechanisms of arsenic-selenium interactions and the associated toxicity in plants, animals, and humans: A critical review. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 51(7), 704–750. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2020.1740042

- American Association of Port Authorities. (2013). Port Industry Statistics. Retrieved December 17, 2021, from http://www.aapa-ports.org/unifying/content.aspx?ItemNumber=21048
- Amrhein, C., Mosher, P. A., and Brown, A. D. (1993). The effects of redox on Mo, U, B, V, and as solubility in evaporation pond soils. Soil Science, 155(4), 249–255. https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-199304000-00003
- Andersen, K. (2000). Port Everglades, a century of opportunity: Chronological digest of outstanding growth. Port Everglades Dept. of Broward County.
- Badr, N. B., El-Fiky, A. A., Mostafa, A. R., and Al-Mur, B. A. (2008). Metal Pollution Records in core sediments of some Red Sea coastal areas, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 155(1-4), 509–526. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-008-0452x
- Baeyens, W., and Leermakers, M. (1998). Elemental Mercury concentrations and formation rates in the Scheldt estuary and the North Sea. Marine Chemistry, 60(3-4), 257–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4203(97)00102-3
- Balls, P. W., Hull, S., Miller, B. S., Pirie, J. M., and Proctor, W. (1997). Trace metal in Scottish estuarine and coastal sediments. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 34(1), 42–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-326x(96)00056-2
- Baran, A., Mierzwa-Hersztek, M., Gondek, K., Tarnawski, M., Szara, M., Gorczyca, O., and Koniarz, T. (2019). The influence of the quantity and quality of sediment organic matter on the potential mobility and toxicity of trace elements in bottom sediment. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 41(6), 2893–2910. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-019-00359-7
- Barceloux, D. G., and Barceloux, D. (1999). Molybdenum. Journal of Toxicology: Clinical Toxicology, 37(2), 231–237. https://doi.org/10.1081/clt-100102422
- Barnes, H. (1984). Heavy metals and reef corals. Oceanography and Marine Biology, An Annual Review, Volume 22, 198–215. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781482267242-8
- Bastidas, C., and García, E. M. (2004). Sublethal effects of Mercury and its distribution in the coral porites astreoides. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 267, 133–143. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps267133
- Baudouin, M. F., and Scoppa, P. (1974). Acute toxicity of various metals to freshwater zooplankton. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 12(6), 745–751. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01685925
- Beard, J. B. (1998). The origins of turfgrass species. Science for the Golf Course, International Sports Turf Institute. 49-55.

- Belkin, H. E., and Sparck, H. M. (1993). Mercury, arsenic, antimony, and selenium contents of sediment from the Kuskokwim River, Bethel, Alaska, USA. Environmental Geology, 22(2), 106–110. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00789322
- Bencko, V., and Yan Li Foong, F. (2017). The history of arsenical pesticides and health risks related to the use of agent blue. Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, 24(2), 312–316. https://doi.org/10.26444/aaem/74715
- Berry, W. J., Boothman, W. S., Serbst, J. R., and Edwards, P. A. (2004). Predicting the toxicity of chromium in sediments. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry: An International Journal, 23(12), 2981-2992.
- Bertine, K. K., and Turekian, K. K. (1973). Molybdenum in marine deposits. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 37(6), 1415–1434. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(73)90080-x
- Bielmyer, G. K., Grosell, M., Bhagooli, R., Baker, A. C., Langdon, C., Gillette, P., and Capo, T. R. (2010). Differential effects of copper on three species of scleractinian corals and their algal symbionts (symbiodinium spp..). Aquatic Toxicology, 97(2), 125–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.12.021
- Biesinger, K. E., and Christensen, G. M. (1972). Effects of various metals on survival, growth, reproduction, and metabolism of Daphnia Magna. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 29(12), 1691–1700. https://doi.org/10.1139/f72-269
- Borgmann, U. (1980). Interactive effects of metals in mixtures on biomass production kinetics of freshwater copepods. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 37(8), 1295–1302. https://doi.org/10.1139/f80-165
- Børufsen Solberg, C., Sæthre, L., and Julshamn, K. (2002). The effect of copper-treated net pens on farmed salmon (Salmo salar) and other marine organisms and sediments. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 45(1-12), 126–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-326x(01)00296-x
- Boudissa, S. M., Lambert, J., Müller, C., Kennedy, G., Gareau, L., and Zayed, J. (2006). Manganese concentrations in the soil and air in the vicinity of a closed manganese alloy production plant. Science of the Total Environment, 361(1-3), 67–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.05.001
- Brannon, J. M., and Patrick, W. H. (1987). Fixation, transformation, and mobilization of arsenic in sediments. Environmental Science and Technology, 21(5), 450–459. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00159a005
- Bray, R. N. (2008). Environmental aspects of dredging. Marine and Offshore Structures. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203894897

- Brooks, K. (2000). Determination of copper loss rates from Flexgard XI<sup>TM</sup> treated nets in marine environments and evaluation of the resulting environmental risks. Report to the Ministry of Environment for the BC Salmon Farmers Association, Vancouver BC.
- Brooks, K. M., and Mahnken, C. V. W. (2003). Interactions of Atlantic salmon in the Pacific Northwest Environment. Fisheries Research, 62(3), 295–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-7836(03)00065-1
- Broward County Planning Council. (2018). Historic Maps. Planning Council Historic Maps. Retrieved December 17, 2021, from https://www.broward.org/PlanningCouncil/Pages/HistoricMaps.aspx
- Bruland, K. W. (1989). Complexation of zinc by natural organic ligands in the central North Pacific. Limnology and Oceanography, 34(2), 269–285. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1989.34.2.0269
- Bruland, K. W., Bertine, K., Koide, M., and Goldberg, E. D. (1974). History of metal pollution in Southern California Coastal Zone. Environmental Science and Technology, 8(5), 425– 432. https://doi.org/10.1021/es60090a010
- Brumsack, H.-J. (2006). The trace metal content of recent organic carbon-rich sediments: Implications for cretaceous black shale formation. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 232(2-4), 344–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.05.011
- Bureau of Habitat. (2014). Screening and Assessment of Contaminated Sediment. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Fish, Wildlife, and Marine Resources, 1-91. <u>https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/fish\_marine\_pdf/screenasssedfin.pdf</u>
- Burton, Jr., G. A. (2002). Sediment quality criteria in use around the world. Limnology, 3(2), 65–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s102010200008
- Cai, Y., Cabrera, J. C., Georgiadis, M., and Jayachandran, K. (2002). Assessment of arsenic mobility in the soils of some golf courses in South Florida. Science of the Total Environment, 291(1-3), 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(01)01081-6
- Calvert, S. E., and Pedersen, T. F. (1993). Geochemistry of recent oxic and anoxic marine sediments: Implications for the geological record. Marine Geology, 113(1-2), 67–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(93)90150-t
- Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers. (1987). Canadian Water Quality Guidelines. Water International, 12(3), 119–119. https://doi.org/10.1080/02508068708686599
- Canton, S. P., and Van Derveer, W. D. (1997). Selenium toxicity to aquatic life: An argument for sediment-based water quality criteria. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 16(6), 1255–1259. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620160622

- Cao, L., Hong, G. H., and Liu, S. (2015). Metal elements in the bottom sediments of the changjiang estuary and its adjacent continental shelf of the East China Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 95(1), 458–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.03.013
- Carsey, T. P., Stamates, J. S., Featherstone, C. M., Amornthammarong, N., Bishop, J. R., Brown, C. J., Campbell, A., Cassanova, H. L., Gidley, M. L., Kosenko, M., Kotkowski, R. M., Lopez, J. V., Sinigalliano, C. D., Sinigalliano, C. D., Visser, L. A., and Zhang, J.-Z. (2015). Broward County coastal ocean water quality study, 2010-2012. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.7289/V5TD9VCC
- Cempel, M., and Nikel, G. (2006). Nickel: A Review of Its Sources and Environmental Toxicology. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 15(3), 375–382.
- Chandra, P., and Kulshreshtha, K. (2004). Chromium accumulation and toxicity in aquatic vascular plants. The Botanical Review, 70(3), 313–327. https://doi.org/10.1663/0006-8101(2004)070[0313:caatia]2.0.co;2
- Chapman, P. M., and Wang, F. (2001). Assessing sediment contamination in estuaries. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 20(1), 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620200102
- Chen, B., Fan, D., Li, W., Wang, L., Zhang, X., Liu, M., and Guo, Z. (2014). Enrichment of heavy metals in the inner shelf mud of the East China Sea and its indication to human activity. Continental Shelf Research, 90, 163–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2014.04.016
- Cheng, H., Huang, L., Ma, P., and Shi, Y. (2019). Ecological Risk and Restoration Measures Relating to Heavy Metal Pollution in Industrial and Mining Wastelands. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(20), 3985. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16203985
- Cheng, T. C. (1979). Use of Copper as a Molluscicide, Chapter 13 from Copper in the Environment--Part II: Health Effects, 401-432.
- Chin, Y.-ping, and Gschwend, P. M. (1991). The abundance, distribution, and configuration of Porewater organic colloids in recent sediments. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 55(5), 1309–1317. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(91)90309-s
- Chirenje, T., Ma, L. Q., Clark, C., and Reeves, M. (2003). Cu, Cr and as distribution in soils adjacent to pressure-treated decks, fences and Poles. Environmental Pollution, 124(3), 407–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0269-7491(03)00046-0
- Choi, K. Y., Kim, S. H., Hong, G. H., and Chon, H. T. (2011). Distributions of heavy metals in the sediments of South Korean Harbors. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 34(1), 71–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-011-9413-3

- Claisse, D., and Alzieu, C. (1993). Copper contamination as a result of antifouling paint regulations? Marine Pollution Bulletin, 26(7), 395–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326x(93)90188-p
- Clark, J. R., Patrick, J. M., Moore, J. C., and Lores, E. M. (1987). Waterborne and Sedimentsource toxicities of SIX organic chemicals to grass shrimp (PALAEMONETES pugio) and amphioxus (Branchiostoma Caribaeum). Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 16(4), 401–407. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01055260
- Clarke, F. W. (1889). The relative abundance of the chemical elements. Bulletin of the Philosophical Society of Washington, 11, 135-143.
- Coale, K. H., and Bruland, K. W. (1988). Copper complexation in the Northeast Pacific. Limnology and Oceanography, 33(5), 1084–1101. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.5.1084
- Contaminated Sediment Standing Team. (2003). Consensus-Based Sediment Quality Guidelines: Recommendations for Use and Application. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 1-21. <u>https://dnr.wi.gov/files/pdf/pubs/rr/RR088.pdf</u>
- Corbett, J. J., Winebrake, J., Endresen, Ø., Eide, M., Dalsøren, S., Isaksen, I. S., and Sørgård, E. (2010). International Maritime Shipping. Globalisation, Transport and the Environment, 55–79. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264072916-5-en
- Crusius, J., Calvert, S., Pedersen, T., and Sage, D. (1996). Rhenium and molybdenum enrichments in sediments as indicators of oxic, suboxic and sulfidic conditions of deposition. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 145(1-4), 65–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(96)00204-x
- Dafforn, K. A., Lewis, J. A., and Johnston, E. L. (2011). Antifouling Strategies: History and regulation, ecological impacts, and mitigation. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(3), 453–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.01.012
- Das, K., Debacker, V., Pillet, S., and Bouquegneau, J. M. (2002). Heavy metals in marine mammals. Toxicology of Marine Mammals, 147–179. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203165577-11
- Davies, A. G. (1979). Pollution Studies with Marine Plankton: Part II. Heavy Metals. Advances in Marine Biology, 15, 381–508. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60408-3
- Davies, O. A., Allison, M. E., and Uyi, H. S. (2006). Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in water, sediment and periwinkle (Tympanotonus fuscatus var radula) from the Elechi Creek, Niger Delta. African Journal of Biotechnology, 5(10), 968–973.

- De Mora, S. J., Stewart, C., and Phillips, D. (1995). Sources and rate of degradation of tri(nbutyl) tin in marine sediments near Auckland, New Zealand. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 30(1), 50–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326x(94)00178-c
- Dean, R. J., Shimmield, T. M., and Black, K. D. (2007). Copper, zinc and cadmium in marine cage fish farm sediments: An extensive survey. Environmental Pollution, 145(1), 84–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.03.050
- Della Puppa, L., Komárek, M., Bordas, F., Bollinger, J.-C., and Joussein, E. (2013). Adsorption of copper, cadmium, lead and zinc onto a synthetic manganese oxide. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 399, 99–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2013.02.029
- Demayo, A., Taylor, M. C., and Reeder, S. W. (1979). Guidelines for surface water quality, vol.
  1: Inorganic Chemical Substances: Arsenic. Environment Canada, Inland Waters Directorate, Water Quality Branch.
- Deszyck, E. J., and Sites, J. W. (1953). The effect of borax and lead arsenate sprays on the total acid and maturity of marsh grapefruit. Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society, 66(1), 62-64.
- Deszyck, E. J., and Ting, S. V. (1960). Effect of lead arsenate sprays on dead wood, yield, fruit size, and drop of Marsh grapefruit. Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society, 72(1), 34-8.
- Diepenbrock, L. M., Dewdney, M. M., Vashisth, T., Kanissery, R., and Futch, S. H. (2020). 2020–2021 Florida Citrus Production Guide: Pesticides registered for use on Florida citrus. EDIS. https://doi.org/10.32473/edis-cg017-2020
- Doig, L., and Liber, K. (2000). Dialysis minipeeper for measuring pore-water metal concentrations in laboratory sediment toxicity and bioavailability tests. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 19(12), 2882–2889. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191205
- Donat J. R. and Bruland K. W. (1990) A comparison of two voltammetric techniques for determining zinc speciation in Northeast Pacific Ocean waters. Marine Chemistry, 28(4), 301–323.
- Du Laing, G., Vandecasteele, B., De Grauwe, P., Moors, W., Lesage, E., Meers, E., Tack, F. M., and Verloo, M. G. (2007). Factors affecting metal concentrations in the upper sediment layer of intertidal reedbeds along the river Scheldt. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 9(5), 449. https://doi.org/10.1039/b618772b
- Dunlea, A. G., Scudder, R. P., and Murray, R. W. (2018). Marine sediment. Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series, 878–892. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39312-4 105

- El Khalil, H., El Hamiani, O., Bitton, G., Ouazzani, N., and Boularbah, A. (2007). Heavy metal contamination from mining sites in South Morocco: Monitoring metal content and toxicity of soil runoff and groundwater. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 136(1-3), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9671-9
- Elhaj Baddar, Z., Peck, E., and Xu, X. (2021). Temporal deposition of copper and zinc in the sediments of metal removal constructed wetlands. PLOS ONE, 16(8). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255527
- Emerson, S. R., and Huested, S. S. (1991). Ocean anoxia and the concentrations of molybdenum and vanadium in seawater. Marine Chemistry, 34(3-4), 177–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(91)90002-e
- Environment Canada. (1994). Guidance document on collection and preparation of sediments for physicochemical characterization and biological testing. The Directorate.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2017, March 20). EPA and Port Everglades Partnership: Emission Inventories and Reduction Strategies. EPA. Retrieved December 17, 2021, from https://www.epa.gov/ports-initiative/epa-and-port-everglades-partnership-emissioninventories-and-reduction-strategies
- Erftemeijer, P. L. A., and Robin Lewis, R. R. (2006). Environmental impacts of dredging on seagrasses: A review. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 52(12), 1553–1572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.09.006
- Erftemeijer, P. L. A., Riegl, B., Hoeksema, B. W., and Todd, P. A. (2012). Environmental impacts of dredging and other sediment disturbances on corals: A review. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 64(9), 1737–1765. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.05.008
- Ergin, M., and Yörük, R. (1990). Distribution and texture of the bottom sediments in a semienclosed coastal Inlet, the Izmit Bay from the eastern sea of Marmara (turkey). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 30(6), 647–654. https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7714(90)90100-6
- Ergin, M., Saydam, C., Baştürk, Ö., Erdem, E., and Yörük, R. (1991). Heavy metal concentrations in surface sediments from the two coastal Inlets (GOLDEN HORN estuary and İZMIT Bay) of the Northeastern sea of Marmara. Chemical Geology, 91(3), 269–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(91)90004-b
- Espericueta, F. M. G., Osuna-López, J. I. O., Voltolina, D., Correa-González, E. M., Armenta-Monje, M. J., López-López, G., and Izaguirre-Fierro, G. (2006). Metals in Shrimp Farm Sediments, Sinaloa, Northwest Mexico. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 77(6), 912–917. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-006-1228-z
- Esquivel, I. (1986). Short term copper bioassay on the planula of the reef coral Pocillopora damicornis. In: P. L. Jokiel, R.H. Richmond, and R. A. Rogers, Coral reef population biology, Technical Report No. 37, 465–472.

- Everaart, J. M., and Fischer, C. V. (1992). The distribution of heavy Metals (Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb) in the fine fraction of surface sediments of the North Sea. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research, 29(4), 323–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/0077-7579(92)90072-m
- Falkowski, P. G., Dubinsky, Z., Muscatine, L., and McCloskey, L. (1993). Population control in symbiotic corals. BioScience, 43(9), 606–611. https://doi.org/10.2307/1312147
- Ferrarese, E., Andreottola, G., and Oprea, I. A. (2008). Remediation of pah-contaminated sediments by chemical oxidation. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 152(1), 128–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.06.080
- Ferrer, L. D., Santiago Andrade, J., Contardi, E. T., Asteasuain, R. O., and Marcovecchio, J. E. (2003). Copper and zinc concentrations in Bahía Blanca Estuary (Argentina), and their acute lethal effects on larvae of the Crabchasmagnathus granulata. Chemical Speciation and Bioavailability, 15(1), 7–14. https://doi.org/10.3184/095422903782775271
- Ferrier-Pagès, C., Schoelzke, V., Jaubert, J., Muscatine, L., and Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2001). Response of a scleractinian coral, stylophora pistillata, to iron and nitrate enrichment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 259(2), 249–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-0981(01)00241-6
- Filho, G. M., Creed, J. C., Andrade, L. R., and Pfeiffer, W. C. (2004). Metal accumulation by Halodule wrightii populations. Aquatic Botany, 80(4), 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2004.07.011
- Fisher, F. M. (2005). Pesticide Toxicity Profile: Arsenical Herbicides. Pesticide Information Office Florida Cooperative Extension Service, University of Florida: Gainesville, FL, USA. Retrieved December 17, 2021, from https://pested.ifas.ufl.edu/
- Flemming, C. A., and Trevors, J. T. (1989). Copper toxicity and chemistry in the environment: A Review. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 44(1-2), 143–158. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00228784
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection (2008). "Derivation of Numerical Sediment Quality Guidelines for Florida Coastal Waters Using the Weight of Evidence Approach". Florida Sediment Quality Assessment Guidelines (SQAGs), 1(5), 33-47. http://publicfiles.dep.state.fl.us/DEAR/DEARweb/WMS/Sediment/vol1/chapter5.pdf
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection. (2018, August 11). ERP dredging and filling. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Retrieved December 17, 2021, from https://floridadep.gov/water/submerged-lands-environmental-resourcescoordination/content/erp-dredging-and-filling
- Florida DOH (1997). Florida Department of Health. Letter to Pamela J. Langston- Scully, P.E. from Randy Merchant concerning distributing flyers and posting fish consumption advisory signs around the Wingate site. August 13, 1997.
- Förstner, U. (1980). Inorganic pollutants, particularly heavy metals in estuaries. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., 307–340.
- Fosmire, G. J. (1990). Zinc toxicity. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 51(2), 225–227. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/51.2.225
- Fowler, S. W., and Benayoun, G. (1976). Influence of environmental factors on selenium flux in two marine invertebrates. Marine Biology, 37(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00386779
- Fox, P. M., and Doner, H. E. (2003). Accumulation, Release, and Solubility of Arsenic, Molybdenum, and Vanadium in Wetland Sediments. Journal of Environmental Quality, 32(6), 2428-2435. https://doi:10.2134/jeq2003.2428
- Francis, P. C., Birge, W. J., and Black, J. A. (1984). Effects of cadmium-enriched sediment on fish and amphibian embryo-larval stages. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 8(4), 378-387. https://doi:10.1016/0147-6513(84)90006-x
- Francois, R. (1988). A study on the regulation of the concentrations of some trace metals (Rb, Sr, Zn, Pb, Cu, V, Cr, Ni, Mn and Mo) in Saanich Inlet sediments, British Columbia, Canada. Marine Geology, 83(1-4), 285–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(88)90063-1
- Fränzle, S., Markert, B., and Wünschmann, S. (2007). Dynamics of trace metals in organisms and ecosystems: Prediction of metal bioconcentration in different organisms and estimation of exposure risks. Environmental Pollution, 150(1), 23–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.02.006
- French, P. W. (1993). Post-industrial pollutant levels in contemporary Severn Estuary intertidal sediments, compared to pre-industrial levels. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 26(1), 30–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326x(93)90594-a
- Fu, C., Guo, J., Pan, J., Qi, J., and Zhou, W. (2009). Potential ecological risk assessment of heavy metal pollution in sediments of the Yangtze River within the Wanzhou section, China. Biological Trace Element Research, 129(1-3), 270–277. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-008-8300-y
- Fu, G., and Allen, H. E. (1992). Cadmium adsorption by oxic sediment. Water Research, 26(2), 225–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(92)90222-p
- Gao, X., and Chen, C.-T. A. (2012). Heavy metal pollution status in surface sediments of the coastal Bohai Bay. Water Research, 46(6), 1901–1911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.01.007

- Gao, X., Arthur Chen, C.-T., Wang, G., Xue, Q., Tang, C., and Chen, S. (2010). Environmental status of Daya Bay surface sediments inferred from a Sequential extraction technique. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 86(3), 369–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.10.012
- Gibbs, R. J. (1973). Mechanisms of trace metal transport in Rivers. Science, 180(4081), 71–73. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.180.4081.71
- Gleyzes, C., Tellier, S., and Astruc, M. (2002). Fractionation studies of trace elements in contaminated soils and sediments: A review of sequential extraction procedures. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 21(6-7), 451–467. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-9936(02)00603-9
- Goldberg, E. D. (1964). The oceans as a geological system\*. Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences, 27(1 Series II), 7–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2164-0947.1964.tb03480.x
- Goldberg, W. M. (1973, September 1). The ecology of the coral-octocoral communities off the Southeast Florida coast: Geomorphology, species composition, and zonation. Latest TOC RSS. Retrieved December 19, 2021, from https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/umrsmas/bullmar/1973/00000023/0000003/art0 0001
- Golding, L. A., Timperley, M. H., and Evans, C. W. (1997). C.W. non-lethal responses of the freshwater snail potamopyrgus antipodarum to dissolved arsenic. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 47(3), 239–254. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1005796318728
- González-Macías, C., Schifter, I., Lluch-Cota, D. B., Méndez-Rodríguez, L., and Hernández-Vázquez, S. (2006). Distribution, enrichment and accumulation of heavy metals in Coastal sediments of Salina CRUZ Bay, méxico. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 118(1-3), 211–230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-006-1492-8
- Goyer, R., Golub, M., Choudhury, H., Hughes, M., Kenyon, E., and Stifelman, M. (2004). Issue Paper on the Human Health Effects of Metals. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Risk Assessment Forum, 1–36. Retrieved December 19, 2021, from <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2014-11/documents/human\_health\_effects.pdf</u>.
- Gray, J. E., and Eppinger, R. G. (2012). Distribution of Cu, Co, As, and Fe in mine waste, sediment, soil, and water in and around mineral deposits and mines of the Idaho Cobalt Belt, USA. Applied Geochemistry, 27(6), 1053-1062. doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.02.001
- Grazuleviciene, R., Nadisauskiene, R., Buinauskiene, J., Grazulevicius, T. (2009). Effects of elevated levels of manganese and iron in drinking water on birth outcomes. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 18, 819-825.

- Grousset, F. E., Jouanneau, J. M., Castaing, P., Lavaux, G., and Latouche, C. (1999). A 70 year record of contamination from industrial activity along the Garonne River and its tributaries (SW France). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 48(3), 401–414. https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.1998.0435
- Guieros, B. B., Machado, W., Filho, S. D., and Lacerda, L. D. (2003). Manganese behavior at the sediment-water interface in a mangrove dominated area in Sepetiba Bay, SE Brazil. Coastal Education and Research Foundation, Inc., 19(3), 550–559.
- Guillamet, E., Creus, A., Ponti, J., Sabbioni, E., Fortaner, S., Marcos, R. (2004). In vitro DNA damage by arsenic compounds in a human lymphoblastoid cell line (TK6) assessed by the alkaline Comet assay. Mutagenesis, 19, 129-135. https://doi.org/10.1093/mutage/geh005
- Guo, W., Liu, X., Liu, Z., and Li, G. (2010). Pollution and potential ecological risk evaluation of heavy metals in the sediments around Dongjiang Harbor, Tianjin. Procedia Environmental Sciences, 2, 729–736. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2010.10.084
- Hakanson, L. (1980). An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control: A sedimentological approach. Water Research, 14(8), 975–1001. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8
- Harland, A. D., and Brown, B. E. (1989). Metal tolerance in the Scleractinian Coral Porites lutea. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 20(7), 353–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326x(89)90159-8
- Harland, B. J., Taylor, D., and Wither, A. (2000). The distribution of mercury and other trace metals in the sediments of the Mersey Estuary over 25 years 1974–1998. Science of the Total Environment, 253(1-3), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(00)00374-0
- Hattemer-Frey, H. A., and Travis, C. C. (1991). An overview of food chain impacts from municipal waste combustion. Municipal Waste Incineration Risk Assessment, 87–124. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-3294-1\_6
- Hedge, L. H., Knott, N. A., and Johnston, E. L. (2009). Dredging related metal bioaccumulation in oysters. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 58(6), 832–840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.01.020
- Hedgpeth, J. W. (1967). Organisms in Environments: Pollution and Marine Ecology.
  Proceedings of a conference, Galveston, Texas, March 1966. Theodore A. Olson and
  Fredrick J. Burgess, Eds. Interscience (Wiley), New York, 1967. 158(3806), 1300-1300.
- Heggie, D., Lewis, T. (1984). Cobalt in pore waters of marine sediments. Nature, 311(5985), 453-455. https://doi:10.1038/311453a0

- Heinz, G. H., Hoffman, D. J., Sileo, L., Audet, D. J., and Lecaptain, L. J. (1999). Toxicity of Lead-Contaminated Sediment to Mallards. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 36(3), 323-333. https://doi:10.1007/s002449900478
- Heyward A. J. (1988) Inhibitory effects of copper and zinc sulphates on fertilization in corals. Proceedings of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium, Townsville, Australia. 2, 299–303.
- Hoeksema, B. W. (2007). Delineation of the indo-malayan centre of maximum marine biodiversity: The coral triangle. Biogeography, Time, and Place: Distributions, Barriers, and Islands, 117–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6374-9\_5
- Horowitz, A. J., and Elrick, K. A. (1987). The relation of stream sediment surface area, grain size and composition to trace element chemistry. Applied Geochemistry, 2(4), 437–451. https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-2927(87)90027-8
- Howard, L. S., and Brown, B. E. (1984). Heavy metals and reef corals. Oceanography and Marine Biology, An Annual Review, 22, 198–215. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781482267242-8
- Hudson, J. H., Diaz, R. (1988) Damage survey and restoration of M/V Wellwood grounding site, Molasses Reef, Key Largo National Marine Sanctuary, Florida. Sixth International Coral Reef Symposium, 231-236.
- Ikemoto, T., Kunito, T., Anan, Y., Tanaka, H., Baba, N., Miyazaki, N., Tanabe, S., (2004b) Association of heavy metals with metallothionein and other proteins in hepatic cytosol of marine mammals and seabirds. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 23, 2008-2016. https://doi.org/10.1897/03-456
- Ikemoto, T., Kunito, T., Tanaka, H., Baba, N., Miyazaki, N., Tanabe, S., (2004a) Detoxification Mechanism of Heavy Metals in Marine Mammals and Seabirds: Interaction of Selenium with Mercury, Silver, Copper, Zinc, and Cadmium in Liver. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 47, 402-413. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-004-3188-9
- Ikingura, J., and Aka, H. (1996). Monitoring of fish and human exposure to mercury due to gold mining in the Lake Victoria Goldfields, Tanzania. Science of the Total Environment, 191(1-2), 59–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(96)05178-9</u>
- Ingersoll, C. G., and Wenning, R. J. (2002). Use of sediment quality guidelines and related tools for the assessment of contaminated sediments: Executive summary of a SETAC Pellston Workshop on use of sediment quality guidelines and related tools for the assessment of contaminated sediment, Fairmont, Montana, USA. SETAC Press.
- Irfan, M., Hayat, S., Ahmad, A., Alyemeni, M.N., (2013) Soil cadmium enrichment: Allocation and plant physiological manifestations. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 20, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2012.11.004

- Jaagumagi, R. (1992). Development of the Ontario provincial sediment quality guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel and zinc. Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Jaap, W. C., Hudson, J. H., Dodge, R. E., Gilliam, D., and Shaul, R. (2006). Coral Reef Restoration with Case Studies from Florida. Coral Reef Conservation, 478–514. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511804472.018
- Jacob, D. L., Yellick, A. H., Kissoon, L. T., Asgary, A., Wijeyaratne, D. N., Saini-Eidukat, B., and Otte, M. L. (2013). Cadmium and associated metals in soils and sediments of wetlands across the Northern Plains, USA. Environmental Pollution, 178, 211–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.03.005
- Jahan, S., and Strezov, V. (2018). Comparison of pollution indices for the assessment of heavy metals in the sediments of seaports of NSW, Australia. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 128, 295–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.01.036
- Jaishankar, M., Tseten, T., Anbalagan, N., Mathew, B.B., Beeregowda, K.N., (2014) Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. Interdisciplinary Toxicology, 7, 60-72. http://doi.org/10.2478/intox-2014-0009
- Jakimska, A., Konieczka, P., Skóra, K., and Namieśnik, J. (2011). Bioaccumulation of metals in tissues of marine animals, Part II: metal concentrations in animal tissues. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 20(5), 1127-1146.
- Jennett, J. C., Effler, S. W., and Wixson, B. G. (1980). Mobilization and toxicological aspects of sedimentary contaminants. Contaminants and sediments, 1, 429-444.
- Johnels, A. G., and Westermark, T. (1969). Mercury contamination of the environment in Sweden. Chemical Fallout: Current Research on Persistent Pesticides, 221-241.
- Johns, G. M., Leeworthy, V.R., Bell, F.W., Bonn, M.A. (2001). Socioeconomic study of reefs in southeast Florida: Final Report, October 19, 2001. Silver Spring, Special Projects, NOS, Maryland, 255.
- Kainz, M., Lucotte, M., and Parrish, C. C. (2003). Relationships between organic matter composition and methyl mercury content of offshore and carbon-rich littoral sediments in an oligotrophic lake. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 60(7), 888–896. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/f03-075</u>
- Kalnejais, L. H., Martin, W. R., Signell, R. P., and Bothner, M. H. (2007). Role of Sediment Resuspension in the Remobilization of Particulate-Phase Metals from Coastal Sediments. Environmental Science and Technology, 41(7), 2282–2288. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es061770z</u>

- Kannan, K., Jr., R. G., Lee, R. F., Windom, H. L., Heitmuller, P. T., Macauley, J. M., and Summers, J. K. (1998). Distribution of total mercury and methyl mercury in water, sediment, and fish from South Florida estuaries. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 34(2), 109–118. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002449900294
- Kaschl, A., Römheld, V., and Chen, Y. (2002). Trace metal distribution in soluble organic matter from municipal solid waste compost determined by size-exclusion chromatography. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 21(9), 1775–1782. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620210903
- Kayser, H. (1976). Waste-water assay with continuous algal cultures: The effect of mercuric acetate on the growth of some marine dinoflagellates. Marine Biology, 36(1), 61–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00388429
- Khangarot, B. S. (1991). Toxicity of metals to a freshwater tubificid worm, Tubifex Tubifex (Muller). Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 46(6), 906–912. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01689737
- Khangarot, B. S., and Ray, P. K. (1989). Investigation of correlation between physicochemical properties of metals and their toxicity to the water flea Daphnia Magna Straus. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 18(2), 109–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-6513(89)90071-7
- Kim, B.-E., Nevitt, T., and Thiele, D. J. (2008). Mechanisms for copper acquisition, distribution and Regulation. Nature Chemical Biology, 4(3), 176–185. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.72
- Kim, Y., Kim, B.-K., and Kim, K. (2009). Distribution and speciation of heavy metals and their sources In Kumho River sediment, Korea. Environmental Earth Sciences, 60(5), 943–952. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0230-2
- Korshin, G. V., Ferguson, J. F., and Lancaster, A. N. (2005). Influence of natural organic matter on the morphology of corroding lead surfaces and behavior of lead-containing particles. Water Research, 39(5), 811–818. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.12.009
- Kozlowski, H., Janicka-Klos, A., Brasun, J., Gaggelli, E., Valensin, D., Valensin, G. (2009). Copper, iron, and zinc ions homeostasis and their role in neurodegenerative disorders (metal uptake, transport, distribution and regulation). Coordination Chemistry Reviews 253, 2665-2685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2009.05.011
- Lambert, R., Grant, C., and Sauve, S. (2007). Cadmium and zinc in soil solution extracts following the application of phosphate fertilizers. Science of the Total Environment, 378(3), 293–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.02.008
- Larsen, M. M., and Fryer, R. (2016). Metals in sediment and biota: status and trend of copper burden. OSPAR Commission Radioactive Substances Series, 3–14.

- Law, R. J., Jones, B. R., Baker, J. R., Kennedy, S., Milne, R., and Morris, R. J. (1992). Trace metals in the livers of marine mammals from the welsh coast and the Irish Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 24(6), 296–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326x(92)90590-3
- Laxen, D. P. H. (1985). Trace metal adsorption/coprecipitation on hydrous ferric oxide under realistic conditions. Water Research, 19(10), 1229–1236. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(85)90175-7
- Levy, B. S., and Nassetta, W. J. (2003). Neurologic effects of manganese in humans: A Review. International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health, 9(2), 153–163. https://doi.org/10.1179/oeh.2003.9.2.153
- Lewis, J. B., and Price, W. S. (1975). Feeding mechanisms and feeding strategies of Atlantic reef corals. Journal of Zoology, 176(4), 527–544. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1975.tb03219.x
- Leyssens, L., Vinck, B., Van Der Straeten, C., Wuyts, F., and Maes, L. (2017). Cobalt toxicity in humans—a review of the potential sources and systemic health effects. Toxicology, 387, 43–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2017.05.015
- Li, L. Y., Hall, K., Yuan, Y., Mattu, G., McCallum, D., and Chen, M. (2008). Mobility and bioavailability of trace metals in The Water-sediment system of the highly urbanized Brunette watershed. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 197(1-4), 249–266. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-008-9808-7
- Li, P., Qian, H., Howard, K. W., Wu, J., and Lyu, X. (2013). Anthropogenic pollution and variability of manganese in alluvial sediments of the Yellow River, Ningxia, northwest China. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 186(3), 1385-1398. https://doi:10.1007/s10661-013-3461-3
- Liang Y. and Wong M. H., Spatial and temporal organic and heavy metal pollution at Mai Po Marshes Nature Reserve, Hong Kong. Chemosphere, 2003. 52(9), 1647–1658. https://doi:10.1016/ S0045-6535(03)00505-8
- Liber, K., Doig, L. E., and White-Sobey, S. L. (2011). Toxicity of uranium, molybdenum, nickel, and arsenic to Hyalella azteca and Chironomus dilutus in water-only and spiked-sediment toxicity tests. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 74(5), 1171-1179. https://doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2011.02.014
- Lighty, R. G. (1977). Relict shelf-edge Holocene coral reef: southeast coast of Florida. Geology, Proceedings of Third International Coral Reef Symposium, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, Florida, 2, 215–221.
- Lin, J.-G., and Chen, S.-Y. (1998). The relationship between adsorption of heavy metal and organic matter in river sediments. Environment International, 24(3), 345–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0160-4120(98)00012-9

- Lirman, D., Gracias, N., Gintert, B., Gleason, A. C., Deangelo, G., Dick, M., Martinez, E., and Reid, R. P. (2010). Damage and recovery assessment of vessel grounding injuries on coral reef habitats by use of georeferenced landscape video mosaics. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 8(3), 88–97. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2010.8.0088
- Little, S. H., Vance, D., Walker-Brown, C., and Landing, W. M. (2014). The oceanic mass balance of copper and zinc isotopes, investigated by analysis of their inputs, and outputs to Ferromanganese oxide sediments. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 125, 673–693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.07.046
- Liu, D. L., Yang, Y. P., Hu, M. H., Harrison, P. J., and Price, N. M. (1987). Selenium content of marine food chain organisms from the coast of China. Marine Environmental Research, 22(2), 151–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136(87)90033-x
- Loring, D. H. (1979). Geochemistry of cobalt, nickel, chromium, and vanadium in the sediments of the estuary and Open Gulf of St. Lawrence. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 16(6), 1196–1209. https://doi.org/10.1139/e79-106
- Lucchetti, G., Cutroneo, L., Carbone, C., Consani, S., Vagge, G., Canepa, G., and Capello, M. (2017). Sources and contamination rate of port sediments: evidences from dimensional, mineralogical, and chemical investigations. EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, 5140.
- MacDonald, D. D., and Ingersoll, C. G. (1993). The development and evaluation of Numerical Sediment quality assessment guidelines for Florida coastal waters. Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection.
- Macintyre, I. G., and Milliman, J. D. (1970). Physiographic Features on the Outer Shelf and Upper Slope, Atlantic Continental Margin, Southeastern United States. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 81(9), 2577. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1970)81[2577:pfotos]2.0.co;2
- Macintyre, I.G. (1988). Modern Coral Reefs of Western Atlantic: New Geological Perspective. AAPG Bulletin, 72. https://doi.org/10.1306/703c99a1-1707-11d7-8645000102c1865d
- Mandal, B. (2002). Arsenic round the world: A Review. Talanta, 58(1), 201–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0039-9140(02)00268-0
- Marks, J. A., Perakis, S. S., King, E. K., and Pett-Ridge, J. (2015). Soil organic matter regulates molybdenum storage and mobility in forests. Biogeochemistry, 125(2), 167–183. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0121-4
- Martin Associates (2010). The local and regional economic impacts of Port Everglades: Fiscal Year 2009. Broward County's Port Everglades Department, Lancaster, PA.

- Martin, J. H. (1970). The possible transport of trace metals via molted copepod exoskeletons. Limnology and Oceanography, 15(5), 756–761. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1970.15.5.0756
- Martin, J. M., Nirel, P., and Thomas, A. J. (1987). Sequential extraction techniques: Promises and problems. Marine Chemistry, 22(2-4), 313–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(87)90017-x
- Martin, S., Griswold, W. (2009). Human health effects of heavy metals. Environmental Science and Technology Briefs for Citizens, 15, 1–6.
- Martin, T. R., and Holdich, D. M. (1986). The acute lethal toxicity of heavy metals to peracarid crustaceans (with particular reference to fresh-water asellids and Gammarids). Water Research, 20(9), 1137–1147. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(86)90060-6
- May, T. W., Fairchild, J. F., Petty, J. D., Walther, M. J., Lucero, J., Delvaux, M., Manring, J., and Armbruster, M. (2007). An evaluation of selenium concentrations in water, sediment, invertebrates, and fish from the Solomon River Basin. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 137(1-3), 213–232. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9742-y
- McGoun, B. (2002). The long of it; a short history of Broward County. Filmed by the Genealogical Society of Utah.
- Mecozzi, R., Di Palma, L., and Gonzini, O. (2011). Effect of acidification and modified Fenton treatment on a contaminated harbour sediment. Chemistry and Ecology, 27(sup1), 153– 160. https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2011.534237
- Miller, B. M. (1994). Data Summary of Netwashing Survey at Fish Farms Using Copper-based Anti-foulants. CRPB Clyde River Purification Board, East Kilbride.
- Miller, C. A., Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B., Walker, B. D., and Marcantonio, F. (2011). Re-assessing the surface cycling of molybdenum and rhenium. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 75(22), 7146–7179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.09.005
- Miller, M. W., Karazsia, J., Groves, C. E., Griffin, S., Moore, T., Wilber, P., and Gregg, K. (2016). Detecting sedimentation impacts to coral reefs resulting from dredging the port of Miami, Florida USA. PeerJ, 4. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2711
- Miller, R. L., and Bassett, I. P. (1933). Effect of lead arsenate insecticides on orange trees in Florida. United States Department of Agriculture.
- Miller, R. L., and McBride, O. C. (1931). Experiments with copper carbonate, lead arsenate, and other compounds against the Mediterranean fruit fly in Florida. Journal of Economic Entomology, 24(6), 1119–1131. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/24.6.1119

- Mirlean, N., Andrus, V. E., and Baisch, P. (2003). Mercury pollution sources in sediments of Patos Lagoon Estuary, Southern Brazil. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 46(3), 331–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-326x(02)00404-6
- Missimer, T., Teaf, C., Beeson, W., Maliva, R., Woolschlager, J., and Covert, D. (2018). Natural background and anthropogenic arsenic enrichment in Florida soils, surface water, and groundwater: A review with a discussion on public health risk. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15(10), 2278. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15102278
- Mitchelmore, C. L., Verde, E. A., and Weis, V. M. (2007). Uptake and partitioning of copper and cadmium in the Coral Pocillopora Damicornis. Aquatic Toxicology, 85(1), 48–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.07.015
- Moffett, J. W., and Dupont, C. (2007). CU complexation by organic ligands in the sub-arctic NW Pacific and Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 54(4), 586–595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.12.013
- Mohammadnabizadeh, S., Pourkhabbaz, A., Afshari, R., and Nowrouzi, M. (2012). Concentrations of Cd, Ni, Pb, and Cr in the two edible fish species liza klunzingeri and sillago sihama collected from Hara Biosphere in Iran. Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry, 94(6), 1144–1151. https://doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2012.693494
- Moore, J. N., Brook, E. J., and Johns, C. (1989). Grain size partitioning of metals in contaminated, Coarse-grained River Floodplain Sediment: Clark Fork River, Montana, U.S.A. Environmental Geology and Water Sciences, 14(2), 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01728502
- Morrisey, D. J., Gibbs, M. M., Pickmere, S. E., and Cole, R. G. (2000). Predicting impacts and recovery of marine-farm sites in Stewart Island, New Zealand, from the findlay–watling model. Aquaculture, 185(3-4), 257–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0044-8486(99)00360-9
- Morrison, S. J., and Spangler, R. R. (1992). Extraction of uranium and molybdenum from Aqueous Solutions: A survey of industrial materials for use in chemical barriers for uranium mill tailings remediation. Environmental Science and Technology, 26(10), 1922– 1931. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00034a007
- Mortvedt, J. J. (1996). Heavy metal contaminants in inorganic and organic fertilizers. Fertilizer Research, 43(1-3), 55–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00747683
- Mudroch, A. (1983). Distribution of major elements and metals in sediment cores from the western basin of Lake Ontario. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 9(2), 125–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0380-1330(83)71883-6

Muller, G. (1979). Changes in heavy metals in Rhine River sediments: A Review, 79, 133–149.

- Myers, T. E. (1991). Polychlorinated Biphenyl Levels in the Saginaw Confined Disposal Facility During Disposal Operations, Fall 1987. US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS, USA Environmental Lab, 1-34.
- Naddy, R. B., La Point, T. W., and Klaine, S. J. (1995). Toxicity of arsenic, molybdenum and selenium combinations to Ceriodaphnia dubia. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 14(2), 329. https://doi.org/10.1897/1552-8618(1995)14[329:toamas]2.0.co;2
- Najeeb, U., Ahmad, W., Zia, M. H., Zaffar, M., and Zhou, W. (2017). Enhancing the lead phytostabilization in Wetland Plant Juncus effusus L. through somaclonal manipulation and EDTA enrichment. Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2014.01.009</u>
- Neff, J. M. (2002). Zinc in the Ocean. Bioaccumulation in Marine Organisms, 175–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-008043716-3/50011-7
- Nichols, F. H., Cloern, J. E., Luoma, S. N., and Peterson, D. H. (1986). The modification of an Estuary. Science, 231(4738), 567–573. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.231.4738.567
- Nobi, E. P., Dilipan, E., Thangaradjou, T., Sivakumar, K., and Kannan, L. (2010). Geochemical and Geo-statistical assessment of heavy metal concentration in the sediments of different coastal ecosystems of Andaman Islands, India. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 87(2), 253–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.12.019
- Nogueirol, R.C., and Alleoni, L.R.F. (2013). Sequential extraction and speciation Of BA, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn in soil contaminated with automotive industry waste. Chemical Speciation and Bioavailability, 25(1), 34–42. https://doi.org/10.3184/095422913x13584417355199
- Norouzi, M., Mansouri, B., Hamidian, A. H., Ebrahimi, T., and Kardoni, F. (2012). Comparison of the metal concentrations in the feathers of three bird species from Southern Iran. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 89(5), 1082–1086. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-012-0798-1
- Norville, W. (2005). Spatial distribution of heavy metals in sediments from the Gulf of Paria, Trinidad. Revista de Biología Tropical, 53, 33-40.
- Nowrouzi, M., and Pourkhabbaz, A. (2014). Application of Geoaccumulation index and enrichment factor for Assessing metal contamination in the sediments of the Hara Biosphere reserve, Iran. Chemical Speciation and Bioavailability, 26(2), 99–105. https://doi.org/10.3184/095422914x13951584546986
- Nriagu, J. (2007). Zinc toxicity in humans. School of Public Health, University of Michigan. 1– 7.
- Nriagu, J. O. (1989). A global assessment of natural sources of atmospheric trace metals. Nature, 338, 47-49. https://doi.org/10.1038/338047a0

- Nriagu, J. O., Pfeiffer, W. C., Malm, O., De Souza, C. M., and Mierle, G. (1992). Mercury pollution in Brazil. Nature, 356(6368), 389–389. https://doi.org/10.1038/356389a0
- Office of Science and Technology. (1999). "Introduction to Contaminated Sediments". United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1-15.
- Orem, W. H., Hatcher, P. G., Spiker, E. C., Szeverenyi, N. M., and Maciel, G. E. (1986). Dissolved organic matter in anoxic pore waters from Mangrove Lake, bermuda. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 50(4), 609–618. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(86)90109-2
- Orpin, A. R., Ridd, P. V., Thomas, S., Anthony, K. R. N., Marshall, P., Olivier, J. (2004). Natural variability and weather forecasts in risk management of anthropogenic sediment discharge near sensitive environments. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 49, 602–612.
- Ostrakhovitch, E. A. (2015). Chapter 56 Tin. Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals (Fourth Edition), 2, 1241-1285. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59453-2.00056-1
- Ottley, C. J., and Harrison, R. M. (1991). The atmospheric input flux of trace metals to the North Sea; a review and recommendations for Research. Science of the Total Environment, 100, 301–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(91)90382-0
- Pacyna, E. G., Pacyna, J. M., Fudala, J., Strzelecka-Jastrzab, E., Hlawiczka, S., Panasiuk, D., Nitter, S., Pregger, T., Pfeiffer, H., and Friedrich, R. (2007). Current and future emissions of selected heavy metals to the atmosphere from anthropogenic sources in Europe. Atmospheric Environment, 41(38), 8557–8566. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.040</u>
- Papp, L.V., Lu, J., Holmgren, A., Khanna, K.K. (2007). From selenium to selenoproteins: synthesis, identity, and their role in human health. Antioxidants and Redox Signaling, 9, 775-806. https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2007.1528
- Park, H., and Schlesinger, W. H. (2002). Global biogeochemical cycle of Boron. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 16(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2001gb001766
- Paschke, M. W., Valdecantos, A., and Redente, E. F. (2005). Manganese toxicity thresholds for restoration grass species. Environmental Pollution, 135, 313–322. doi:10.1016/j.envpol. 2004.08.006
- Patrick, L. (2002). Mercury toxicity and antioxidants: Part I: Role of Glutathione and Alpha-Lipoic Acid in the Treatment of Mercury Toxicity. Alternative Medicine Review, 7, 456-472.
- Peng, J.-feng, Song, Y.-hui, Yuan, P., Cui, X.-yu, and Qiu, G.-lei. (2009). The remediation of heavy metals contaminated sediment. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 161(2-3), 633–640. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.04.061

- Pennekamp, J. G., Epskamp, R. J. C., Rosenbrand, W. F., Mullie, A., Wessel, G. L., Arts, T., and Deibel, I. K. (1996). Turbidity caused by dredging: viewed in perspective. Terra et Aqua, 10-17.
- Peters, G. M., Maher, W. A., Krikowa, F., Roach, A. C., Jeswani, H. K., Barford, J. P., Gomes, V. G., and Reible, D. D. (1999). Selenium in sediments, pore waters and benthic infauna of Lake Macquarie, New South Wales, Australia. Marine Environmental Research, 47(5), 491–508. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0141-1136(99)00027-6
- Phipps, G. L., Mattson, V. R., and Ankley, G. T. (1995). Relative sensitivity of three freshwater benthic macroinvertebrates to ten contaminants. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 28(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00213103
- Pierce, M. L., and Moore, C. B. (1982). Adsorption of arsenite and arsenate on amorphous iron hydroxide. Water Research, 16(7), 1247-1253. doi:10.1016/0043-1354(82)90143-9
- Pirrone, N., Cinnirella, S., Feng, X., Finkelman, R. B., Friedli, H. R., Leaner, J., Mason, R., Mukherjee, A. B., Stracher, G. B., Streets, D. G., and Telmer, K. (2010). Global Mercury emissions to the atmosphere from anthropogenic and natural sources. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10(13), 5951–5964. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5951-2010
- Port Everglades History. Port Everglades History Ft Lauderdale Florida. (2012). https://porteverglades.org/port-everglades-history.htm.
- Port Everglades Inlet Management Plan Florida Dep. Final Order Adopting Port Everglades Inlet Management Plan. (2018). https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/Port%20Everglades%20IMP.pdf
- Port Everglades. (2020). Port Everglades Expansion on Track. Fort Lauderdale Port Official Port Everglades Site - Fort Lauderdale, Florida. https://www.porteverglades.net/articles/post/port-everglades-expansion-on-track/.
- Precht, W. F., Aronson, R. B., and Swanson, D. W. (2001). Improving scientific decisionmaking in the restoration of ship-grounding sites on coral reefs. Bulletin of Marine Science, 69(2), 1001-1012.
- R. L. Chaney, S. L. Brown, Y. M. Li, J. S. Angle, T. I. Stuczynski, W. L. Daniels, C. L. Henry, G. Siebelec, M. Malik, J. A. Ryan, and H. Compton, 2000. "Progress in Risk Assessment for Soil Metals, and In- situ Remediation and Phytoextraction of Metals from Hazardous Contaminated Soils. U.S-EPA Phytoremediation: State of Science, Boston, MA.
- Ray, A. K., Tripathy, S. C., Patra, S., and Sarma, V. V. (2006). Assessment of godavari estuarine mangrove ecosystem through trace metal studies. Environment International, 32(2), 219– 223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2005.08.014

- Reichelt-Brushett, A. J., and Harrison, P. L. (1999). The effect of copper, zinc and cadmium on fertilization success of gametes from Scleractinian Reef corals. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 38(3), 182–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-326x(98)00183-0
- Reichelt-Brushett, A. J., and Harrison, P. L. (2000). The effect of copper on the settlement success of larvae from the Scleractinian coral acropora tenuis. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 41(7-12), 385–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-326x(00)00131-4
- Reichelt-Brushett, A. J., and Harrison, P. L. (2005). The effect of selected trace metals on the fertilization success of several scleractinian coral species. Coral Reefs, 24(4), 524–534. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-005-0013-5
- Reising, N. C., Bernot, M. J., and Neumann, K. (2018). The Influence of Copper, Lead and Iron on Stream Sediment Nitrification in Central Indiana Streams. Indiana Academy of Science: Environmental Quality, 122(2), 78-92.
- Renema, W. (2007). Biogeography, time, and place: Distributions, barriers, and islands. Topics In Geobiology. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6374-9
- Rifkin, E., Gwinn, P., and Bouwer, E. (2004). Peer reviewed: Chromium and sediment toxicity. Environmental Science and Technology, 38(14). https://doi.org/10.1021/es040575m
- Robson, A. J., and Neal, C. (1997). A summary of regional water quality for Eastern UK rivers. Science of the Total Environment, 194-195, 15–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(96)05351-x
- Rogan Šmuc, N., Dolenec, M., Kramar, S., and Mladenović, A. (2018). Heavy metal signature and environmental assessment of Nearshore Sediments: Port of Koper (Northern Adriatic Sea). Geosciences, 8(11), 398. https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences8110398
- Rosen, B. P., Liu, Z. (2009). Transport pathways for arsenic and selenium: A mini review. Environment International 35, 512-515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.07.023
- Rousseau, C., Baraud, F., Leleyter, L., and Gil, O. (2009). Cathodic protection by zinc sacrificial anodes: Impact on marine sediment metallic contamination. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 167(1-3), 953-958. https://doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.083
- Rudnick, R. L., and Gao, S. (2003). Composition of the Continental Crust. Treatise on Geochemistry, 1–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/b0-08-043751-6/03016-4
- Saadati, M., Soleimani, M., Sadeghsaba, M., and Hemami, M. R. (2020). Bioaccumulation of heavy metals (Hg, Cd and Ni) by Sentinel Crab (Macrophthalmus depressus) from sediments of Mousa Bay, Persian Gulf. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 191, 109986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109986

- Saadati, M., Soleimani, M., Sadeghsaba, M., and Hemami, M. R. (2020). Bioaccumulation of heavy metals (Hg, Cd and Ni) by Sentinel CRAB (Macrophthalmus Depressus) from sediments of Mousa Bay, Persian Gulf. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 191, 109986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109986
- Sabdono, A. (2009). Heavy metal levels and their potential toxic effect on Coral Galaxea fascicularis from Java Sea, Indonesia. Research Journal of Environmental Sciences, 3(1), 96–102. https://doi.org/10.3923/rjes.2009.96.102
- Salvador, A. K. (2017). Vanadium Oxidation and Retention by Iron and Manganese Oxides. University of California, Riverside.
- Sanei, H., and Goodarzi, F. (2006). Relationship between organic matter and Mercury in recent lake sediment: The physical–geochemical aspects. Applied Geochemistry, 21(11), 1900– 1912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2006.08.015
- Satarug, S, Garrett, S.H., Sens, M. A., Sens, D. A. (2010). Cadmium, environmental exposure, and health outcomes. Environmental Health Perspectives, 118, 182–190.
- Schintu, M., and Degetto, S. (1999). Sedimentary records of heavy metals in the industrial harbor of Portovesme, Sardinia (Italy). Science of the Total Environment, 241(1-3), 129–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(99)00336-8
- Schneider, A. R., Ponthieu, M., Cancès, B., Conreux, A., Morvan, X., Gommeaux, M., Marin, B., and Benedetti, M. F. (2016). Influence of dissolved organic matter and manganese oxides on metal speciation in soil solution: A modelling approach. Environmental Pollution, 213, 618–627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.010
- Scholz, F., Siebert, C., Dale, A. W., and Frank, M. (2017). Intense molybdenum accumulation in sediments underneath a nitrogenous water column and implications for the reconstruction of Paleo-redox conditions based on molybdenum isotopes. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 213, 400–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.06.048
- Schubauer-Berigan, M. K., Dierkes, J. R., Monson, P. D., and Ankley, G. T. (1993). Phdependent toxicity of CD, Cu, ni, pb and Zn to Ceriodaphnia dubia, Pimephales promelas, Hyalella azteca and Lumbriculus variegatus. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 12(7), 1261. https://doi.org/10.1897/1552-8618(1993)12[1261:ptoccn]2.0.co;2
- Seda, N. N., Koenigsmark, F., and Vadas, T. M. (2016). Sorption and coprecipitation of copper to ferrihydrite and humic acid organomineral complexes and controls on copper availability. Chemosphere, 147, 272–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.12.106
- Seidemann, D. E. (1991). Metal pollution in sediments of Jamaica Bay, New York, USA an Urban Estuary. Environmental Management, 15(1), 73–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02393839

- Sferra, J. C., Fuchsman, P. C., Wenning, R. J., and Barber, T. R. (1999). A site-specific evaluation of mercury toxicity in sediment. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 37(4), 488–495. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002449900543
- Shine, J. P., Ika, R. V., and Ford, T. E. (1995). Multivariate Statistical Examination of spatial and temporal patterns of heavy metal contamination in New Bedford Harbor Marine sediments. Environmental Science and Technology, 29(7), 1781–1788. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00007a014
- Simonsen, L. O., Harbak, H., and Bennekou, P. (2012). Cobalt metabolism and toxicology—a brief update. Science of the Total Environment, 432, 210–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.009
- Simpson, S. L. (2005). Handbook for Sediment Quality Assessment. Centre for Environmental Contaminants Research, CSIRO Energy Technology.
- Sinem Atgin, R., El-Agha, O., Zararsız, A., Kocataş, A., Parlak, H., and Tuncel, G. (2000). Investigation of the sediment pollution in Izmir Bay: Trace elements. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 55(7), 1151–1164. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0584-8547(00)00231-7
- Singh, N., Kumar, D., and Sahu, A. P. (2007). Arsenic in the environment: effects on human health and possible prevention. Journal of Environmental Biology, 28(2), 359.
- Singleton, G. (1929). Factors affecting the maturity of citrus fruits. Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society, 42, 105-109.
- Singleton, G. (1958). The Use of Arsenic on Citrus Fruit for Processing. A Review. Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society, 71, 262-265.
- Smedley, P. L., and Kinniburgh, D. G. (2017). Molybdenum in natural waters: A review of occurrence, distributions, and controls. Applied Geochemistry, 84, 387–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.05.008
- Snelgrove, P. V. (1997). The importance of marine sediment biodiversity in ecosystem processes. Ambio, 578-583.
- Spehar, R. L., Fiandt, J. T., Anderson, R. L., and DeFoe, D. L. (1980). Comparative toxicity of arsenic compounds and their accumulation in invertebrates and fish. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 9(1), 53–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01055499
- Stamates, S. J. (S. J., Bishop, J. R., Carsey, T. P., Craynock, J. F., Jankulak, M. L., Lauter, C. A., and Shoemaker, M. M. (1970). Port Everglades flow measurement system. https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/11148.

- Stamatis, N., Kamidis, N., and Sylaios, G. (2006). Sediment and Suspended Matter Lead Contamination in the Gulf of Kavala, Greece. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 115(1-3), 433-449. doi:10.1007/s10661-006-7238-9
- State of Florida Department of State. (2010). Contaminated Site Cleanup Criteria. Florida Administrative Code and Florida Administrative Register. Retrieved December 17, 2021, from https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ChapterHome.asp?Chapter=62-780
- Stein, J. E., Hom, T., Collier, T. K., Brown, D. W., and Varanasi, U. (1995). Contaminant exposure and biochemical effects in outmigrant juvenile Chinook salmon from urban and Nonurban estuaries of puget sound, Washington. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 14(6), 1019–1029. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620140613
- Stern, E. M., Stickle, W. B. (1978). Effects of turbidity and suspended sediment in aquatic environments: literature review. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg MS, USA. Technical Report No. 0-78-21.
- Sun, H.-J., Rathinasabapathi, B., Wu, B., Luo, J., Pu, L.-P., and Ma, L. Q. (2014). Arsenic and selenium toxicity and their interactive effects in humans. Environment International, 69, 148–158. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.04.019
- Swartz, R. C., DeBen, W. A., Jones, J. K. P., Lamberson, J. O., and Cole, F. A. (1985). Phoxocephalid amphipod Bioassay for marine Sediment toxicity. Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment: Seventh Symposium. https://doi.org/10.1520/stp36273s
- Tam, N. F. Y., and Wong, Y. S. (1996). Retention and distribution of heavy metals in mangrove soils receiving wastewater. Environmental Pollution, 94(3), 283–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0269-7491(96)00115-7
- Tavakoly Sany, S. B., Salleh, A., Halim Sulaiman, A., Sasekumar, A., Tehrani, G., and Rezayi, M. (2012). Distribution characteristics and ecological risk of heavy metals in surface sediments of West Port, Malaysia. Environment Protection Engineering, 38(4). https://doi.org/10.37190/epe120412
- Taylor, M. C., Reeder, S. W., and Demayo, A. (1979). Inorganic chemical substances: Chromium. Guidelines for Surface Water Quality, 1.
- Taylor, S. R., and McLennan, S. M. (1995). The geochemical evolution of the continental crust. Reviews of Geophysics, 33(2), 241. https://doi.org/10.1029/95rg00262
- Tchounwou, P. B., Yedjou, C. G., Patlolla, A. K., and Sutton, D. J. (2012). Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. Experientia Supplementum, 133–164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4\_6</u>

- Telfeyan, K., Breaux, A., Kim, J., Cable, J. E., Kolker, A. S., Grimm, D. A., and Johannesson, K. H. (2017). Arsenic, vanadium, iron, and manganese biogeochemistry in a deltaic wetland, southern Louisiana, USA. Marine Chemistry, 192, 32–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2017.03.010
- Thompson, R., and Wasserman, H. (2015, November 19). Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs): A Review and Their Use in Practice. GeoEngineer. Retrieved December 17, 2021, from https://www.geoengineer.org/education/web-class-projects/cee-549-geoenvironmentalengineering-fall-2015
- Thornton, I., Watling, H., and Darracott, A. (1975). Geochemical studies in several rivers and estuaries used for oyster rearing. Science of the Total Environment, 4(4), 325–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(75)90025-x
- Tomiyasu, T., Nagano, A., Yonehara, N., Sakamoto, H., Rifardi, Ōki, K., and Akagi, H. (2000). Mercury contamination in the Yatsushiro Sea, south-western Japan: Spatial variations of mercury in sediment. Science of the Total Environment, 257(2-3), 121-132. doi:10.1016/s0048-9697(00)00502-7
- Tomlinson, D. L., Wilson, J. G., Harris, C. R., and Jeffrey, D. W. (1980). Problems in the assessment of heavy-metal levels in estuaries and the formation of a pollution index. Heligoland Marine Surveys, 33(1-4), 566–575. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02414780
- Tribovillard, N. (2020). Arsenic in marine sediments: How robust a redox proxy? Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 550, 109745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2020.109745
- Tribovillard, N., Algeo, T. J., Lyons, T., and Riboulleau, A. (2006). Trace metals as paleoredox and paleoproductivity proxies: An update. Chemical Geology, 232(1-2), 12–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2006.02.012
- Trumbo, P., Yates, A. A., Schlicker, S., and Poos, M. (2001). Dietary reference intakes: vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 101(3), 294–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-8223(01)00078-5
- Tuit, C. B., and Wait, A. D. (2020). A review of marine sediment sampling methods. Environmental Forensics, 21(3-4), 291–309. https://doi.org/10.1080/15275922.2020.1771630
- Turner, A., Millward, G. E., and Le Roux, S. M. (2001). Sediment-water partitioning of inorganic mercury in Estuaries. Environmental Science and Technology, 35(23), 4648– 4654. https://doi.org/10.1021/es010933a

- Turner, A., Millward, G. E., and Morris, A. W. (1991). Particulate metals in five major North Sea Estuaries. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 32(4), 325–346. https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7714(91)90047-f
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. (2015). Final Environmental Impact Statement. Port Everglades Harbor Navigation Study, Broward County, Florida, 1–2804.
- U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, D.C. (2000). County Population Estimates, http://www.census.gov/population/estimates/county/co-99-1/99C1\_12.txt
- U.S. Harbors. (2021, March 10). Boating, Fishing, and Travel Information for Port Laudania, Dania cut-off Canal, FL. Port Laudania, Dania cut-off Canal, FL. Retrieved December 19, 2021, from https://www.usharbors.com/harbor/florida/port-laudania-dania-cut-off-canalfl/
- Underwood, G. J. C., and Kromkamp, J. (1999). Primary production by phytoplankton and Microphytobenthos in estuaries. Advances in Ecological Research, 93–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2504(08)60192-0
- United States Army Core of Engineers. (1983). Dredging and dredged material disposal. Engineering and Design. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Manual EM 1110-2-5025.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (1994). Sediment sampling. Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) # 2016, 11. http://www.dem.ri.gov/pubs/sops/wmsr2016.pdf
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (1995). Superfund program representative sampling guidance. Volume 5: water and sediment. Part I surface water and sediment (interim final). Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER); US EPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, 1–48.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2001a). Methods for collection, storage, and manipulation of sediments for chemical and toxicological analyses: technical manual. Office of Water, EPA. http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/cs/collectionmanual.pdf
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2007). Aquatic Life Criteria Copper. EPA Water Quality Criteria, https://www.epa.gov/wqc/aquatic-life-criteria-copper.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2015). Determination of the biologically relevant sampling depth for terrestrial and aquatic ecological risk assessments. National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA).
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2016). Third Five-Year Review Report for Wingate Road Municipal Incinerator Dump FLD981021470. Fort Lauderdale Broward County, Florida.

- United States Geological Survey (USGS) (200). Chapter A8. Bottom-material samples (Version 1.1). National Field Manual for the Collection of Water-Quality Data: Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations. 9, 60. http://water.usgs.gov/owq/FieldManual/
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). (2006). Surficial sediment character of the Louisiana offshore continental shelf region: a GIS compilation. USGS Open-File Report 2006-1195, 49.
- Valdes, J., and Castillo, A. (2014). Evaluacion de la Calidad Ambiental de los sedimentos marinos en el sistema de bahias de caldera (27 s), Chile. Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research, 42(3), 497–513. https://doi.org/10.3856/vol42-issue3-fulltext-10
- Valette-Silver, N.J. The use of sediment cores to reconstruct historical trends in contamination of estuarine and coastal sediments. Estuaries 16, 577–588 (1993). https://doi.org/10.2307/1352796
- Varanasi, U., Reichert, W. L., Stein, J. E., Brown, D. W., and Sanborn, H. R. (1985). Bioavailability and biotransformation of aromatic hydrocarbons in benthic organisms exposed to sediment from an urban estuary. Environmental Science and Technology, 19(9), 836–841. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00139a012
- Venkataraman, B. V., and Sudha, S. (2005). Vanadium Toxicity. Asian Journal of Experimental Sciences, 19(2), 117-134.
- Vijayavel, K., Downs, C. A., Ostrander, G. K., and Richmond, R. H. (2012). Oxidative DNA damage induced by iron chloride in the larvae of the lace coral Pocillopora Damicornis. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology and Pharmacology, 155(2), 275–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2011.09.007
- Virkanen, J. (1998). Effect of urbanization on metal deposition in the Bay of Töölönlahti, Southern Finland. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 36(9), 729–738. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-326x(98)00053-8
- Visschedijk, A., Pacyna, J., Pulles, T., Zandveld, P., Denier van der Gon, H. (2004). Coordinated European particulate matter emission inventory program (CEPMEIP), Proceedings of the PM emission inventories scientific workshop, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 163-174.
- Volesky, B. (1990). Removal and recovery of heavy metals by biosorption. In: Volesky B, editor. Biosorption of Heavy Metals. CRC Press, 7-43.
- Waalkes, M. P. (2000). Cadmium carcinogenesis in Review. Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry, 79(1-4), 241–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0162-0134(00)00009-x
- Walker, B., Gilliam, D., Dodge, R., and Walczak, J. (2012). Dredging and Shipping Impacts on Southeast Florida Coral Reefs. Nova Southeastern University, Department of Marine and Environmental Sciences.

- Wang, L., Yuan, X., Zhong, H., Wang, H., Wu, Z., Chen, X., and Zeng, G. (2014). Release behavior of heavy metals during treatment of dredged sediment by microwave-assisted hydrogen peroxide oxidation. Chemical Engineering Journal, 258, 334–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.07.098
- Wang, S., and Mulligan, C. N. (2006). Effect of natural organic matter on arsenic release from soils and sediments into groundwater. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 28(3), 197–214. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-005-9032-y
- Wangersky, P. J. (1986). Biological control of trace metal residence time and speciation: A review and synthesis. Marine Chemistry, 18(2-4), 269–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(86)90013-7
- Warnick, S. L., and Bell, H. L. (1969). The acute toxicity of some heavy metals to different species of aquatic insects. Water Pollution Control Federation, 280-284.
- Wen, H., and Carignan, J. (2007). Reviews on atmospheric selenium: Emissions, speciation, and fate. Atmospheric Environment, 41(34), 7151–7165. https://doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.035
- Wentsel, R., Mcintosh, A., and Atchison, G. (1977b). Sublethal effects of heavy metal contaminated sediment on midge larvae (Chironomus tentans). Hydrobiologia 56, 153-156.
- Wentsel, R., Mcintosh, A., and McCafferty, W. P. (1978). Emergence of the midge (Chironomus tentans) when exposed to heavy metal contaminated sediment. Hydrobiologia, 57, 195-196.
- Whanger, P. (2004). Selenium and its relationship to cancer: An update. British Journal of Nutrition, 91(1), 11–28. https://doi:10.1079/BJN20031015
- Wolanski, E., Gibbs, R., (1992). Resuspension and clearing of dredge spoils after dredging, Cleveland Bay, Australia. Water Environment Research, 64, 910–914.
- Wong, P. T., Chau, Y. K., Kramar, O., and Bengert, G. A. (1982). Structure–toxicity relationship of tin compounds on algae. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 39(3), 483–488. https://doi.org/10.1139/f82-066
- World Health Organization, (2011a). Molybdenum in Drinking Water: Background Document for Development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1-7.
   https://www.who.int/water\_sanitation\_health/dwq/chemicals/molybdenum.pdf
- Yang, X., Xiong, B., and Yang, M. (2010). Relationships among heavy metals and organic matter in sediment cores from Lake Nanhu, an urban lake in Wuhan, China. Journal of Freshwater Ecology, 25(2), 243–249. https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.2010.9665074

- Yebra, D. M., Kiil, S., and Dam-Johansen, K. (2004). Antifouling technology—past, present and future steps towards efficient and environmentally friendly antifouling coatings. Progress in Organic Coatings, 50(2), 75–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2003.06.001
- Ytreberg, E., Bighiu, M. A., Lundgren, L., and Eklund, B. (2016). XRF measurements of tin, copper and zinc in antifouling paints coated on leisure boats. Environmental Pollution, 213, 594–599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.029
- Zeng, G., Wu, H., Liang, J., Guo, S., Huang, L., Xu, P., Liu, Y., Yuan, Y., He, X., and He, Y. (2015). Efficiency of biochar and compost (or composting) combined amendments for reducing CD, Cu, Zn and PB bioavailability, mobility and ecological risk in wetland soil. RSC Advances, 5(44), 34541–34548. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ra04834f
- Zhang, G. H., Hu, M. H., Huang, Y. P., and Harrison, P. J. (1990). Selenium uptake and accumulation in marine phytoplankton and transfer of selenium to the clam puditapes philippnarum. Marine Environmental Research, 30(3), 179–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136(90)90018-j
- Zhang, H., Feng, X., Chan, H. M., and Larssen, T. (2014). New insights into traditional health risk assessments of mercury exposure: Implications of selenium. Environmental Science and Technology, 48(2), 1206–1212. https://doi.org/10.1021/es4051082
- Zhang, M., and Reardon, E. J. (2003). Removal of B, Cr, Mo, and SE from wastewater by incorporation into hydrocalumite and Ettringite. Environmental Science and Technology, 37(13), 2947–2952. https://doi.org/10.1021/es020969i
- Zhuang, W., and Gao, X. (2014). Integrated assessment of heavy metal pollution in the surface sediments of the Laizhou Bay and the coastal waters of the Zhangzi Island, China: Comparison among typical marine sediment quality indices. Public Library of Science One (PLoS ONE), 9(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094145

Appendix

$(\mu g/g)$ for all 14 clements tested.	
Element	Detection Limit
As	0.00003
Cd	0.00001
Cr	0.0001
Co	0.00002
Cu	0.005
РЬ	0.0004
Mn	0.00008
Мо	0.0001
Hg	< 0.00001
Ni	0.0005
Se	0.00003
Sn	0.001
V	0.00004
Zn	0.02

Appendix Table 1. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) detection limits  $(\mu g/g)$  for all 14 elements tested.

Appendix Table 2: Paired t-tests. P < 0.05 indicates a significant difference between element concentrations.

PEC Cores 2, 3	Sn	3.5E-07	
PEC Cores 1, 3	Sn	1.6E-05	
DCC Cores 1, 3	Sn	0.039	
DCC Cores 2, 3	Cd	0.042	

Appendix Table 3: Single Factor One-Way ANOVA. P < 0.05 indicates a significant mean difference between maximum concentrations amongst coring locations.

Element	P - value
Hg	0.0002
Mn	0.0060
Со	0.0055
Se	0.0032

Appendix Table 4. Dania Cutoff Canal 1 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

		~ .		-		~		~		_	~	~		~
cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	N1	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	16.1	0.25	n/d	6.35	32.4	34.9	76.2	0.62	11.3	102.0	174.5	52.2	36.4	2.96
10	2.97	0.051	n/d	3.01	7.72	7.41	18.4	0.16	2.26	73.91	150.8	14.8	11.4	0.659
15	20.1	0.17	n/d	2.11	24.8	25.7	48.2	0.47	8.72	9.30	13.40	45.2	42.9	2.30
20	36.3	0.26	n/d	2.00	31.3	22.8	52.4	0.56	8.99	13.1	4.36	54.3	66.2	2.60
25	36.2	0.30	n/d	2.36	37.4	27.8	62.2	0.62	10.1	17.3	20.3	54.5	73.2	2.59
30	24.9	0.19	n/d	1.52	23.3	15.3	43.4	0.47	5.98	4.07	3.51	32.7	53.2	1.70
35	18.7	0.15	n/d	2.72	24.5	14.3	42.3	0.49	5.14	12.5	9.4	24.4	36.3	1.39
40	45.9	0.23	n/d	1.71	38.9	15.4	70.5	0.76	7.29	10.0	23.4	1.03	61.2	2.01
45	17.9	0.080	n/d	1.07	15.0	6.25	63.7	0.51	3.11	5.37	3.70	32.1	26.7	1.14
50	7.06	0.03	n/d	0.23	5.10	1.96	130	0.34	1.23	1.48	0.532	25.3	12.6	0.494
55	3.72	0.01	n/d	0.060	1.25	0.824	119	0.33	0.760	1.01	0.22	16.1	13.5	0.434
60	4.62	0.01	n/d	0.089	2.31	0.788	147	0.29	0.830	1.01	0.381	16.2	14.0	0.458
65	1.91	0.01	n/d	0.15	0.950	0.701	145	0.26	0.523	0.807	0.288	6.13	12.3	0.319
70	0.88	0.01	n/d	0.35	1.13	0.85	163	0.35	0.89	75.4	55.4	1.28	17.9	0.26
75	2.93	0.004	n/d	8.21	5.92	13.6	79.7	1.81	3.57	14.6	10.3	0.05	15.0	0.681
80	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
85	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
90	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
95	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
100	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
115	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
125	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
130	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
135	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
140	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
145	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
150	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.9	0.7	18.4	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.1	11.4	0.3
max	45.9	0.3	0.0	8.2	38.9	34 9	162.5	1.8	113	102.0	174 5	54 5	73.2	3.0
median	16.1	0.1	0.0	17	15.0	13.6	70.5	0.5	3.6	10.0	94	24.4	26.7	11
mean	16.0	0.1	0.0	2.1	16.8	12.6	84.0	0.5	47	22.8	31.4	25.1	32.9	13
moun	10.0	···	0.0		10.0	12.0	0 1.0	0.0	•• /		~		J	1.0



Appendix Figure 1. Photo of longitudinally split Dania Cutoff Canal Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 5. Dania Cutoff Canal 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.48	0.01	n/d	1.43	2.00	2.01	5.14	0.04	0.47	5.17	3.90	0.730	0.803	0.05
10	45.9	0.46	n/d	4.00	41.5	56.8	47.0	0.92	18.6	10.3	11.9	90.2	44.3	4.55
15	44.1	0.39	n/d	3.62	47.2	51.8	45.2	0.81	16.8	7.82	10.4	140	41.3	4.22
20	44.5	0.43	n/d	4.27	73.9	46.4	75.0	0.88	15.2	28.9	40.7	1.37	56.2	3.56
25	58.3	0.38	n/d	3.88	66.7	53.5	44.7	0.92	19.5	17.5	11.5	131	46.5	4.29
30	62.9	0.48	n/d	3.97	53.7	50.3	60.6	0.85	16.7	10.1	10.2	2.00	72.6	4.79
35	52.4	0.34	n/d	4.15	42.4	37.7	73.7	0.83	14.0	14.3	12.7	106	52.8	2.88
40	60.8	0.40	n/d	3.97	46.0	34.1	63.1	0.87	13.1	19.8	10.9	1.9	80.6	2.90
45	26.8	0.28	n/d	2.63	34.7	21.8	50.5	0.69	9.39	13.8	8.94	59.2	69.6	2.42
50	88.9	0.51	n/d	1.5	100	23.3	63.3	1.25	11.0	242	5.74	81.9	126	2.92
55	8.41	0.03	n/d	0.091	4.02	1.19	103.2	0.33	1.05	0.979	0.36	18.4	17.6	0.57
60	5.50	0.01	n/d	0.10	2.64	0.78	121.1	0.22	0.775	1.10	0.28	20.9	12.8	0.35
65	6.98	0.03	n/d	0.27	3.63	2.60	138.0	0.30	1.64	1.42	0.613	23.3	16.3	0.673
70	19.5	0.24	n/d	2.50	31.4	24.1	75.8	0.56	9.23	5.50	4.72	69.6	55.0	2.14
75	0.570	0.01	n/d	0.036	0.619	0.26	110.8	0.12	0.463	1.67	0.21	12.9	7.79	0.33
80	1.37	0.01	n/d	0.084	1.60	0.502	119.7	0.30	0.542	0.83	0.24	17.9	10.3	0.29
85	0.777	0.00	n/d	0.20	1.25	0.756	99.88	0.18	0.458	0.23	0.1	7.18	14.3	0.399
90	1.59	0.01	n/d	1.38	3.06	3.77	143.0	0.706	1.41	1.36	0.460	7.93	13.8	0.506
95	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
100	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
115	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
125	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
130	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
135	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
140	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
145	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
150	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.62	0.26	5.14	0.04	0.46	0.23	0.12	0.73	0.80	0.05
max	88.89	0.51	0.46	4.58	100.38	56.81	142.98	1.25	19.49	241.93	24.35	140.05	126.02	6.83
median	23.13	0.26	0.00	2.01	33.07	22.54	70.48	0.70	9.31	5.50	5.23	19.61	42.81	2.28
mean	29.47	0.23	0.03	2.13	30.40	22.66	79.56	0.60	8.36	20.81	6.52	44.24	41.02	2.28



Appendix Figure 2. Photo of longitudinally split Dania Cutoff Canal Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 6. Dania Cutoff Canal 3 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	8.30	0.10	n/d	5.28	15.5	11.1	14.0	0.24	4.18	41.5	26.1	0.45	18.1	0.849
10	11.8	0.18	n/d	4.77	22.7	9.63	23.9	0.52	5.00	40.6	27.7	0.68	21.2	0.843
15	137	0.02	n/d	2.82	53.1	26.1	10.9	0.31	6.27	54.5	7.61	1.5	52.2	2.812
20	18.2	0.23	n/d	2.45	23.1	7.59	28.88	0.752	4.64	24.0	11.2	0.44	10.6	0.640
25	38.9	0.08	n/d	2.88	14.8	5.26	19.7	0.32	2.39	15.2	12.3	0.50	22.0	0.599
30	129	0.15	n/d	2.16	31.4	6.27	57.0	0.94	3.72	19.6	11.5	1.80	51.1	1.58
35	244.9	0.03	n/d	1.7	37.7	16.3	42.2	0.48	4.60	4.92	4.75	3.03	114	2.85
40	26.1	0.1	n/d	0.31	3.87	2.53	203.6	1.06	1.71	387.1	0.80	1.03	54.3	1.15
45	384.5	0.04	n/d	0.59	17.5	7.12	67.7	0.87	3.60	2.3	3.89	4.18	223	3.94
50	8.17	0.03	n/d	0.080	1.55	0.692	113.0	0.39	0.967	1.32	0.22	0.597	17.8	0.43
55	5.67	0.01	n/d	0.055	0.570	0.427	86.76	0.23	0.504	0.11	0.20	0.31	16.2	0.405
60	0.639	0.00	n/d	0.02	0.16	0.16	110.4	0.19	0.30	0.31	0.063	0.495	6.33	0.31
65	0.34	0.0	n/d	0.02	0.26	0.22	102.5	0.19	0.42	0.36	0.13	0.964	8.12	0.31
70	1.51	0.01	n/d	0.02	0.23	0.23	133.7	0.28	0.60	0.26	0.08	0.867	8.70	0.27
75	0.48	0.0	n/d	0.1	0.23	0.22	127.5	0.47	0.51	0.43	n/d	1.18	14.0	0.24
80	0.22	0.002	n/d	0.03	0.33	0.23	93.03	0.32	0.599	0.46	0.13	13.2	13.3	0.424
85	0.25	0.01	n/d	0.11	0.39	0.42	156.2	0.31	0.670	2.22	0.32	0.720	10.1	0.37
90	0.24	0.0	n/d	0.051	0.35	0.27	108.8	0.477	0.465	0.21	0.083	10.2	12.3	0.22
95	2.10	0.01	n/d	0.077	2.55	0.634	128.1	0.24	0.46	0.547	0.29	25.2	12.5	0.34
100	29.6	0.03	n/d	0.662	4.08	2.08	160.6	0.55	1.18	1.52	0.58	34.4	25.6	0.58
105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
115	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
125	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
130	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
135	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
140	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
145	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
150	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.16	0.16	10.92	0.19	0.30	0.11	0.00	0.31	6.33	0.22
max	384.47	0.23	0.00	5.28	53.10	26.14	203.56	1.06	6.27	387.14	27.68	34.41	223.17	3.94
median	8.23	0.02	0.00	0.21	3.21	1.39	97.76	0.35	1.07	1.87	0.45	1.00	17.04	0.50
mean	52.39	0.05	0.00	1.20	11.52	4.88	89.42	0.46	2.14	29.88	5.39	5.09	35.58	0.96

\_\_\_\_



Appendix Figure 3. Photo of longitudinally split Dania Cutoff Canal Core 3 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 7. Park Education Center 1 Elemental Concentrations (µg/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d =Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.065	0.03	n/d	3.22	3.14	5.30	11.2	0.14	1.25	17.3	12.5	0.11	1.34	0.13
10	0.12	0.028	n/d	1.60	2.34	4.22	5.83	0.10	0.696	8.60	5.00	0.046	0.641	0.06
15	0.743	0.052	n/d	3.61	6.11	6.29	7.89	0.15	1.94	25.7	15.7	0.13	2.01	0.15
20	0.21	0.032	n/d	1.29	2.82	3.55	5.56	0.10	0.725	10.6	3.78	0.035	0.775	0.06
25	1.04	0.048	n/d	3.87	10.1	7.93	9.69	0.22	2.84	17.2	13.2	0.12	2.81	0.17
30	1.18	0.032	n/d	1.92	9.81	9.74	10.4	0.288	2.38	6.88	5.05	0.063	3.93	0.269
35	1.20	0.046	n/d	2.06	11.5	16.6	17.2	0.447	3.00	2.80	1.89	0.00	2.94	0.31
40	1.51	0.052	0.01	4.16	10.5	16.0	22.0	0.532	3.60	5.19	3.60	0.051	4.54	0.30
45	0.444	0.03	n/d	1.50	7.00	12.1	11.8	0.33	2.06	3.65	1.12	n/d	2.97	0.34
50	0.965	0.051	n/d	2.76	10.1	13.2	20.3	0.532	3.14	9.83	2.29	0.01	4.42	0.33
55	3.14	0.34	0.36	20.1	55.0	14.4	30.4	0.747	16.4	33.3	28.1	0.40	12.1	0.61
60	3.86	0.33	0.25	13.7	34.2	11.3	23.6	0.54	10.37	29.9	22.7	0.11	12.1	0.646
65	2.85	0.22	0.29	10.3	16.0	6.70	14.5	0.28	4.53	19.0	15.2	0.22	6.16	0.38
70	2.79	0.24	0.16	8.50	11.6	6.13	15.8	0.23	2.96	16.2	10.5	0.19	5.62	0.27
75	0.886	0.09	0.02	3.39	3.47	2.43	7.36	0.093	1.15	6.66	3.56	0.036	2.10	0.09
80	0.808	0.03	n/d	1.21	1.28	1.30	4.79	0.055	0.399	3.10	1.05	0.02	1.13	n/d
85	1.98	0.03	0.01	2.40	4.50	2.88	11.3	0.13	0.897	6.22	3.23	0.072	3.24	0.16
90	0.484	0.01	0.072	0.971	0.654	1.23	5.34	0.048	0.567	4.07	0.879	0.006	0.850	0.01
95	5.14	0.04	n/d	4.46	7.10	4.98	22.2	0.27	1.63	8.37	6.58	0.28	5.76	0.28
100	9.19	0.13	n/d	9.01	24.0	12.0	34.2	0.50	5.05	21.3	15.5	0.35	11.1	0.76
105	1.32	0.02	n/d	2.61	3.72	2.96	12.4	0.14	1.01	4.30	3.85	0.045	2.80	0.15
110	3.93	0.06	n/d	5.38	10.3	5.84	20.5	0.23	3.12	13.4	3.89	0.37	6.99	0.48
115	4.37	0.088	0.736	3.74	15.0	9.44	35.8	0.37	3.68	10.5	4.13	0.19	11.6	0.817
120	8.14	0.1	n/d	3.52	15.9	7.53	26.8	0.36	2.79	8.59	3.11	0.18	15.4	0.59
125	8.92	0.079	n/d	5.66	15.2	8.45	32.2	0.31	3.27	9.06	3.78	0.055	13.5	0.767
130	43.8	0.92	n/d	3.99	50.7	21.0	20.4	0.67	11.3	6.79	4.97	0.00	32.1	2.26
135	14.1	0.11	n/d	3.98	15.3	6.11	23.3	0.33	2.81	5.26	2.84	0.17	15.8	0.666
140	2.91	0.03	n/d	2.29	7.20	4.16	18.1	0.26	1.51	4.73	1.65	0.12	7.32	0.32
145	2.72	0.03	0.003	1.81	5.24	3.42	17.4	0.14	0.990	3.58	1.31	0.086	3.82	0.23
150	0.789	0.01	0.005	1.28	3.67	2.75	6.89	0.16	0.604	1.55	0.729	0.0038	1.49	0.15
155	0.772	0.01	0.055	3.39	8.76	7.52	9.71	0.517	1.67	1.06	2.1	0.10	0.880	0.35
160	2.28	0.044	0.12	2.10	33.4	7.64	30.5	0.752	2.45	2.81	2.03	0.38	4.01	0.41
165	1.50	0.04	0.048	1.89	22.3	5.64	47.1	0.882	2.47	2.60	3.66	0.14	2.87	0.29
170	1.21	0.03	n/d	1.78	27.7	5.85	41.1	0.67	2.76	11.3	2.92	12.2	2.05	0.14
175	0.43	0.03	n/d	1.39	9.92	4.45	27.3	0.42	1.63	1.24	1.55	0.56	1.11	0.12
180	0.34	0.02	n/d	1.49	8.77	4.86	28.5	0.39	1.77	2.35	1.34	0.17	0.937	0.10
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.065	0.011	0.00	0.971	0.654	1.23	4.80	0.048	0.399	1.064	0.729	0.00	0.641	0.002
max	43.8	0.916	0.736	20.11	55.01	21.0	47.1	0.882	16.4	33.3	28.1	12.2	32.1	2.26
median	1.40	0.041	0.000	2.99	9.99	6.12	17.8	0.296	2.42	6.84	3.63	0.112	3.53	0.285
mean	3.78	0.096	0.060	4.07	13.46	7.38	19.16	0.343	3.04	9.58	5.98	0.473	5.81	0.366

\_\_\_\_\_



Appendix Figure 4. Photo of longitudinally split Park Education Center Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 8. Park Education Center 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	4.94	0.77	0.24	73.8	59.0	46.6	73.3	2.22	29.3	415.6	214.9	3.27	18.9	1.35
10	0.23	0.053	n/d	4.82	5.16	7.78	14.9	0.25	2.20	25.7	22.2	0.572	1.56	0.16
15	0.11	0.03	n/d	1.84	2.24	4.30	6.63	0.11	0.990	12.3	4.76	1.12	0.61	0.10
20	0.10	0.04	n/d	1.37	2.41	3.39	6.45	0.13	0.800	6.23	2.96	0.687	0.753	0.09
25	1.08	0.1	n/d	3.64	13.8	9.60	11.6	0.32	3.65	9.81	7.22	1.08	2.61	0.22
30	1.37	0.074	n/d	2.45	12.0	12.9	18.9	0.39	3.34	7.55	5.81	0.46	3.21	0.20
35	1.37	0.1	n/d	2.42	12.0	19.7	17.0	0.53	3.40	2.79	1.80	0.30	2.45	0.23
40	0.69	0.1	n/d	2.17	11.7	18.9	16.7	0.43	3.28	3.35	1.55	0.54	2.18	0.13
45	1.50	0.053	n/d	3.47	10.8	17.6	24.6	0.579	3.41	3.88	2.38	0.29	4.64	0.357
50	2.06	0.1	n/d	3.67	11.5	18.9	23.6	0.59	4.19	6.11	3.42	0.54	4.21	0.14
55	1.68	0.046	n/d	2.63	12.3	17.9	18.6	0.543	3.20	2.81	1.83	0.33	3.43	0.31
60	1.05	0.03	n/d	2.06	7.8	11.8	14.0	0.367	2.56	4.33	1.62	0.22	3.59	0.35
65	0.98	0.054	0.01	2.62	10.8	16.4	18.3	0.543	3.14	3.05	2.06	0.26	3.29	0.30
70	3.29	0.20	0.26	9.56	34.2	13.4	25.3	0.589	10.6	16.6	13.8	1.02	8.94	0.613
75	5.50	0.33	0.33	14.0	44.5	11.4	21.6	0.586	12.1	25.0	24.1	1.10	10.4	0.616
80	7.27	0.33	0.632	18.0	31.4	10.3	22.5	0.461	10.1	28.2	25.8	1.10	8.85	0.623
85	4.09	0.28	0.20	10.1	15.2	7.17	16.4	0.28	3.77	18.5	15.5	0.668	8.08	0.530
90	8.71	0.44	0.488	15.6	15.4	9.15	26.1	0.36	3.72	25.6	16.0	0.881	8.24	0.602
95	0.55	0.069	n/d	17.6	1.01	1.84	12.7	0.10	0.61	7.38	2.64	1.12	2.19	0.12
100	0.51	0.04	n/d	1.79	1.23	1.39	6.57	0.06	0.42	3.97	1.40	0.702	1.09	0.03
105	0.60	0.02	n/d	1.31	0.94	1.39	5.73	0.058	0.42	4.26	1.22	1.12	0.804	0.05
110	4.17	0.041	0.01	5.37	14.7	5.71	31.4	0.28	1.70	8.98	9.39	0.429	5.10	0.28
115	6.33	0.071	0.02	3.25	81.06	7.43	30.7	0.25	1.94	14.6	3.65	1.19	11.5	0.525
120	6.64	0.062	n/d	2.88	63.77	7.36	28.1	0.23	1.97	6.49	3.45	0.782	8.09	0.512
125	8.30	0.078	n/d	7.80	49.4	10.1	41.9	0.45	3.25	9.83	6.89	1.17	10.6	0.72
130	89.8	0.24	n/d	1.6	106	13.1	53.4	2.06	4.93	4.04	2.41	3.19	62.4	1.6
135	30.2	0.10	0.1	3.46	176.2	16.8	48.9	0.52	5.13	6.6	3.05	2.44	22.0	1.39
140	6.99	0.065	n/d	6.57	109.9	10.1	45.6	0.37	2.82	7.37	4.04	0.824	8.27	0.548
145	3.13	0.1	n/d	7.99	15.7	7.87	32.3	0.35	2.45	10.0	5.24	0.768	6.75	0.44
150	8.70	0.084	n/d	4.25	43.6	10.7	30.9	0.37	2.96	6.13	4.09	0.802	12.2	0.732
155	0.48	0.01	n/d	0.27	0.927	0.991	2.72	0.03	0.39	0.608	0.054	0.548	1.25	0.056
160	0.24	0.005	n/d	0.24	0.704	0.669	1.96	0.03	0.23	0.709	0.004	0.495	0.799	0.0
165	0.77	0.01	n/d	0.436	1.80	1.34	4.23	0.056	0.443	0.818	0.25	0.13	1.45	0.049
170	2.65	0.03	0.03	1.03	9.88	2.93	18.1	0.33	1.16	1.20	1.10	0.28	3.35	0.10
175	1.74	0.04	n/d	1.67	15.9	5.44	27.4	0.45	1.92	2.91	2.34	n/d	3.86	0.31
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.70	0.67	1.96	0.030	0.230	0.610	0.00	0.00	0.610	0.00
max	89.8	0.77	0.63	73.8	176	46.6	73.3	2.22	29.3	416	215	3.27	62.4	1.59
median	1.74	0.06	0.00	3.25	12.3	9.60	18.9	0.37	2.96	6.49	3.42	0.70	3.86	0.31
mean	6.22	0.11	0.07	6.90	28.7	10.4	22.8	0.440	3.90	20.4	12.0	0.87	7.36	0.41

\_\_\_\_



Appendix Figure 5. Photo of longitudinally split Park Education Center Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 9. Park Education Center 3 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	10.1	0.39	0.25	35.9	100.4	41.1	98.08	1.23	22.2	225.3	210.2	14.6	22.2	1.25
10	1.50	0.077	n/d	4.24	20.2	13.4	18.8	0.40	5.00	14.6	10.5	11.7	3.75	0.24
15	0.26	0.04	n/d	1.65	5.90	5.41	8.06	0.18	1.67	5.61	3.16	9.83	1.23	0.050
20	0.14	0.03	n/d	1.73	4.25	4.17	5.84	0.13	1.13	7.11	4.61	15.6	1.00	0.00
25	0.12	0.04	n/d	1.69	4.34	4.31	5.75	0.12	1.16	7.50	4.81	11.7	1.04	0.045
30	1.28	0.068	n/d	3.75	15.3	11.4	13.3	0.35	3.99	15.7	11.3	8.68	3.56	0.28
35	0.19	0.03	n/d	1.59	4.59	4.44	6.47	0.12	1.31	602.8	4.36	11.0	0.979	0.581
40	2.08	0.050	n/d	2.31	11.7	13.2	15.3	0.450	2.92	4.05	3.11	4.06	4.12	0.28
45	0.588	0.033	n/d	1.00	6.13	11.0	11.2	0.296	1.74	10.8	0.792	2.74	2.25	0.303
50	1.03	0.03	n/d	1.57	9.66	13.8	13.6	0.408	2.36	1.60	1.43	2.23	3.78	0.502
55	1.30	0.048	n/d	2.16	10.4	14.4	16.1	0.394	2.60	1.60	1.42	2.71	2.49	0.307
60	1.27	0.046	n/d	3.01	10.8	19.4	25.5	0.551	3.36	3.05	2.01	4.34	3.78	0.34
65	1.83	0.075	0.11	6.91	13.0	19.9	27.7	0.624	4.60	14.3	6.95	4.00	4.33	0.478
70	1.90	0.068	n/d	3.08	10.9	17.1	22.3	0.574	3.45	3.01	2.74	3.11	3.97	0.346
75	2.01	0.05	n/d	2.35	10.5	14.5	16.8	0.50	3.02	2.79	1.94	9.88	3.09	0.23
80	1.77	0.058	n/d	3.28	12.1	18.9	18.7	0.551	3.24	3.18	1.97	3.80	3.32	0.32
85	2.42	0.052	n/d	3.09	11.5	16.2	20.5	0.560	3.35	2.89	2.34	4.31	4.01	0.39
90	3.76	0.063	n/d	3.64	17.2	19.7	26.1	0.899	5.37	3.49	2.85	7.37	6.55	0.588
95	4.24	0.1	n/d	3.54	15.1	17.1	26.7	0.908	4.02	4.20	2.54	8.89	6.60	0.400
100	4.51	0.11	0.11	5.11	28.6	13.5	21.2	0.567	6.88	5.69	6.29	6.73	8.32	0.473
105	7.17	0.25	0.29	13.2	38.79	12.0	21.3	0.527	10.1	23.1	22.4	5.96	14.4	0.757
110	6.65	0.29	0.20	10.1	16.6	7.55	15.4	0.29	4.10	19.2	13.7	5.72	7.14	0.470
115	0.750	0.05	n/d	2.15	2.32	1.77	6.02	0.058	0.654	3.82	2.18	8.22	1.50	0.062
120	4.22	0.12	0.14	4.21	7.53	4.07	12.0	0.18	1.53	10.4	4.45	6.33	5.88	0.26
125	8.33	0.13	0.47	6.73	16.3	7.50	26.1	0.33	3.01	22.6	8.82	6.17	12.0	0.50
130	0.79	0.02	n/d	3.14	1.17	1.65	5.73	0.057	0.45	5.60	1.29	7.34	1.21	0.003
135	2.04	0.039	n/d	2.70	10.7	3.72	15.3	0.14	1.21	4.31	2.65	6.51	3.34	0.25
140	10.2	0.10	n/d	5.49	37.4	9.90	28.8	0.38	3.06	8.02	5.10	10.5	10.9	0.923
145	30.1	0.16	n/d	4.55	80.73	16.1	59.2	0.52	4.68	9.23	4.64	15.6	27.8	1.27
150	2.37	0.05	0.04	6.57	9.14	5.77	24.2	0.30	2.14	8.06	4.01	0.574	5.29	0.39
155	0.46	0.01	n/d	1.21	1.67	1.73	7.63	0.1	0.50	1.49	0.67	0.857	1.41	0.00
160	15.6	0.1	n/d	1.91	38.2	14.5	17.0	2.06	17.0	4.95	5.02	1.72	18.9	1.1
165	13.8	0.1	n/d	1.87	45.7	15.7	11.2	3.52	17.0	1.50	5.03	1.2	15.4	1.23
170	7.84	0.10	n/d	3.07	41.6	15.8	10.6	2.41	13.5	1.89	4.76	0.12	10.5	1.16
175	6.81	0.08	0.01	3.24	39.7	13.3	8.60	1.45	9.57	3.03	4.05	0.23	7.43	1.10
180	8.09	0.072	n/d	3.19	46.2	16.1	10.1	2.57	13.3	4.26	4.18	0.10	10.7	1.13
185	6.99	0.089	n/d	3.84	41.7	16.1	10.1	2.13	14.7	2.60	4.07	0.22	9.72	0.940
190	22.1	0.22	n/d	4.78	124.3	32.7	15.2	6.84	20.0	1.91	3.69	0.50	31.5	1.67
195	8.32	0.05	n/d	2.12	24.2	6.39	21.0	1.1	3.69	1.76	1.92	0.26	6.81	0.35
200	0.43	0.01	n/d	1.51	4.94	3.42	16.6	0.35	1.28	2.02	1.1	0.49	1.1	0.1
min	0.122	0.008	0.00	1.00	1.17	1.65	5.73	0.057	0.450	1.50	0.671	0.100	0.979	0.00
max	30.1	0.40	0.50	35.9	124	41.1	98.1	6.80	22.2	603	210	15.6	31.5	1.70
median	2.2	0.1	n/d	3.1	12.5	13.3	15.7	0.5	3.4	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.2	0.4
mean	5.1	0.1	n/d	4.4	23.8	12.5	19.0	0.9	5.6	27.0	9.7	5.6	7.3	0.5


Appendix Figure 6. Photo of longitudinally split Park Education Center Core 3 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 10. Park Headquarters 1 Elemental Concentrations (µg/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d =Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.39	0.02	n/d	1.50	1.55	2.53	7.86	0.051	0.36	6.65	2.77	0.734	0.958	0.01
10	3.42	0.04	n/d	1.46	4.34	4.09	10.6	0.13	1.23	75.47	2.97	0.820	2.86	0.24
15	40.4	0.1	n/d	2.2	36.1	13.3	11.4	0.2	4.73	16.5	6.96	3.15	11.3	0.95
20	45.0	0.1	n/d	1.5	32.5	12.1	8.42	0.2	4.38	5.97	2.93	3.89	11.4	1.4
25	63.1	0.1	n/d	1.2	48.3	19.5	5.53	0.19	5.51	4.01	2.29	2.66	21.8	1.3
30	269.5	0.18	n/d	2.43	67.2	32.0	14.0	0.33	8.94	6.31	7.50	2.77	75.0	3.69
35	133	0.20	n/d	3.73	47.2	28.3	16.9	0.34	7.70	8.44	7.95	2.29	40.6	3.10
40	37.0	0.1	n/d	1.14	18.6	13.0	8.12	0.13	3.93	5.55	2.89	1.18	10.8	1.17
45	43.2	0.1	n/d	1.51	24.4	15.9	8.34	0.16	3.88	4.27	3.39	1.59	15.1	1.51
50	20.6	0.02	n/d	0.861	17.2	8.72	5.88	0.21	2.82	7.99	1.90	1.01	11.9	0.79
55	28.2	0.03	n/d	0.937	25.2	10.6	5.93	0.11	2.62	1.90	2.42	1.18	15.4	0.89
60	7.05	0.01	n/d	0.40	5.82	4.31	2.71	0.04	1.02	0.895	0.741	0.600	6.04	0.36
65	5.34	0.02	n/d	0.40	4.56	3.91	2.35	0.03	0.906	2.86	0.945	0.574	5.48	0.26
70	5.75	0.057	n/d	0.834	8.40	6.25	7.86	0.10	1.92	1.65	1.37	0.52	7.85	0.37
75	8.38	0.070	n/d	0.685	11.3	8.77	7.83	0.13	2.80	1.33	1.22	0.53	10.1	0.618
80	7.10	0.11	n/d	0.818	9.17	8.47	9.27	0.15	2.66	39.3	1.48	0.19	10.9	0.487
85	7.92	0.11	n/d	0.772	10.5	8.65	8.72	0.13	2.71	0.852	1.11	0.39	11.5	0.515
90	5.17	0.067	n/d	0.671	7.73	6.60	9.85	0.10	1.76	1.74	0.791	0.458	11.6	0.38
95	4.79	0.046	n/d	0.447	4.96	4.69	6.09	0.072	1.10	0.741	0.404	0.379	9.29	0.27
100	3.73	0.04	n/d	0.805	4.25	3.68	4.58	0.068	1.03	3.84	0.731	0.538	6.98	0.14
105	10.8	0.11	n/d	1.08	14.9	11.0	12.9	0.16	2.56	1.72	1.07	1.07	17.5	0.50
110	15.6	0.053	n/d	0.454	10.9	5.96	7.24	0.11	1.54	1.41	0.590	0.431	14.5	0.35
115	13.0	0.11	n/d	0.719	18.0	9.47	9.69	0.16	2.94	1.28	0.891	0.466	19.1	0.605
120	9.89	0.052	n/d	0.512	14.5	6.55	8.21	0.11	1.47	1.34	0.494	0.544	18.4	0.442
125	9.10	0.052	n/d	0.609	7.49	5.05	7.72	0.12	1.33	0.815	0.415	0.384	13.7	0.26
130	6.97	0.04	n/d	0.42	10.0	4.99	7.22	0.091	1.17	1.20	0.26	0.724	8.26	0.34
135	28.0	n/d	n/d	0.41	47.3	20.6	16.9	0.71	4.92	241	0.79	7.21	16.6	1.9
140	48.7	0.1	n/d	0.32	55.7	19.3	17.6	0.60	4.57	1.7	0.86	3.35	22.4	1.5
145	19.4	0.03	n/d	0.1	25.7	11.1	37.2	1.0	3.23	1.91	0.67	2.68	18.1	1.0
150	11.7	0.02	n/d	0.15	13.0	7.34	30.2	7.40	11.5	7.31	0.63	2.38	16.9	1.05
155	6.92	n/d	n/d	0.20	5.95	3.38	30.0	3.27	12.1	2.35	0.19	2.58	7.58	0.43
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.390	0.00	0.00	0.110	1.55	2.53	2.35	0.030	0.360	0.740	0.190	0.190	0.960	0.010
max	270	0.20	0.00	3.73	67.2	32.0	37.2	7.40	12.1	241	7.95	7.21	75.0	3.69
median	10.8	0.1	0.0	0.8	13.0	8.7	8.3	0.1	2.7	2.4	1.1	0.8	11.6	0.5
mean	29.7	0.1	0.0	0.9	19.8	10.3	11.2	0.5	3.5	14.8	1.9	1.5	15.2	0.9

\_\_\_\_



Appendix Figure 7. Photo of longitudinally split Park Headquarters Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019

Appendix Table 11. Park Headquarters 2 Elemental Concentrations (µg/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Notdetected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.081	0.01	n/d	1.87	2.12	2.27	13.1	0.082	0.66	8.22	3.71	0.960	0.933	0.05
10	0.17	0.01	n/d	1.93	2.09	1.93	10.5	0.1	0.53	7.48	4.38	1.05	1.05	0.01
15	n/d	0.01	n/d	1.61	1.85	2.75	9.67	0.1	0.50	7.59	3.46	1.37	0.77	n/d
20	33.4	0.16	n/d	4.21	34.7	21.5	18.8	0.37	8.77	9.27	5.28	1.96	14.4	2.07
25	65.9	0.49	n/d	2.97	44.2	20.4	21.7	0.52	11.8	7.02	5.11	1.67	24.4	2.09
30	54.1	0.55	n/d	2.19	31.3	12.2	17.4	0.37	5.41	6.85	4.01	1.25	17.8	1.27
35	96.3	0.70	n/d	2.69	70.8	42.8	14.9	0.59	18.6	3.14	3.01	2.0	26.3	2.63
40	35.2	0.17	n/d	0.793	15.7	9.07	5.54	0.14	3.44	0.605	1.68	0.783	11.1	0.863
45	119	0.1	n/d	1.0	30.7	13.7	7.61	0.22	4.00	4.44	2.54	1.73	32.5	1.60
50	25.0	0.15	n/d	0.694	16.2	9.93	6.18	0.15	3.86	1.48	1.92	0.694	11.4	0.882
55	21.5	0.17	n/d	0.630	22.9	9.84	6.43	0.21	4.42	1.33	1.88	0.729	11.0	0.831
60	15.4	0.065	n/d	0.539	15.7	6.92	3.78	0.094	2.23	0.866	1.47	0.572	9.53	0.631
65	14.5	0.14	n/d	0.745	19.5	10.4	6.15	0.15	4.08	3.59	1.95	0.658	10.6	0.842
70	5.50	0.01	n/d	0.35	5.60	3.67	1.61	0.02	0.72	0.54	0.56	0.869	5.60	0.32
75	6.92	n/d	n/d	0.51	3.88	4.39	2.26	0.03	0.734	0.71	0.63	0.67	5.58	0.32
80	13.1	0.02	n/d	1.08	8.77	10.7	5.42	0.1	1.44	1.20	1.53	1.02	14.2	0.81
85	10.6	0.03	n/d	1.03	8.58	10.5	3.86	0.11	1.59	2.97	1.96	0.81	12.7	0.66
90	16.9	0.04	n/d	1.37	15.6	17.9	9.57	0.14	2.95	5.69	2.97	0.62	20.0	0.933
95	8.98	0.01	n/d	0.815	6.27	8.59	3.38	0.059	1.11	2.49	1.67	0.27	13.5	0.555
100	6.95	0.004	n/d	0.652	5.07	6.68	2.28	0.044	0.804	0.28	1.09	0.22	11.6	0.373
105	7.27	0.01	n/d	0.596	6.25	6.66	2.54	0.05	0.698	0.935	0.707	0.567	11.1	0.35
110	13.2	0.19	n/d	0.990	10.9	10.2	22.8	0.29	4.80	2.15	1.14	0.867	19.5	0.51
115	9.38	0.070	n/d	0.734	7.17	7.60	11.7	0.11	1.96	2.05	0.745	0.874	13.9	0.37
120	37.5	0.04	n/d	1.05	18.6	11.4	4.94	0.087	1.87	0.816	1.46	0.44	30.1	0.808
125	25.1	0.054	n/d	0.810	25.6	11.4	5.14	0.10	1.95	2.27	1.29	0.34	30.4	0.887
130	27.0	0.075	n/d	0.889	15.2	12.4	8.25	0.15	2.33	1.31	1.17	0.59	22.6	0.613
135	21.3	0.1	n/d	1.17	10.4	9.58	7.77	0.13	1.85	0.919	0.70	0.72	23.9	0.43
140	40.3	0.03	n/d	0.33	52.0	21.7	34.1	0.83	4.55	0.40	0.96	2.62	20.1	1.61
145	33.3	0.05	n/d	0.45	38.9	19.4	21.8	0.92	6.20	16.2	1.2	3.40	20.6	1.86
150	7.35	0.04	n/d	0.36	10.2	6.18	7.00	0.40	2.65	2.69	0.67	1.39	8.27	0.68
155	23.5	0.04	n/d	0.24	24.9	14.0	14.0	1.8	6.22	4.09	1.0	4.71	15.6	2.00
160	20.2	0.1	n/d	0.29	15.8	23.8	27.3	2.26	8.23	1.4	1.65	3.23	19.5	1.85
165	21.2	0.02	n/d	0.1	12.8	9.79	16.7	1.4	10.5	0.32	1.2	4.59	12.8	1.7
170	3.06	0.00	n/d	0.03	2.38	2.22	43.5	0.32	3.29	0.31	0.31	1.28	5.01	0.52
175	1.78	n/d	n/d	0.04	1.61	1.45	33.1	0.22	2.57	0.16	0.10	0.853	5.22	0.37
180	1.63	n/d	n/d	0.1	1.95	1.68	34.0	0.32	3.65	0.15	0.31	1.01	3.96	0.27
185	2.98	0.01	n/d	0.25	2.88	2.66	28.5	0.45	7.72	0.32	0.78	2.13	4.99	0.33
190	4.52	n/d	n/d	0.85	4.58	4.10	14.3	0.24	9.56	3.33	0.975	1.71	4.14	0.56
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.032	1.61	1.46	1.61	0.024	0.500	0.147	0.101	0.215	0.769	0.00
max	119	0.697	0.00	4.21	70.8	42.8	43.5	2.26	18.7	16.2	5.28	4.71	32.5	2.63
median	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	11.9	9.8	9.6	0.2	3.1	1.8	1.4	0.9	12.7	0.7
mean	22.4	0.1	0.0	1.0	16.4	10.6	13.4	0.4	4.2	3.0	1.8	1.3	13.9	0.9

\_\_\_\_



Appendix Figure 8. Photo of longitudinally split Park Headquarters Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 12. South Turning Basin 1 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	8.25	0.17	n/d	19.2	25.8	15.0	28.8	0.55	9.43	134	110	2.73	21.5	1.2
10	88.5	0.25	n/d	8.06	45.7	15.8	23.3	0.85	7.74	55.7	42.2	3.77	59.9	1.9
15	17.24	0.33	0.1	27.1	40.1	19.8	45.4	0.89	15.4	150.7	127	3.01	21.5	1.69
20	14.9	0.33	0.03	27.0	37.7	19.2	57.4	0.91	15.4	180	129	4.03	20.9	1.4
25	16.4	0.30	n/d	21.7	51.7	17.6	62.3	1.1	15.9	190	104	4.16	22.8	1.8
30	31.88	0.29	0.1	16.5	43.3	13.4	59.9	0.98	12.6	91.1	67.2	5.04	37.7	1.9
35	25.38	0.15	n/d	9.47	29.0	11.2	45.4	1.1	8.53	61.3	48.4	3.15	31.7	1.65
40	25.98	0.16	n/d	9.57	31.1	17.2	38.7	0.78	10.2	49.9	39.3	3.00	21.3	1.61
45	24.99	0.1	n/d	5.48	28.2	17.6	29.6	0.99	10.4	23.3	18.4	3.89	16.6	1.8
50	44.83	0.1	n/d	1.7	32.6	14.1	18.7	1.5	8.29	5.95	2.4	4.73	18.7	2.0
55	29.68	0.1	n/d	1.8	33.8	9.05	11.3	1.4	6.31	5.81	5.70	4.02	15.7	1.5
60	17.67	0.2	n/d	9.11	29.6	12.5	63.9	0.99	8.32	45.4	45.3	2.26	21.3	1.40
65	4.85	0.04	n/d	1.17	7.40	4.5	63.28	0.5	1.9	9.60	4.10	1.00	14.6	0.800
70	0.074	0.004	n/d	1.01	2.83	2.98	101.8	0.21	1.30	0.627	0.69	0.18	3.01	0.377
75	0.45	0.01	n/d	1.70	7.71	4.73	89.53	0.51	2.22	2.06	1.14	0.082	4.78	0.41
80	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
85	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
90	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
95	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
100	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
115	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
125	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
130	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
135	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
140	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
145	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
150	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.8	3.0	11.3	0.2	1.3	0.6	0.7	0.1	3.0	0.4
max	88.5	0.33	0.06	27.1	51.6	19.8	102	1.50	16.0	190	129	5.04	59.9	2.05
median	17.7	0.2	0.0	9.1	31.1	14.1	45.4	0.9	8.5	49.9	42.2	3.2	21.3	1.6
mean	23.4	0.2	0.0	10.7	29.8	13.0	49.3	0.9	8.9	67.0	49.6	3.0	22.1	1.4



Appendix Figure 9. Photo of longitudinally split South Turning Basin Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 13. South Turning Basin 2 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	8.67	0.26	0.12	22.6	30.5	17.2	38.8	0.67	12.7	132.5	115.0	2.80	15.5	1.33
10	9.75	0.34	0.19	27.4	37.5	20.2	49.3	0.76	15.9	155.8	135.3	3.71	15.1	1.61
15	9.49	0.35	0.16	28.3	39.4	20.3	44.7	0.78	16.4	156.6	136.5	2.95	13.9	1.67
20	14.5	0.08	n/d	5.07	16.7	5.86	17.7	0.52	5.11	22.3	35.0	8.14	15.6	0.30
25	8.97	0.04	n/d	0.64	6.85	5.82	4.07	0.46	2.5	11.6	1.3	4.52	9.11	0.46
30	3.05	0.051	n/d	5.09	6.81	3.45	8.55	0.16	2.59	27.7	22.8	1.03	4.73	0.25
35	9.84	0.04	n/d	0.48	8.4	4.6	3.4	0.6	2.0	5.00	1.20	8.60	12.6	0.50
40	8.57	0.0	n/d	0.69	5.06	3.0	8.33	0.62	1.8	8.32	2.6	7.19	14.5	0.42
45	14.6	0.05	n/d	0.83	7.49	2.6	4.1	0.71	1.3	23.7	1.7	10.3	14.5	0.63
50	14.8	0.1	n/d	0.26	6.76	2.80	5.31	0.66	1.5	4.47	0.36	11.1	13.3	0.41
55	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
60	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
65	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
70	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
75	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
80	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
85	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
90	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
95	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
100	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
115	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
125	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
130	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
135	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
140	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
145	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
150	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	3.05	0.00	0.00	0.26	5.06	2.64	3.39	0.16	1.33	4.47	0.36	1.03	4.73	0.25
max	14.8	0.35	0.19	28.3	39.4	20.3	49.2	0.78	16.4	157	137	11.1	15.5	1.67
median	9.5	0.1	0.0	0.8	7.5	4.6	8.3	0.7	2.5	23.7	2.6	4.5	13.9	0.5
mean	9.7	0.1	0.1	9.6	16.5	8.9	18.5	0.6	6.3	58.4	46.3	5.8	12.6	0.8



Appendix Figure 10. Photo of longitudinally split South Turning Basin Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 14. West Lake 1 Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	n/d	0.04	0.01	5.77	3.44	5.35	28.4	0.12	1.49	49.8	30.4	0.580	8.12	0.39
10	0.05	0.065	n/d	7.52	4.14	5.47	28.4	0.14	1.72	47.2	25.3	0.47	9.04	0.37
15	0.581	0.089	0.044	8.47	4.98	5.82	27.8	0.16	1.84	38.6	17.9	0.687	8.38	0.32
20	0.833	0.13	0.088	11.0	9.20	8.75	35.9	0.35	2.87	19.2	10.1	1.21	6.22	0.425
25	0.22	0.088	n/d	6.53	4.30	6.39	24.0	0.21	1.60	11.5	5.55	0.502	5.28	0.25
30	0.24	0.050	n/d	3.28	4.76	6.01	18.1	0.28	1.52	4.07	1.95	0.586	4.63	0.25
35	0.34	0.087	0.056	6.40	5.37	7.33	26.0	0.26	1.82	19.4	10.3	0.837	4.21	0.29
40	0.23	0.072	n/d	4.70	5.17	7.46	43.5	0.34	1.78	5.82	3.05	0.454	2.96	0.26
45	0.58	0.28	0.20	16.4	8.30	8.37	55.9	0.37	3.07	30.5	15.6	0.904	10.4	0.45
50	0.789	0.11	0.15	11.9	7.43	6.66	72.60	0.35	2.76	23.3	11.7	1.18	12.5	0.52
55	0.51	0.10	0.21	10.0	6.47	5.18	63.17	0.30	2.28	20.4	9.26	0.49	10.8	0.57
60	0.856	0.045	0.003	7.10	5.32	4.13	70.62	0.29	1.55	12.8	4.10	0.827	10.3	0.567
65	0.34	0.02	n/d	4.87	4.04	3.30	55.4	0.21	1.35	7.80	3.15	0.38	11.7	0.49
70	0.583	0.04	n/d	5.00	4.86	3.39	72.34	0.25	1.31	10.9	2.96	0.28	14.0	0.713
75	1.39	0.04	n/d	3.36	4.09	2.96	70.07	0.28	1.23	6.60	1.63	0.585	12.1	0.593
80	0.27	0.01	n/d	0.642	1.21	0.973	80.13	0.18	0.438	1.07	0.430	0.31	4.85	0.18
85	n/d	0.004	n/d	1.07	1.34	2.80	78.98	0.22	0.649	0.916	0.29	0.19	2.60	0.12
90	n/d	0.01	n/d	1.64	2.04	3.39	71.0	0.25	0.72	4.94	1.53	0.30	3.18	0.18
95	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
100	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
115	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
125	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
130	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
135	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
140	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
145	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
150	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	1.0	18.1	0.1	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.2	2.6	0.1
max	1.4	0.3	0.2	16.4	9.2	8.8	80.1	0.4	3.1	49.8	30.4	1.2	14.0	0.7
median	0.3	0.1	0.0	6.1	4.8	5.4	55.6	0.3	1.6	12.2	4.8	0.5	8.3	0.4
mean	0.4	0.1	0.0	6.4	4.8	5.2	51.2	0.3	1.7	17.5	8.6	0.6	7.8	0.4



Appendix Figure 11. Photo of longitudinally split West Lake Core 1 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 15. West Lake 2 Elemental Concentrations (µg/g) by sediment core depth (cm), with minimum (min), maximum (max), median, and mean values. N/a = End of sediment core. N/d = Notdetected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.31	0.10	n/d	11.4	4.94	6.80	34.6	0.17	1.98	37.7	21.1	0.502	6.42	0.27
10	0.074	0.070	n/d	7.24	4.08	6.35	26.8	0.18	1.63	28.3	14.0	0.61	5.23	0.29
15	n/d	0.03	n/d	2.04	2.36	5.17	15.2	0.17	1.08	8.48	1.03	0.34	1.68	0.17
20	0.20	0.045	n/d	4.23	4.36	6.24	17.8	0.27	1.65	2.44	6.70	0.31	3.54	0.26
25	0.16	0.074	0.01	4.54	5.45	7.29	22.6	0.29	1.85	7.15	4.02	0.676	2.99	0.25
30	0.21	0.072	n/d	5.01	5.14	7.53	54.8	0.39	2.01	8.96	4.81	0.064	3.25	0.25
35	0.090	0.18	0.060	7.83	5.92	4.57	46.3	0.21	2.24	16.6	8.95	0.624	11.0	0.56
40	0.46	0.21	0.26	13.1	6.50	6.67	70.1	0.33	2.64	21.1	11.7	0.48	12.3	0.60
45	0.55	0.091	0.12	10.2	5.58	5.64	75.3	0.32	2.21	16.0	9.12	0.53	11.2	0.647
50	0.65	0.12	0.11	11.6	7.85	7.21	78.7	0.41	2.88	21.2	11.4	0.49	12.6	0.55
55	0.41	0.1	0.04	8.96	5.12	4.56	66.1	0.30	1.92	16.8	7.89	0.50	10.6	0.53
60	0.726	0.04	n/d	6.51	5.78	4.29	77.2	0.31	1.61	8.65	2.99	0.45	10.5	0.51
65	0.956	0.053	n/d	5.68	5.36	4.10	66.6	0.31	1.59	9.12	3.52	0.39	13.8	0.43
70	0.975	0.1	n/d	4.54	6.15	4.13	66.5	0.29	1.65	10.9	2.43	0.58	11.0	0.56
75	3.6	n/d	n/d	0.59	59.6	11.2	9.38	0.52	16.8	27.7	1.2	7.70	12.9	0.88
80	2.04	0.01	n/d	0.965	2.24	1.94	57.13	0.28	0.975	2.45	0.513	0.675	21.7	0.704
85	0.813	0.03	n/d	2.19	2.78	2.53	70.81	0.22	1.17	7.41	0.927	0.957	8.75	0.46
90	0.04	n/d	n/d	0.14	0.43	0.34	93.77	0.19	0.597	0.41	0.26	0.601	4.62	0.21
95	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
100	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
115	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
125	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
130	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
135	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
140	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
145	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
150	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
160	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
165	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
170	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
175	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
180	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
190	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
195	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
min	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.43	0.34	9.38	0.17	0.60	0.41	0.26	0.06	1.68	0.17
max	3.61	0.21	0.26	13.1	59.6	11.2	93.8	0.52	17.0	37.7	21.1	7.70	22.0	0.88
median	0.4	0.1	0.0	5.3	5.3	5.4	61.6	0.3	1.8	10.0	4.4	0.5	10.6	0.5
mean	0.7	0.1	0.0	5.9	7.8	5.4	52.8	0.3	2.6	14.0	6.3	0.9	9.1	0.5

\_\_\_\_



Appendix Figure 12. Photo of longitudinally split West Lake Core 2 collected July 9-11, 2019.

Appendix Table 16. North Reef Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) of surface sediment samples (5cm). N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
NR 1	n/d	0.01	n/d	0.9	5.02	4.7	10.1	0.04	0.41	2.76	0.67	0.99	6.89	0.11
NR 2	n/d	0.03	n/d	1.4	6.8	6.81	13.9	0.1	0.72	4.52	1.02	1.84	6.37	0.04
NR 3	0.01	0.04	n/d	1.5	9.12	7.55	15.6	0.04	0.6	3.24	0.65	1.6	8.55	0.08

Appendix Table 17. South Reef Elemental Concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) of surface sediment samples (5cm). N/d = Not detected. Bold values indicate maximum concentrations by element.

	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
SR 1	n/d	0.04	n/d	1.3	3.8	5.32	24.1	0.03	0.62	91.1	28.6	1.85	2.41	0.07
SR 2	n/d	0.03	n/d	1.5	4.19	6.35	13.6	0.03	0.75	3.85	0.59	2.07	3.82	0.12
SR 3	n/d	0.04	n/d	1.8	3.91	6.44	14.6	0.05	0.86	6.97	0.51	1.34	3.32	0.08

Appendix Table 18. Dania Cutoff Canal Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm												
in de	epth.											
cm	DCC1	DCC2	DCC3	DCC1	DCC2	DCC3	DCC1	DCC2	DCC3			
	As	As	As	Mo	Mo	Mo	Sn	Sn	Sn			
5	4	-2	3	3	-2	2	3	-3	-4			
10	2	4	3	0	4	2	1	3	-4			
15	4	4	5	3	4	6	2	4	-2			
20	5	4	2	4	4	3	3	-1	-4			
25	5	5	3	4	5	4	3	4	-4			
30	5	5	5	3	5	6	2	-2	-2			
35	4	5	6	3	5	7	2	4	-1			
40	5	5	5	4	5	4	-3	-2	-3			
45	4	4	7	3	4	7	2	3	-1			
50	2	5	3	2	5	2	2	3	-4			
55	3	2	3	1	2	1	1	1	-5			
60	3	1	1	1	1	-2	1	1	-4			
65	2	2	2	0	2	-3	0	1	-3			
70	3	3	2	-1	3	-1	-3	3	-3			
75	3	-2	3	0	-2	-2	-7	1	-3			
80		-1	3		-1	-3		1	1			
85		-2	2		-2	-3		0	-4			
90		0	2		0	-3		0	0			
95			2			0			2			
100			4			4			2			

Geo-accumulation Index (GAI) GAI > 5 extremely Contaminated, 4-5 Strongly to Extremely, 3-4 Strongly, 2-3 Moderately to Strongly, 1-2 Moderately 0-1 Uncontaminated to Moderately, <0 Uncontaminated

Appendix Table 19. Park Headquarters Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	PHQ1	PHQ1	PHQ2	PHQ2
	Mo	As	Mo	As
5	-3	-1	-5	-1
10	1	0	-4	-1
15	4	2	0	-2
20	4	2	4	3
25	5	3	5	3
30	7	5	5	3
35	6	4	5	4
40	4	2	4	2
45	4	3	6	4
50	3	2	3	2
55	4	3	3	2
60	2	1	3	2
65	1	1	3	2
70	1	2	1	1
75	2	2	2	1
80	2	2	3	3
85	2	2	2	2
90	1	2	3	3
95	1	2	2	3
100	1	2	2	2
105	2	3	2	2
110	3	3	3	3
115	3	3	2	3
120	2	3	4	4
125	2	3	3	4
130	2	2	4	3
135	4	3	3	3
140	4	3	4	3
145	3	3	4	3
150	2	3	2	2
155	2	2	3	3
160			3	3
165			3	3
170			0	1
175			0	1
180			0	1
185			0	1
190			1	1
Geo-acc	umulation Index	(GAI)		
GAI > 5	extremely Conta	minated, 4-5 Strong	ly to Extremely, 3-4 S	strongly,
2-3 Mod	lerately to Strong	ly, 1-2 Moderately		

0-1 Uncontaminated to Moderately, <0 Uncontaminated

Appen	dix Table 20.	South Turning	g Basin Geo-A	Accumulation	Index for every	y 5 cm in depth.
cm	STB1	STB 1	STB 1	STB 2	STB 2	STB 2
	Mo	As	Cu	Mo	As	Cu
5	2	3	2	2	3	2
10	5	5	0	2	3	2
15	3	3	2	2	3	2
20	3	3	2	3	3	0
25	3	3	1	2	2	-5
30	4	4	1	0	1	-1
35	3	4	0	2	2	-5
40	4	3	0	2	3	-4
45	3	3	-1	3	3	-4
50	4	3	-4	3	3	-7
55	4	3	-3			
60	3	3	0			
65	1	3	-3			
70	-5	0	-6			
75	-2	1	-5			

Geo-accumulation Index (GAI) GAI > 5 extremely Contaminated, 4-5 Strongly to Extremely, 3-4 Strongly, 2-3 Moderately to Strongly, 1-2 Moderately 0-1 Uncontaminated to Moderately, <0 Uncontaminated

cm	PEC1	PEC1	PEC2	PEC2	PEC3	PEC3
	Mo	As	Mo	As	Mo	As
5	-5	-1	1	3	2	3
10	-4	-2	-3	-1	-1	1
15	-2	0	-4	-2	-3	-1
20	-3	-2	-4	-2	-4	-1
25	-1	0	-1	0	-4	-1
30	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
35	-1	0	-1	0	-4	-1
40	-1	1	-2	0	0	1
45	-2	0	-1	1	-2	0
50	-1	1	0	1	-1	1
55	0	2	0	1	-1	0
60	1	2	-1	1	-1	1
65	0	1	-1	1	0	1
70	0	1	1	2	0	1
75	-1	0	1	2	0	0
80	-1	-1	2	2	0	1
85	0	1	1	2	0	1
90	-2	-1	2	2	1	2
95	1	1	-2	0	1	2
100	2	2	-2	-1	1	2
105	-1	0	-2	-1	2	3
110	1	2	1	1	2	2
115	1	2	1	2	-2	-1
120	2	3	2	2	1	1
125	2	3	2	2	2	2
130	4	4	5	5	-2	-1
135	3	3	4	3	0	1
140	0	2	2	2	2	2
145	0	1	0	2	4	4
150	-2	-1	2	2	0	1
155	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1
160	0	1	-3	-1	3	3
165	-1	0	-2	-1	3	3
170	-1	0	0	1	2	2
175	-2	-1	0	1	2	2
180	-3	-1			2	2
185					2	2
190					3	4
195					2	2
200	1.4 - 1 - 1				-2	-1
Geo-ac	cumulation Ind	ex (GAI)	1 <b>1</b>	1 2 4 6	1	
GAI >	5 extremely Co	ntaminated, 4-5 S	strongly to Extr	emely, 3-4 Stro	ngly,	
2-3 Mo	oderately to Stro	ongly, 1-2 Moder	ately			

Appendix Table 21. Park Education Center Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth.

0-1 Uncontaminated to Moderately, <0 Uncontaminated

Appendix Table 22. West Lake Geo-Accumulation Index for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	WL1	WL2
	As	As
5	2	2
10	2	1
15	2	0
20	1	1
25	1	0
30	1	1
35	1	2
40	0	2
45	2	2
50	2	2
55	2	2
60	2	2
65	2	3
70	3	2
75	2	3
80	1	3
85	0	2
90	0	1
Geo-accumul GAI > 5 extro 2-3 Moderate	lation Index (GAI) emely Contaminated, 4-5 Stro ely to Strongly, 1-2 Moderatel	ngly to Extremely, 3-4 Strongly,

0-1 Uncontaminated to Moderately, <0 Uncontaminated

Append	lix Table 23. N	lorth and South	Reef Geo-Accı	umulation Inde	ex for every 5 cm	in depth.					
cm	NR1	NR2	NR3	SR1	SR2	SR3					
	As	As	As	As	As	As					
5	2	2	2	0	1	1					
Geo-acc	umulation Index	k (GAI)									
GAI > 5	extremely Con	taminated, 4-5 Str	ongly to Extrem	ely							
3-4 Stro	ongly 2-3 l	Moderately to Stro	ongly	-							
1-2 Moderately 0-1 Uncontaminated to Moderately, <0 Uncontaminated											

Appendix Table 24. Pollution Load Index Calculations (PLI) for port, WL, and RF	
locations for every 5 cm in depth	

cm	DCC	DCC	DCC	PEC	PEC	PEC	PHQ	PHQ	STB	STB	WL	WL
5	1		3 0.2	1	2 1.4	3 1.4	1	2	1		1	$\stackrel{2}{02}$
10	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.2	0.2
15	0.5	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.1
20	0.6	1.2	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.1
25	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.1
30	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.1
35	0.5	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2
40	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.3
45	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2
50	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.3
55	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3		0.2	0.2
60	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.6		0.1	0.1
65 70	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.2
70	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.2
80	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1		0.1	0.2
85		0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1			0.0	0.1
90		0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2			0.1	0.0
95			0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1				
100			0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1				
105				0.1	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1				
110				0.2	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2				
115				0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1				
120				0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1				
125				0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1				
130				0.5	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.2				
140				0.2	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.1				
145				0.1	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2				
150				0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1				
155				0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2				
160				0.2	0.0	0.3		0.3				
165				0.1	0.0	0.3		0.2				
170				0.2	0.1	0.2		0.1				
175				0.1	0.1	0.2		0.0				
180				0.1		0.3		0.0				
185						0.3		0.1				
190						0.5		0.1				
195 200						0.2						
200 cm				NR	NR	NR	SR	SR	SR			
UIII				1	2	3	1	2	3			
5				0.04	0.07	0.06	0.1	0.06	0.06			
		Deller	on Lood	Indov	<b>~</b> 1	Dollarto 1	~1	No Com	tominat	ion		
		ronuti	on Load	maex	~1	ronuted	<	. INO COL	namnati	IOH		

Appe	Appendix Table 25. Potential Ecological Risk Index Calculations (E <sub>RI</sub> ) – port, WL, and RF locations for every 5 cm in depth												
locati	ons for o	every 5 c	m in dep	oth									
cm	DCC	DCC	DCC	PEC	PEC	PEC	PHQ	PHQ	STB	STB	WL	WL	
_	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	
5	364	10	160	21	441	332	12	12	228	220	75	83	
10	125	449	204	15	34	54	34	11	491	246	89	63	
15	346	406	360	35	15	21	111	12	284	243	90	23	
20	527	543	147	17	19	18	119	150	283	0	89	41	
25	590	439	176	39	41	20	179	319	278	75	66	46	
30	417	642	392	39	48	50	562	292	362	54	48	47	
35	293	466	772	37	36	27	339	398	272	98	60	133	
40	487	669	386	50	34	46	94	128	207	98	45	154	
45	205	556	1502	30	51	27	123	242	142	112	165	109	
50	93	1006	128	48	55	36	89	125	167	108	125	127	
55	94	127	113	203	40	34	115	129	129		108	93	
60	97	89	43	195	36	43	45	85	208		86	85	
65	85	119	55	116	42	58	43	114	113		88	112	
70	136	446	61	117	131	51	71	41	22		110	93	
75	107	55	93	45	185	38	91	39	38		94	98	
80		72	90	17	172	43	107	102			36	149	
85		96	71	32	146	46	113	96			20	70	
90		96	83	10	197	67	100	147			25	30	
95			86	53	41	6/	//	95					
100			180	122	19	93	60 151	80					
105				28	14	183	151	//					
110				0/	51	142	114	190					
115				108	101	26 70	164	110					
120				123	/0	122	140	214					
123				501	100	123	108	176					
130				140	492	15	07	192					
133				1 <del>4</del> 0 60	70	109	11/	102					
140				25	68	240	1/1	140					
145				15	111	240 53	121	60					
150				13	12	13	55	118					
160				13	7	154	55	153					
165				33	14	134		95					
170				25	31	106		36					
175				19	<u>4</u> 1	81		36					
180				15	11	100		28					
185				10		99		39					
190						287		31					
195						63		51					
200						11							
cm				NR	NR	NR	SR	SR	SR				
em				1	2	3	1	2	3				
5				50	53	70	62	36	36				
Potent	tial Ecolo	gical Risk	(Eri)	20	55	, 0	52	20	20				
$E_{ri} > 3$	20 signif	icantly his	gh	160 <	$< E_{ri} < 32$	0 high	80 -	$< E_{ri} < 160$	) conside	rable			
40 <e< td=""><td><math>_{\rm ri} &lt; 80  {\rm m}</math></td><td>oderate</td><td></td><td>E<sub>ri</sub> &lt;</td><td>40 low</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></e<>	$_{\rm ri} < 80  {\rm m}$	oderate		E <sub>ri</sub> <	40 low	0				-			
		-		11									

Appendix Table 26. Dania Cutoff Canal 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	16.1	0.25	0	6.35	32.4	34.9	76.2	0.62	11.3	102.0	174.5	52.2	36.4	2.96
10	2.97	0.051	0	3.01	7.72	7.41	18.4	0.16	2.26	73.91	150.8	14.8	11.4	0.659
15	20.1	0.17	0	2.11	24.8	25.7	48.2	0.47	8.72	9.30	13.40	45.2	42.9	2.30
20	36.3	0.26	0	2.00	31.3	22.8	52.4	0.56	8.99	13.1	4.36	54.3	66.2	2.60
25	36.2	0.30	0	2.36	37.4	27.8	62.2	0.62	10.1	17.3	20.3	54.5	73.2	2.59
30	24.9	0.19	0	1.52	23.3	15.3	43.4	0.47	5.98	4.07	3.51	32.7	53.2	1.70
35	18.7	0.15	0	2.72	24.5	14.3	42.3	0.49	5.14	12.5	9.4	24.4	36.3	1.39
40	45.9	0.23	0	1.71	38.9	15.4	70.5	0.76	7.29	10.0	23.4	1.03	61.2	2.01
45	17.9	0.080	0	1.07	15.0	6.25	63.7	0.51	3.11	5.37	3.70	32.1	26.7	1.14
50	7.06	0.03	0	0.23	5.10	1.96	129.6	0.34	1.23	1.48	0.532	25.3	12.6	0.494
55	3.72	0.01	0	0.060	1.25	0.824	119.1	0.33	0.760	1.01	0.22	16.1	13.5	0.434
60	4.62	0.01	0	0.089	2.31	0.788	147.1	0.29	0.830	1.01	0.381	16.2	14.0	0.458
65	1.91	0.01	0	0.15	0.950	0.701	144.0	0.26	0.523	0.807	0.288	6.13	12.3	0.319
70	0.88	0.01	0	0.35	1.13	0.85	162.5	0.35	0.89	75.4	55.4	1.28	17.9	0.26
75	2.93	0.00	0	8.21	5.92	13.6	79.65	1.81	3.57	14.6	10.3	0.05	15.0	0.681
				Val	ues abov	ve TEL 1	ange; V	alues a	bove PE	L range				

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.48	0.01	0	1.43	2.00	2.01	5.14	0.04	0.47	5.17	3.90	0.730	0.803	0.05
10	45.9	0.46	0	4.00	41.5	56.8	47.0	0.92	18.6	10.3	11.9	90.2	44.3	4.55
15	44.1	0.39	0	3.62	47.2	51.8	45.2	0.81	16.8	7.82	10.4	140	41.3	4.22
20	44.5	0.43	0	4.27	73.9	46.4	75.0	0.88	15.2	28.9	40.7	1.37	56.2	3.56
25	58.3	0.38	0	3.88	66.7	53.5	44.7	0.92	19.5	17.5	11.5	131	46.5	4.29
30	62.9	0.48	0	3.97	53.7	50.3	60.6	0.85	16.7	10.1	10.2	2.0	72.6	4.79
35	52.4	0.34	0	4.15	42.4	37.7	73.7	0.83	14.0	14.3	12.7	106	52.8	2.88
40	60.8	0.40	0	3.97	46.0	34.1	63.1	0.87	13.1	19.8	10.9	1.9	80.6	2.90
45	26.8	0.28	0	2.63	34.7	21.8	50.5	0.69	9.39	13.8	8.94	59.2	69.6	2.42
50	88.9	0.51	0	1.5	100	23.3	63.3	1.25	11.0	241.9	5.74	81.9	126	2.92
55	8.41	0.03	0	0.091	4.02	1.19	103.2	0.33	1.05	0.979	0.36	18.4	17.6	0.57
60	5.50	0.01	0	0.10	2.64	0.78	121.1	0.22	0.775	1.10	0.28	20.9	12.8	0.35
65	6.98	0.03	0	0.27	3.63	2.60	138.0	0.30	1.64	1.42	0.613	23.3	16.3	0.673
70	19.5	0.24	0	2.50	31.4	24.1	75.8	0.56	9.23	5.50	4.72	69.6	55.0	2.14
75	0.570	0.01	0	0.036	0.619	0.26	110.8	0.12	0.463	1.67	0.21	12.9	7.79	0.33
80	1.37	0.01	0	0.084	1.60	0.502	119.7	0.30	0.542	0.83	0.24	17.9	10.3	0.29
85	0.777	0.00	0	0.20	1.25	0.756	99.88	0.18	0.458	0.23	0.1	7.18	14.3	0.399
90	1.59	0.01	0	1.38	3.06	3.77	143.0	0.706	1.41	1.36	0.460	7.93	13.8	0.506
				Va	alues abo	ove TEL	range; V	Values a	bove PE	L range				

Appendix Table 27. Dania Cutoff Canal 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

Appendix Table 28. Dania Cutoff Canal 3 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	8.30	0.10	0	5.28	15.5	11.1	14.0	0.24	4.18	41.5	26.1	0.45	18.1	0.849
10	11.8	0.18	0	4.77	22.7	9.63	23.9	0.52	5.00	40.6	27.7	0.68	21.2	0.843
15	137	0.02	0	2.82	53.1	26.1	10.9	0.31	6.27	54.5	7.61	1.5	52.2	2.812
20	18.2	0.23	0	2.45	23.1	7.59	28.88	0.752	4.64	24.0	11.2	0.44	10.6	0.640
25	38.9	0.08	0	2.88	14.8	5.26	19.7	0.32	2.39	15.2	12.3	0.50	22.0	0.599
30	129	0.15	0	2.16	31.4	6.27	57.0	0.94	3.72	19.6	11.5	1.80	51.1	1.58
35	244.9	0.03	0	1.7	37.7	16.3	42.2	0.48	4.60	4.92	4.75	3.03	114	2.85
40	26.1	0.1	0	0.31	3.87	2.53	203.6	1.06	1.71	387.1	0.80	1.03	54.3	1.15
45	384.5	0.04	0	0.59	17.5	7.12	67.7	0.87	3.60	2.3	3.89	4.18	223	3.94
50	8.17	0.03	0	0.080	1.55	0.692	113.0	0.39	0.967	1.32	0.22	0.597	17.8	0.43
55	5.67	0.01	0	0.055	0.570	0.427	86.76	0.23	0.504	0.11	0.20	0.31	16.2	0.405
60	0.639	0.00	0	0.02	0.16	0.16	110.4	0.19	0.30	0.31	0.063	0.495	6.33	0.31
65	0.34	0.0	0	0.02	0.26	0.22	102.5	0.19	0.42	0.36	0.13	0.964	8.12	0.31
70	1.51	0.01	0	0.02	0.23	0.23	133.7	0.28	0.60	0.26	0.08	0.867	8.70	0.27
75	0.48	0.0	0	0.1	0.23	0.22	127.5	0.47	0.51	0.43	0.0	1.18	14.0	0.24
80	0.22	0.002	0	0.03	0.33	0.23	93.03	0.32	0.599	0.46	0.13	13.2	13.3	0.424
85	0.25	0.01	0	0.11	0.39	0.42	156.2	0.31	0.670	2.22	0.32	0.720	10.1	0.37
90	0.24	0.0	0	0.051	0.35	0.27	108.8	0.477	0.465	0.21	0.083	10.2	12.3	0.22
95	2.10	0.01	0	0.077	2.55	0.634	128.1	0.24	0.46	0.547	0.29	25.2	12.5	0.34
100	29.6	0.03	0	0.662	4.08	2.08	160.6	0.55	1.18	1.52	0.58	34.4	25.6	0.58
				Va	lues abo	ve TEL 1	range; V	alues ab	ove PEI	range				

Appendix Table 29. Park Education Center 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.065	0.03	0.0	3.22	3.14	5.30	11.2	0.14	1.25	17.3	12.5	0.11	1.34	0.13
10	0.12	0.028	0.0	1.60	2.34	4.22	5.83	0.10	0.696	8.60	5.00	0.046	0.641	0.06
15	0.743	0.052	0.0	3.61	6.11	6.29	7.89	0.15	1.94	25.7	15.7	0.13	2.01	0.15
20	0.21	0.032	0.0	1.29	2.82	3.55	5.56	0.10	0.725	10.6	3.78	0.035	0.775	0.06
25	1.04	0.048	0.0	3.87	10.1	7.93	9.69	0.22	2.84	17.2	13.2	0.12	2.81	0.17
30	1.18	0.032	0.0	1.92	9.81	9.74	10.4	0.288	2.38	6.88	5.05	0.063	3.93	0.269
35	1.20	0.046	0.0	2.06	11.5	16.6	17.2	0.447	3.00	2.80	1.89	0.00	2.94	0.31
40	1.51	0.052	0.01	4.16	10.5	16.0	22.0	0.532	3.60	5.19	3.60	0.051	4.54	0.30
45	0.444	0.03	0.0	1.50	7.00	12.1	11.8	0.33	2.06	3.65	1.12	0.00	2.97	0.34
50	0.965	0.051	0.0	2.76	10.1	13.2	20.3	0.532	3.14	9.83	2.29	0.01	4.42	0.33
55	3.14	0.34	0.36	20.1	55.0	14.4	30.4	0.747	16.4	33.3	28.1	0.40	12.1	0.61
60	3.86	0.33	0.25	13.7	34.2	11.3	23.6	0.54	10.37	29.9	22.7	0.11	12.1	0.646
65	2.85	0.22	0.29	10.3	16.0	6.70	14.5	0.28	4.53	19.0	15.2	0.22	6.16	0.38
70	2.79	0.24	0.16	8.50	11.6	6.13	15.8	0.23	2.96	16.2	10.5	0.19	5.62	0.27
75	0.886	0.09	0.02	3.39	3.47	2.43	7.36	0.093	1.15	6.66	3.56	0.036	2.10	0.09
80	0.808	0.03	0.0	1.21	1.28	1.30	4.79	0.055	0.399	3.10	1.05	0.02	1.13	0.00
85	1.98	0.03	0.01	2.40	4.50	2.88	11.3	0.13	0.897	6.22	3.23	0.072	3.24	0.16
90	0.484	0.01	0.072	0.971	0.654	1.23	5.34	0.048	0.567	4.07	0.879	0.0059	0.850	0.01
95	5.14	0.04	0.0	4.46	7.10	4.98	22.2	0.27	1.63	8.37	6.58	0.28	5.76	0.28
100	9.19	0.13	0.0	9.01	24.0	12.0	34.2	0.50	5.05	21.3	15.5	0.35	11.1	0.76
105	1.32	0.02	0.0	2.61	3.72	2.96	12.4	0.14	1.01	4.30	3.85	0.045	2.80	0.15
110	3.93	0.06	0.0	5.38	10.3	5.84	20.5	0.23	3.12	13.4	3.89	0.37	6.99	0.48
115	4.37	0.088	0.736	3.74	15.0	9.44	35.8	0.37	3.68	10.5	4.13	0.19	11.6	0.817
120	8.14	0.1	0.0	3.52	15.9	7.53	26.8	0.36	2.79	8.59	3.11	0.18	15.4	0.59
125	8.92	0.079	0.0	5.66	15.2	8.45	32.2	0.31	3.27	9.06	3.78	0.055	13.5	0.767
130	43.8	0.92	0.0	3.99	50.7	21.0	20.4	0.67	11.3	6.79	4.97	0.00	32.1	2.26
135	14.1	0.11	0.0	3.98	15.3	6.11	23.3	0.33	2.81	5.26	2.84	0.17	15.8	0.666
140	2.91	0.03	0.0	2.29	7.20	4.16	18.1	0.26	1.51	4.73	1.65	0.12	7.32	0.32
145	2.72	0.03	0.003	1.81	5.24	3.42	17.4	0.14	0.990	3.58	1.31	0.086	3.82	0.23
150	0.789	0.01	0.005	1.28	3.67	2.75	6.89	0.16	0.604	1.55	0.729	0.0038	1.49	0.15
155	0.772	0.01	0.055	3.39	8.76	7.52	9.71	0.517	1.67	1.06	2.1	0.10	0.880	0.35
160	2.28	0.044	0.12	2.10	33.4	7.64	30.5	0.752	2.45	2.81	2.03	0.38	4.01	0.41
165	1.50	0.04	0.048	1.89	22.3	5.64	47.1	0.882	2.47	2.60	3.66	0.14	2.87	0.29
170	1.21	0.03	0.0	1.78	27.7	5.85	41.1	0.67	2.76	11.3	2.92	12.2	2.05	0.14
175	0.43	0.03	0.0	1.39	9.92	4.45	27.3	0.42	1.63	1.24	1.55	0.56	1.11	0.12
180	0.34	0.02	0.0	1.49	8.77	4.86	28.5	0.39	1.77	2.35	1.34	0.17	0.937	0.10
				Valu	es above	TEL r	ange; V	/alues al	oove PE	L range	<b>;</b>			

Appendix Table 30. Park Education Center 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	4.94	0.77	0.24	73.8	59.0	46.6	73.3	2.22	29.3	415.6	214.9	3.27	18.9	1.35
10	0.23	0.053	0.0	4.82	5.16	7.78	14.9	0.25	2.20	25.7	22.2	0.572	1.56	0.16
15	0.11	0.03	0.0	1.84	2.24	4.30	6.63	0.11	0.990	12.3	4.76	1.12	0.61	0.10
20	0.10	0.04	0.0	1.37	2.41	3.39	6.45	0.13	0.800	6.23	2.96	0.687	0.753	0.09
25	1.08	0.1	0.0	3.64	13.8	9.60	11.6	0.32	3.65	9.81	7.22	1.08	2.61	0.22
30	1.37	0.074	0.0	2.45	12.0	12.9	18.9	0.39	3.34	7.55	5.81	0.46	3.21	0.20
35	1.37	0.1	0.0	2.42	12.0	19.7	17.0	0.53	3.40	2.79	1.80	0.30	2.45	0.23
40	0.69	0.1	0.0	2.17	11.7	18.9	16.7	0.43	3.28	3.35	1.55	0.54	2.18	0.13
45	1.50	0.053	0.0	3.47	10.8	17.6	24.6	0.579	3.41	3.88	2.38	0.29	4.64	0.357
50	2.06	0.1	0.0	3.67	11.5	18.9	23.6	0.59	4.19	6.11	3.42	0.54	4.21	0.14
55	1.68	0.046	0.0	2.63	12.3	17.9	18.6	0.543	3.20	2.81	1.83	0.33	3.43	0.31
60	1.05	0.03	0.0	2.06	7.8	11.8	14.0	0.367	2.56	4.33	1.62	0.22	3.59	0.35
65	0.98	0.054	0.01	2.62	10.8	16.4	18.3	0.543	3.14	3.05	2.06	0.26	3.29	0.30
70	3.29	0.20	0.26	9.56	34.2	13.4	25.3	0.589	10.6	16.6	13.8	1.02	8.94	0.613
75	5.50	0.33	0.33	14.0	44.5	11.4	21.6	0.586	12.1	25.0	24.1	1.10	10.4	0.616
80	7.27	0.33	0.632	18.0	31.4	10.3	22.5	0.461	10.1	28.2	25.8	1.10	8.85	0.623
85	4.09	0.28	0.20	10.1	15.2	7.17	16.4	0.28	3.77	18.5	15.5	0.668	8.08	0.530
90	8.71	0.44	0.488	15.6	15.4	9.15	26.1	0.36	3.72	25.6	16.0	0.881	8.24	0.602
95	0.55	0.069	0.0	17.6	1.01	1.84	12.7	0.10	0.61	7.38	2.64	1.12	2.19	0.12
100	0.51	0.04	0.0	1.79	1.23	1.39	6.57	0.06	0.42	3.97	1.40	0.702	1.09	0.03
105	0.60	0.02	0.0	1.31	0.94	1.39	5.73	0.058	0.42	4.26	1.22	1.12	0.804	0.05
110	4.17	0.041	0.01	5.37	14.7	5.71	31.4	0.28	1.70	8.98	9.39	0.429	5.10	0.28
115	6.33	0.071	0.02	3.25	81.06	7.43	30.7	0.25	1.94	14.6	3.65	1.19	11.5	0.525
120	6.64	0.062	0.0	2.88	63.77	7.36	28.1	0.23	1.97	6.49	3.45	0.782	8.09	0.512
125	8.30	0.078	0.0	7.80	49.4	10.1	41.9	0.45	3.25	9.83	6.89	1.17	10.6	0.72
130	89.8	0.24	0.0	1.6	106	13.1	53.4	2.06	4.93	4.04	2.41	3.19	62.4	1.6
135	30.2	0.10	0.1	3.46	176.2	16.8	48.9	0.52	5.13	6.6	3.05	2.44	22.0	1.39
140	6.99	0.065	0.0	6.57	109.9	10.1	45.6	0.37	2.82	7.37	4.04	0.824	8.27	0.548
145	3.13	0.1	0.0	7.99	15.7	7.87	32.3	0.35	2.45	10.0	5.24	0.768	6.75	0.44
150	8.70	0.084	0.0	4.25	43.6	10.7	30.9	0.37	2.96	6.13	4.09	0.802	12.2	0.732
155	0.48	0.01	0.0	0.27	0.927	0.991	2.72	0.03	0.39	0.608	0.054	0.548	1.25	0.056
160	0.24	0.005	0.0	0.24	0.704	0.669	1.96	0.03	0.23	0.709	0.004	0.495	0.799	0.0
165	0.77	0.01	0.0	0.436	1.80	1.34	4.23	0.056	0.443	0.818	0.25	0.13	1.45	0.049
170	2.65	0.03	0.03	1.03	9.88	2.93	18.1	0.33	1.16	1.20	1.10	0.28	3.35	0.10
175	1.74	0.04	0.0	1.67	15.9	5.44	27.4	0.45	1.92	2.91	2.34	0.0	3.86	0.31
				Val	ues abov	e TEL r	ange; V	/alues al	bove PE	L range				

Appendix Table 31. Park Education Center 3 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	10.1	0.39	0.25	35.9	100.4	41.1	98.08	1.23	22.2	225.3	210.2	14.6	22.2	1.25
10	1.50	0.077	0.0	4.24	20.2	13.4	18.8	0.40	5.00	14.6	10.5	11.7	3.75	0.24
15	0.26	0.04	0.0	1.65	5.90	5.41	8.06	0.18	1.67	5.61	3.16	9.83	1.23	0.050
20	0.14	0.03	0.0	1.73	4.25	4.17	5.84	0.13	1.13	7.11	4.61	15.6	1.00	0.00
25	0.12	0.04	0.0	1.69	4.34	4.31	5.75	0.12	1.16	7.50	4.81	11.7	1.04	0.045
30	1.28	0.068	0.0	3.75	15.3	11.4	13.3	0.35	3.99	15.7	11.3	8.68	3.56	0.28
35	0.19	0.03	0.0	1.59	4.59	4.44	6.47	0.12	1.31	602.8	4.36	11.0	0.979	0.581
40	2.08	0.050	0.0	2.31	11.7	13.2	15.3	0.450	2.92	4.05	3.11	4.06	4.12	0.28
45	0.588	0.033	0.0	1.00	6.13	11.0	11.2	0.296	1.74	10.8	0.792	2.74	2.25	0.303
50	1.03	0.03	0.0	1.57	9.66	13.8	13.6	0.408	2.36	1.60	1.43	2.23	3.78	0.502
55	1.30	0.048	0.0	2.16	10.4	14.4	16.1	0.394	2.60	1.60	1.42	2.71	2.49	0.307
60	1.27	0.046	0.0	3.01	10.8	19.4	25.5	0.551	3.36	3.05	2.01	4.34	3.78	0.34
65	1.83	0.075	0.11	6.91	13.0	19.9	27.7	0.624	4.60	14.3	6.95	4.00	4.33	0.478
70	1.90	0.068	0.0	3.08	10.9	17.1	22.3	0.574	3.45	3.01	2.74	3.11	3.97	0.346
75	2.01	0.05	0.0	2.35	10.5	14.5	16.8	0.50	3.02	2.79	1.94	9.88	3.09	0.23
80	1.77	0.058	0.0	3.28	12.1	18.9	18.7	0.551	3.24	3.18	1.97	3.80	3.32	0.32
85	2.42	0.052	0.0	3.09	11.5	16.2	20.5	0.560	3.35	2.89	2.34	4.31	4.01	0.39
90	3.76	0.063	0.0	3.64	17.2	19.7	26.1	0.899	5.37	3.49	2.85	7.37	6.55	0.588
95	4.24	0.1	0.0	3.54	15.1	17.1	26.7	0.908	4.02	4.20	2.54	8.89	6.60	0.400
100	4.51	0.11	0.11	5.11	28.6	13.5	21.2	0.567	6.88	5.69	6.29	6.73	8.32	0.473
105	7.17	0.25	0.29	13.2	38.79	12.0	21.3	0.527	10.1	23.1	22.4	5.96	14.4	0.757
110	6.65	0.29	0.20	10.1	16.6	7.55	15.4	0.29	4.10	19.2	13.7	5.72	7.14	0.470
115	0.750	0.05	0.0	2.15	2.32	1.77	6.02	0.058	0.654	3.82	2.18	8.22	1.50	0.062
120	4.22	0.12	0.14	4.21	7.53	4.07	12.0	0.18	1.53	10.4	4.45	6.33	5.88	0.26
125	8.33	0.13	0.47	6.73	16.3	7.50	26.1	0.33	3.01	22.6	8.82	6.17	12.0	0.50
130	0.79	0.02	0.0	3.14	1.17	1.65	5.73	0.057	0.45	5.60	1.29	7.34	1.21	0.003
135	2.04	0.039	0.0	2.70	10.7	3.72	15.3	0.14	1.21	4.31	2.65	6.51	3.34	0.25
140	10.2	0.10	0.0	5.49	37.4	9.90	28.8	0.38	3.06	8.02	5.10	10.5	10.9	0.923
145	30.1	0.16	0.0	4.55	80.73	16.1	59.2	0.52	4.68	9.23	4.64	15.6	27.8	1.27
150	2.37	0.05	0.04	6.57	9.14	5.77	24.2	0.30	2.14	8.06	4.01	0.574	5.29	0.39
155	0.46	0.01	0.0	1.21	1.67	1.73	7.63	0.1	0.50	1.49	0.67	0.857	1.41	0.00
160	15.6	0.1	0.0	1.91	38.2	14.5	17.0	2.06	17.0	4.95	5.02	1.72	18.9	1.1
165	13.8	0.1	0.0	1.87	45.7	15.7	11.2	3.52	17.0	1.50	5.03	1.2	15.4	1.23
170	7.84	0.10	0.0	3.07	41.6	15.8	10.6	2.41	13.5	1.89	4.76	0.12	10.5	1.16
175	6.81	0.08	0.01	3.24	39.7	13.3	8.60	1.45	9.57	3.03	4.05	0.23	7.43	1.10
180	8.09	0.072	0.0	3.19	46.2	16.1	10.1	2.57	13.3	4.26	4.18	0.10	10.7	1.13
185	6.99	0.089	0.0	3.84	41.7	16.1	10.1	2.13	14.7	2.60	4.07	0.22	9.72	0.940
190	22.1	0.22	0.0	4.78	124.3	32.7	15.2	6.84	20.0	1.91	3.69	0.50	31.5	1.67
195	8.32	0.05	0.0	2.12	24.2	6.39	21.0	1.1	3.69	1.76	1.92	0.26	6.81	0.35
200	0.43	0.01	0.0	1.51	4.94	3.42	16.6	0.35	1.28	2.02	1.1	0.49	1.1	0.1
				Va	alues abo	ve TEL	. range; `	Values al	bove PEI	L range				

Appendix Table 32. Park Headquarters 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.39	0.02	0.0	1.50	1.55	2.53	7.86	0.051	0.36	6.65	2.77	0.734	0.958	0.01
10	3.42	0.04	0.0	1.46	4.34	4.09	10.6	0.13	1.23	75.47	2.97	0.820	2.86	0.24
15	40.4	0.1	0.0	2.2	36.1	13.3	11.4	0.2	4.73	16.5	6.96	3.15	11.3	0.95
20	45.0	0.1	0.0	1.5	32.5	12.1	8.42	0.2	4.38	5.97	2.93	3.89	11.4	1.4
25	63.1	0.1	0.0	1.2	48.3	19.5	5.53	0.19	5.51	4.01	2.29	2.66	21.8	1.3
30	269.5	0.18	0.0	2.43	67.2	32.0	14.0	0.33	8.94	6.31	7.50	2.77	75.0	3.69
35	133	0.20	0.0	3.73	47.2	28.3	16.9	0.34	7.70	8.44	7.95	2.29	40.6	3.10
40	37.0	0.1	0.0	1.14	18.6	13.0	8.12	0.13	3.93	5.55	2.89	1.18	10.8	1.17
45	43.2	0.1	0.0	1.51	24.4	15.9	8.34	0.16	3.88	4.27	3.39	1.59	15.1	1.51
50	20.6	0.02	0.0	0.861	17.2	8.72	5.88	0.21	2.82	7.99	1.90	1.01	11.9	0.79
55	28.2	0.03	0.0	0.937	25.2	10.6	5.93	0.11	2.62	1.90	2.42	1.18	15.4	0.89
60	7.05	0.01	0.0	0.40	5.82	4.31	2.71	0.04	1.02	0.895	0.741	0.600	6.04	0.36
65	5.34	0.02	0.0	0.40	4.56	3.91	2.35	0.03	0.906	2.86	0.945	0.574	5.48	0.26
70	5.75	0.057	0.0	0.834	8.40	6.25	7.86	0.10	1.92	1.65	1.37	0.52	7.85	0.37
75	8.38	0.070	0.0	0.685	11.3	8.77	7.83	0.13	2.80	1.33	1.22	0.53	10.1	0.618
80	7.10	0.11	0.0	0.818	9.17	8.47	9.27	0.15	2.66	39.3	1.48	0.19	10.9	0.487
85	7.92	0.11	0.0	0.772	10.5	8.65	8.72	0.13	2.71	0.852	1.11	0.39	11.5	0.515
90	5.17	0.067	0.0	0.671	7.73	6.60	9.85	0.10	1.76	1.74	0.791	0.458	11.6	0.38
95	4.79	0.046	0.0	0.447	4.96	4.69	6.09	0.072	1.10	0.741	0.404	0.379	9.29	0.27
100	3.73	0.04	0.0	0.805	4.25	3.68	4.58	0.068	1.03	3.84	0.731	0.538	6.98	0.14
105	10.8	0.11	0.0	1.08	14.9	11.0	12.9	0.16	2.56	1.72	1.07	1.07	17.5	0.50
110	15.6	0.053	0.0	0.454	10.9	5.96	7.24	0.11	1.54	1.41	0.590	0.431	14.5	0.35
115	13.0	0.11	0.0	0.719	18.0	9.47	9.69	0.16	2.94	1.28	0.891	0.466	19.1	0.605
120	9.89	0.052	0.0	0.512	14.5	6.55	8.21	0.11	1.47	1.34	0.494	0.544	18.4	0.442
125	9.10	0.052	0.0	0.609	7.49	5.05	7.72	0.12	1.33	0.815	0.415	0.384	13.7	0.26
130	6.97	0.04	0.0	0.42	10.0	4.99	7.22	0.091	1.17	1.20	0.26	0.724	8.26	0.34
135	28.0	0.0	0.0	0.41	47.3	20.6	16.9	0.71	4.92	241	0.79	7.21	16.6	1.9
140	48.7	0.1	0.0	0.32	55.7	19.3	17.6	0.60	4.57	1.7	0.86	3.35	22.4	1.5
145	19.4	0.03	0.0	0.1	25.7	11.1	37.2	1.0	3.23	1.91	0.67	2.68	18.1	1.0
150	11.7	0.02	0.0	0.15	13.0	7.34	30.2	7.40	11.5	7.31	0.63	2.38	16.9	1.05
155	6.92	0.0	0.0	0.20	5.95	3.38	30.0	3.27	12.1	2.35	0.19	2.58	7.58	0.43
				Val	ues abo	ve TEL	range	Values	above PH	EL range				

Appendix Table 33. Park Headquarters 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Со	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.081	0.01	0.0	1.87	2.12	2.27	13.1	0.082	0.66	8.22	3.71	0.960	0.933	0.05
10	0.17	0.01	0.0	1.93	2.09	1.93	10.5	0.1	0.53	7.48	4.38	1.05	1.05	0.01
15	0.0	0.01	0.0	1.61	1.85	2.75	9.67	0.1	0.50	7.59	3.46	1.37	0.77	0.0
20	33.4	0.16	0.0	4.21	34.7	21.5	18.8	0.37	8.77	9.27	5.28	1.96	14.4	2.07
25	65.9	0.49	0.0	2.97	44.2	20.4	21.7	0.52	11.8	7.02	5.11	1.67	24.4	2.09
30	54.1	0.55	0.0	2.19	31.3	12.2	17.4	0.37	5.41	6.85	4.01	1.25	17.8	1.27
35	96.3	0.70	0.0	2.69	70.8	42.8	14.9	0.59	18.6	3.14	3.01	2.0	26.3	2.63
40	35.2	0.17	0.0	0.793	15.7	9.07	5.54	0.14	3.44	0.605	1.68	0.783	11.1	0.863
45	119	0.1	0.0	1.0	30.7	13.7	7.61	0.22	4.00	4.44	2.54	1.73	32.5	1.60
50	25.0	0.15	0.0	0.694	16.2	9.93	6.18	0.15	3.86	1.48	1.92	0.694	11.4	0.882
55	21.5	0.17	0.0	0.630	22.9	9.84	6.43	0.21	4.42	1.33	1.88	0.729	11.0	0.831
60	15.4	0.065	0.0	0.539	15.7	6.92	3.78	0.094	2.23	0.866	1.47	0.572	9.53	0.631
65	14.5	0.14	0.0	0.745	19.5	10.4	6.15	0.15	4.08	3.59	1.95	0.658	10.6	0.842
70	5.50	0.01	0.0	0.35	5.60	3.67	1.61	0.02	0.72	0.54	0.56	0.869	5.60	0.32
75	6.92	0.0	0.0	0.51	3.88	4.39	2.26	0.03	0.734	0.71	0.63	0.67	5.58	0.32
80	13.1	0.02	0.0	1.08	8.77	10.7	5.42	0.1	1.44	1.20	1.53	1.02	14.2	0.81
85	10.6	0.03	0.0	1.03	8.58	10.5	3.86	0.11	1.59	2.97	1.96	0.81	12.7	0.66
90	16.9	0.04	0.0	1.37	15.6	17.9	9.57	0.14	2.95	5.69	2.97	0.62	20.0	0.933
95	8.98	0.01	0.0	0.815	6.27	8.59	3.38	0.059	1.11	2.49	1.67	0.27	13.5	0.555
100	6.95	0.004	0.0	0.652	5.07	6.68	2.28	0.044	0.804	0.28	1.09	0.22	11.6	0.373
105	7.27	0.01	0.0	0.596	6.25	6.66	2.54	0.05	0.698	0.935	0.707	0.567	11.1	0.35
110	13.2	0.19	0.0	0.990	10.9	10.2	22.8	0.29	4.80	2.15	1.14	0.867	19.5	0.51
115	9.38	0.070	0.0	0.734	7.17	7.60	11.7	0.11	1.96	2.05	0.745	0.874	13.9	0.37
120	37.5	0.04	0.0	1.05	18.6	11.4	4.94	0.087	1.87	0.816	1.46	0.44	30.1	0.808
125	25.1	0.054	0.0	0.810	25.6	11.4	5.14	0.10	1.95	2.27	1.29	0.34	30.4	0.887
130	27.0	0.075	0.0	0.889	15.2	12.4	8.25	0.15	2.33	1.31	1.17	0.59	22.6	0.613
135	21.3	0.1	0.0	1.17	10.4	9.58	7.77	0.13	1.85	0.919	0.70	0.72	23.9	0.43
140	40.3	0.03	0.0	0.33	52.0	21.7	34.1	0.83	4.55	0.40	0.96	2.62	20.1	1.61
145	33.3	0.05	0.0	0.45	38.9	19.4	21.8	0.92	6.20	16.2	1.2	3.40	20.6	1.86
150	7.35	0.04	0.0	0.36	10.2	6.18	7.00	0.40	2.65	2.69	0.67	1.39	8.27	0.68
155	23.5	0.04	0.0	0.24	24.9	14.0	14.0	1.8	6.22	4.09	1.0	4.71	15.6	2.00
160	20.2	0.1	0.0	0.29	15.8	23.8	27.3	2.26	8.23	1.4	1.65	3.23	19.5	1.85
165	21.2	0.02	0.0	0.1	12.8	9.79	16.7	1.4	10.5	0.32	1.2	4.59	12.8	1.7
170	3.06	0.003	0.0	0.03	2.38	2.22	43.5	0.32	3.29	0.31	0.31	1.28	5.01	0.52
175	1.78	0.00002	0.0	0.04	1.61	1.45	33.1	0.22	2.57	0.16	0.10	0.853	5.22	0.37
180	1.63	0.001	0.0	0.1	1.95	1.68	34.0	0.32	3.65	0.15	0.31	1.01	3.96	0.27
185	2.98	0.01	0.0	0.25	2.88	2.66	28.5	0.45	7.72	0.32	0.78	2.13	4.99	0.33
190	4.52	0.0	0.0	0.85	4.58	4.10	14.3	0.24	9.56	3.33	0.975	1.71	4.14	0.56
				Valu	es abov	re TEL :	range; '	Values al	oove PEI	_ range				

Appendix Table 34. South Turning Basin 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	8.25	0.17	0.0	19.2	25.8	15.0	28.8	0.55	9.43	134	110	2.73	21.5	1.2
10	88.5	0.25	0.0	8.06	45.7	15.8	23.3	0.85	7.74	55.7	42.2	3.77	59.9	1.9
15	17.24	0.33	0.1	27.1	40.1	19.8	45.4	0.89	15.4	150.7	127	3.01	21.5	1.69
20	14.9	0.33	0.03	27.0	37.7	19.2	57.4	0.91	15.4	180	129	4.03	20.9	1.4
25	16.4	0.30	0.0	21.7	51.7	17.6	62.3	1.1	15.9	190	104	4.16	22.8	1.8
30	31.88	0.29	0.1	16.5	43.3	13.4	59.9	0.98	12.6	91.1	67.2	5.04	37.7	1.9
35	25.38	0.15	0.0	9.47	29.0	11.2	45.4	1.1	8.53	61.3	48.4	3.15	31.7	1.65
40	25.98	0.16	0.0	9.57	31.1	17.2	38.7	0.78	10.2	49.9	39.3	3.00	21.3	1.61
45	24.99	0.1	0.0	5.48	28.2	17.6	29.6	0.99	10.4	23.3	18.4	3.89	16.6	1.8
50	44.83	0.1	0.0	1.7	32.6	14.1	18.7	1.5	8.29	5.95	2.4	4.73	18.7	2.0
55	29.68	0.1	0.0	1.8	33.8	9.05	11.3	1.4	6.31	5.81	5.70	4.02	15.7	1.5
60	17.67	0.2	0.0	9.11	29.6	12.5	63.9	0.99	8.32	45.4	45.3	2.26	21.3	1.40
65	4.85	0.04	0.0	1.17	7.40	4.5	63.28	0.5	1.9	9.60	4.10	1.00	14.6	0.800
70	0.074	0.004	0.0	1.01	2.83	2.98	101.8	0.21	1.30	0.627	0.69	0.18	3.01	0.377
75	0.45	0.01	0.0	1.70	7.71	4.73	89.53	0.51	2.22	2.06	1.14	0.082	4.78	0.41
				Values	above	TEL ra	ange; Va	alues at	ove PE	EL range				

Appendix Table 35. South Turning Basin 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	8.67	0.26	0.12	22.6	30.5	17.2	38.8	0.67	12.7	132.5	115.0	2.80	15.5	1.33
10	9.75	0.34	0.19	27.4	37.5	20.2	49.3	0.76	15.9	155.8	135.3	3.71	15.1	1.61
15	9.49	0.35	0.16	28.3	39.4	20.3	44.7	0.78	16.4	156.6	136.5	2.95	13.9	1.67
20	14.5	0.08	0	5.07	16.7	5.86	17.7	0.52	5.11	22.3	35.0	8.14	15.6	0.30
25	8.97	0.04	0.0	0.64	6.85	5.82	4.07	0.46	2.5	11.6	1.3	4.52	9.11	0.46
30	3.05	0.051	0.0	5.09	6.81	3.45	8.55	0.16	2.59	27.7	22.8	1.03	4.73	0.25
35	9.84	0.04	0.0	0.48	8.4	4.6	3.4	0.6	2.0	5.00	1.20	8.60	12.6	0.50
40	8.57	0.0	0.0	0.69	5.06	3.0	8.33	0.62	1.8	8.32	2.6	7.19	14.5	0.42
45	14.6	0.05	0.0	0.83	7.49	2.6	4.1	0.71	1.3	23.7	1.7	10.3	14.5	0.63
50	14.8	0.1	0.0	0.26	6.76	2.80	5.31	0.66	1.5	4.47	0.36	11.1	13.3	0.41
				Values	above [	ΓEL raι	nge; Va	lues ab	ove PE	L range				

Appendix Table 36. West Lake 1 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.0	0.04	0.01	5.77	3.44	5.35	28.4	0.12	1.49	49.8	30.4	0.580	8.12	0.39
10	0.05	0.065	0.0	7.52	4.14	5.47	28.4	0.14	1.72	47.2	25.3	0.47	9.04	0.37
15	0.581	0.089	0.044	8.47	4.98	5.82	27.8	0.16	1.84	38.6	17.9	0.687	8.38	0.32
20	0.833	0.13	0.088	11.0	9.20	8.75	35.9	0.35	2.87	19.2	10.1	1.21	6.22	0.425
25	0.22	0.088	0.0	6.53	4.30	6.39	24.0	0.21	1.60	11.5	5.55	0.502	5.28	0.25
30	0.24	0.050	0.0	3.28	4.76	6.01	18.1	0.28	1.52	4.07	1.95	0.586	4.63	0.25
35	0.34	0.087	0.056	6.40	5.37	7.33	26.0	0.26	1.82	19.4	10.3	0.837	4.21	0.29
40	0.23	0.072	0.0	4.70	5.17	7.46	43.5	0.34	1.78	5.82	3.05	0.454	2.96	0.26
45	0.58	0.28	0.20	16.4	8.30	8.37	55.9	0.37	3.07	30.5	15.6	0.904	10.4	0.45
50	0.789	0.11	0.15	11.9	7.43	6.66	72.60	0.35	2.76	23.3	11.7	1.18	12.5	0.52
55	0.51	0.10	0.21	10.0	6.47	5.18	63.17	0.30	2.28	20.4	9.26	0.49	10.8	0.57
60	0.856	0.045	0.003	7.10	5.32	4.13	70.62	0.29	1.55	12.8	4.10	0.827	10.3	0.567
65	0.34	0.02	0.0	4.87	4.04	3.30	55.4	0.21	1.35	7.80	3.15	0.38	11.7	0.49
70	0.583	0.04	0.0	5.00	4.86	3.39	72.34	0.25	1.31	10.9	2.96	0.28	14.0	0.713
75	1.39	0.04	0.0	3.36	4.09	2.96	70.07	0.28	1.23	6.60	1.63	0.585	12.1	0.593
80	0.27	0.01	0.0	0.642	1.21	0.973	80.13	0.18	0.438	1.07	0.430	0.31	4.85	0.18
85	0.0	0.004	0.0	1.07	1.34	2.80	78.98	0.22	0.649	0.916	0.29	0.19	2.60	0.12
90	0.0	0.01	0.0	1.64	2.04	3.39	71.0	0.25	0.72	4.94	1.53	0.30	3.18	0.18
				Valu	es abov	ve TEL r	ange; Va	lues ab	ove PEL	range				

Appendix Table 37. West Lake 2 Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.

cm	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
5	0.31	0.10	0.0	11.4	4.94	6.80	34.6	0.17	1.98	37.7	21.1	0.502	6.42	0.27
10	0.074	0.070	0.0	7.24	4.08	6.35	26.8	0.18	1.63	28.3	14.0	0.61	5.23	0.29
15	0.0	0.032	0.0	2.04	2.36	5.17	15.2	0.17	1.08	8.48	1.03	0.34	1.68	0.17
20	0.20	0.045	0.0	4.23	4.36	6.24	17.8	0.27	1.65	2.44	6.70	0.31	3.54	0.26
25	0.16	0.074	0.01	4.54	5.45	7.29	22.6	0.29	1.85	7.15	4.02	0.676	2.99	0.25
30	0.21	0.072	0.0	5.01	5.14	7.53	54.8	0.39	2.01	8.96	4.81	0.064	3.25	0.25
35	0.090	0.18	0.060	7.83	5.92	4.57	46.3	0.21	2.24	16.6	8.95	0.624	11.0	0.56
40	0.46	0.21	0.26	13.1	6.50	6.67	70.1	0.33	2.64	21.1	11.7	0.48	12.3	0.60
45	0.55	0.091	0.12	10.2	5.58	5.64	75.3	0.32	2.21	16.0	9.12	0.53	11.2	0.647
50	0.65	0.12	0.11	11.6	7.85	7.21	78.7	0.41	2.88	21.2	11.4	0.49	12.6	0.55
55	0.41	0.1	0.04	8.96	5.12	4.56	66.1	0.30	1.92	16.8	7.89	0.50	10.6	0.53
60	0.726	0.04	0.0	6.51	5.78	4.29	77.2	0.31	1.61	8.65	2.99	0.45	10.5	0.51
65	0.956	0.053	0.0	5.68	5.36	4.10	66.6	0.31	1.59	9.12	3.52	0.39	13.8	0.43
70	0.975	0.055	0.0	4.54	6.15	4.13	66.5	0.29	1.65	10.9	2.43	0.58	11.0	0.56
75	3.6	0.016	0.0	0.59	59.6	11.2	9.38	0.52	16.8	27.7	1.2	7.70	12.9	0.88
80	2.04	0.012	0.0	0.965	2.24	1.94	57.13	0.28	0.975	2.45	0.513	0.675	21.7	0.704
85	0.813	0.033	0.0	2.19	2.78	2.53	70.81	0.22	1.17	7.41	0.927	0.957	8.75	0.46
90	0.04	0.00	0.0	0.14	0.43	0.34	93.77	0.19	0.597	0.41	0.26	0.601	4.62	0.21
				Value	s above	TEL ra	ange; Va	lues ab	ove PEL	range				

(PEL) f	(PEL) for every 5 cm in depth.													
	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
NR1	0	0.01	0	0.9	5.02	4.7	10.1	0.04	0.41	2.76	0.67	0.99	6.89	0.11
NR 2	0	0.03	0	1.4	6.8	6.81	13.9	0.1	0.72	4.52	1.02	1.84	6.37	0.04
NR 3	0.01	0.04	0	1.5	9.12	7.55	15.6	0.04	0.6	3.24	0.65	1.6	8.55	0.08
Values above TEL range; Values above PEL range														
for ev	very 5	cm in o	depth.			Shora I			(122)	und 1 10				I LL)
--------	--------	---------	--------	-----	---------	---------	----------	----------	---------	----------	------	------	------	-------
	Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	V	Cr	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	Sn	As	Se
SR 1	0	0.04	0	1.3	3.8	5.32	24.1	0.03	0.62	91.1	28.6	1.85	2.41	0.07
SR 2	0	0.03	0	1.5	4.19	6.35	13.6	0.03	0.75	3.85	0.59	2.07	3.82	0.12
SR 3	0	0.04	0	1.8	3.91	6.44	14.6	0.05	0.86	6.97	0.51	1.34	3.32	0.08
				Val	ues abo	ve TEL	range; V	/alues a	bove PE	EL range				

Appendix Table 39, South Reef Threshold Effect Levels (TEL) and Probable Effect Levels (PEL)

Trace Element	Conc. in Marine Sediment	Location	References
As	$4-29 \ \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	$6.7 - 19.9 \ \mu g/g$	Koper, Slovenia*	Rogan Šmuc, et al. 2018
	$8.0 - 21.0 \ \mu g/g$	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
	$0.8-223 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Cd	$0.1-0.4~\mu g/g$	Koper, Slovenia*	Rogan Šmuc, et al. 2018
	0.09 - 0.47	Laizhou Bay and Zhangzi Island,	Zhuang & Gao, 2014
		China	
	$0.2-2.5~\mu\mathrm{g/g}$	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
	$0.0 - 0.92 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Cr	$1 - 31 \ \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	$8.4 - 90.4 \ \mu g/g$	Laizhou Bay and Zhangzi Island, China	Zhuang & Gao, 2014
	$0.34 - 56.8 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
	10.3 – 161.8 µg/g	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
Co	$1 - 12 \ \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	$1.9 - 7.2 \ \mu g/g$	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
	$0.02-7.40~\mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Cu	2–1195 µg/g	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	$17.6 - 37.8 \ \mu g/g$	Koper, Slovenia*	Rogan Šmuc, et al. 2018
	2.9 – 28.7 μg/g	Laizhou Bay and Zhangzi Island, China	Zhuang & Gao, 2014
	$0.29 - 210 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
	$40 - 415 \ \mu g/g$	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
Hg	$0.5 - 2.73 \ \mu g/g$	Persian Gulf, Iran*	Abdollahi, et al. 2013
	$0.005 - 0.31 \ \mu g/g$	District of Klang, Malaysia	Tavakoly Sany, et al. 2012
	$0.018 - 0.536 \ \mu g/g$	South Korea*	Choi, et al. 2011
	$0.0-0.74~\mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Pb	2 – 165 µg/g	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	$10.7 - 30.2 \ \mu g/g$	Koper, Slovenia*	Rogan Šmuc, et al. 2018
	$6.7 - 34.0 \ \mu g/g$	Laizhou Bay and Zhangzi Island, China	Zhuang & Gao, 2014
	$0.06 - 35.9 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
	$37 - 314 \ \mu g/g$	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
Mn	$6-201 \ \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	95–535 μg/g	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
	316.6 – 325.6 μg/g	Persian Gulf, Iran*	Abdollahi, et al. 2013
	$1.6 - 203.6 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Мо	$40 \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	$0.7 - 1.8 \ \mu g/g$	Koper, Slovenia*	Rogan Smuc, et al. 2018
	$0.5 - 5.3 \mu g/g$	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
NI:	$0.0 - 384.5 \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	I his Study
INI	$5 - 20 \ \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia	Dagan Šmula at al 2018
	$01.3 - 109.4 \ \mu g/g$	Laizhou Pay and Zhangzi Island	Zhuang & Gao 2014
	$5.2 - 47.1 \ \mu g/g$	China	Zhuang & Gao, 2014
	$0.42 - 19.5 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Se	$0.4 - 8.8 \ \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia	Peters, et al. 1999
	$0.2 - 1.7 \ \mu g/g$	Solomon River, Kansas, USA	May, et al, 2007
	$0.2 - 0.3  \mu g/g$	Kuskokwim River, Alaska, USA	Belkin, et al. 1993
C	$0.05 - 6.8 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Sn	$3 - 3/\mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
17	$0.0 - 140.1 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	
V	2.3 – 13.5 μg/g	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018

## Appendix Table 40: Elemental Concentrations in Marine Port Sediment

	18−94 µg/g	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
	$30.6 - 32.5 \ \mu g/g$	Persian Gulf, Iran*	Abdollahi, et al. 2013
	0.2 – 176.2 μg/g	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
Zn	$7 - 2345 \ \mu g/g$	New South Wales, Australia*	Jahan and Strezov, 2018
	$54.0 - 99.0 \ \mu g/g$	Koper, Slovenia*	Rogan Šmuc, et al. 2018
	$12.8 - 88.6 \ \mu g/g$	Laizhou Bay and Zhangzi Island, China	Zhuang & Gao, 2014
	41 – 1196 μg/g	Naples, Italy*	Adamo, et al. 2005
	$0.63 - 387 \ \mu g/g$	Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA*	This Study
* Indicates Port s	ediment		

Pb         V         Cr         Mn         Co         Ni         Zn         Cu         Sn         J           0.68849         0.68849         0.68849         0.68849         0.68849         0.69849         0.69849         0.77801         0.87159         0.17153         0.17123         0.17123         0.17128         0.17753         0.17128         0.17753         0.17128         0.17753         0.17128         0.17753         0.17723         0.11023         0.27354         0.27356         0.28876         0.28876         0.20446         0.17675         0.20446         0.42931         0.42931         0.70348         0.772567         0.20446         0.42931         0.70314         0.28882         0.232128         0.17675         0.16189         0.42931         0.70314         0.72	St St	I. Dai rong (	ourelation	s represen	ted by R	> 0.6.							
0.68849         0.77801       0.87159         0.77801       0.87153         0.77801       0.87153         0.77501       0.17753         0.7755       0.17753         0.7755       0.17753         0.7755       0.72925         0.7755       0.72925         0.77227       0.9013         0.98932       0.21886         0.77227       0.9013         0.98932       0.21886         0.77227       0.9013         0.98932       0.21886         0.77227       0.9013         0.98932       0.21886         0.77226       0.33735         0.77227       0.9013         0.21589       0.31515         0.21589       0.31515         0.21586       0.73238         0.48156       0.66174         0.75464       0.56821         0.70314       0.8882         0.70314       0.8882         0.70314       0.8882         0.70314       0.8882         0.70314       0.8882         0.70314       0.8882         0.70314       0.72267         0.70318       0.63128	Cd Hg	Hg		Pb	2	$\mathbf{Cr}$	Mn	Co	Ņ	Zn	Cu	Sn	$\mathbf{As}$
0.68849         0.068849           0.77801         0.87159           0.77801         0.87159           0.17501         0.17753           0.1755         0.17753           0.1755         0.17753           0.77801         0.17753           0.7755         0.17128           0.7755         0.72925           0.77201         0.17753           0.7721         0.9013           0.77227         0.9013           0.77227         0.9013           0.77227         0.9013           0.77227         0.9013           0.77227         0.9013           0.77227         0.9013           0.77228         0.73538           0.21589         0.34674           0.18143         0.31597           0.23218         0.31515           0.23273         0.10933           0.23566         0.32738           0.48156         0.66174           0.75464         0.26881           0.77224         0.17675           0.45831         0.72686           0.73835         0.17722           0.70348         0.63128           0.77224         0.17675<													
0.68849													
0.68849         0.177801         0.87159         0.17753         0.17128         0.17753         0.17128         0.17753         0.17123         0.17123         0.17123         0.17123         0.17123         0.17123         0.17123         0.17123         0.17128         0.17753         0.17128         0.17123         0.17123         0.17128         0.17123         0.17128         0.17128         0.17128         0.17128         0.17129         0.17129         0.17129         0.17129         0.17227         0.09013         0.98932         0.21886         0.73559         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.21587         0.20446         0.225956         0.33735         0.20246         0.21587         0.20246	0.33996												
0.68849	0.70701 0.22535	0.22535											
	0.91435 0.29105	0.29105		0.68849									
0.17501         0.17753         0.17128           0.755         0.72925         0.69291         0.57301           0.77227         0.9013         0.98932         0.21886         0.73559           0.21599         0.34674         0.18143         0.31597         0.43038         0.21587           0.21599         0.34674         0.18143         0.31597         0.43038         0.21587           0.51971         0.25956         0.32735         0.10933         0.23218         0.31515         0.28876           0.51971         0.25956         0.32735         0.10933         0.23218         0.31515         0.28876           0.48156         0.66174         0.75464         0.26851         0.54298         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.45831         0.72686         0.58812         0.333985         0.77224         0.17675         0.42931           0.70314         0.8882         0.92567         0.28124         0.74302         0.93201         0.22567         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.73296         0.63796         0.73296         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.732967         0.63796         0.63	0.91585 0.26987	0.26987		0.77801	0.87159								
0.755         0.72925         0.69291         0.57301           0.77227         0.9013         0.98932         0.21886         0.73559           0.77227         0.9013         0.98932         0.21886         0.73559           0.21599         0.34674         0.18143         0.31597         0.43038         0.21587           0.21599         0.34674         0.18143         0.31597         0.43038         0.21587           0.51971         0.25956         0.32735         0.10933         0.23218         0.31515         0.28876           0.48156         0.66174         0.75464         0.54298         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.48156         0.66174         0.753985         0.333385         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.45831         0.772686         0.333985         0.70448         0.633128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.70314         0.8882         0.92567         0.28124         0.74302         0.93201         0.22567         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.73796         0.73796         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.63796         0.732567         0.63796         0	0.19602 0.053016	0.053016		0.17501	0.17753	0.17128							
0.77227         0.9013         0.98932         0.21886         0.73559           0.21599         0.34674         0.18143         0.31597         0.43038         0.21587           0.21599         0.34674         0.18143         0.31597         0.43038         0.21587           0.51971         0.25956         0.32735         0.10933         0.23218         0.31515         0.28876           0.48156         0.66174         0.75464         0.26851         0.54298         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.48156         0.65174         0.753985         0.70448         0.63128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.45831         0.72686         0.58812         0.333985         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.745831         0.722686         0.58812         0.333985         0.70448         0.63128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.70314         0.8882         0.92567         0.28124         0.74302         0.93201         0.22567         0.63796         0.63796         0.77936	0.71818 0.17737	0.17737		0.755	0.72925	0.69291	0.57301						
0.21599         0.34674         0.18143         0.31597         0.43038         0.21587           0.51971         0.25956         0.32735         0.10933         0.23218         0.31515         0.28876           0.48156         0.66174         0.75464         0.26851         0.54298         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.48151         0.72686         0.53812         0.33985         0.70448         0.63128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.45831         0.722686         0.58812         0.33985         0.70448         0.63128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.70314         0.8882         0.92567         0.28124         0.74302         0.93201         0.22567         0.63796         0.7	0.95439 0.27229	0.27229		0.77227	0.9013	0.98932	0.21886	0.73559					
0.51971         0.25956         0.32735         0.10933         0.23218         0.31515         0.28876           0.48156         0.66174         0.75464         0.26851         0.54298         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.48156         0.66174         0.753464         0.54298         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.45831         0.72686         0.58812         0.33985         0.70448         0.63128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.70314         0.8882         0.92567         0.28124         0.74302         0.93201         0.22567         0.63796         0.7	0.27868 -0.02276	-0.02276		0.21599	0.34674	0.18143	0.31597	0.43038	0.21587				
0.48156         0.666174         0.75464         0.26851         0.54298         0.77224         0.17675         0.20446           0.45831         0.72686         0.58812         0.33985         0.70448         0.63128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.70314         0.8882         0.92567         0.28124         0.74302         0.93201         0.22567         0.63796         0.7	0.28193 0.077963	0.077963		0.51971	0.25956	0.32735	0.10933	0.23218	0.31515	0.28876			
0.45831         0.72686         0.58812         0.33985         0.70448         0.63128         0.33525         0.16189         0.42931           0.70314         0.8882         0.92567         0.28124         0.74302         0.93201         0.22567         0.63796         0.7	0.69784 -0.02284	-0.02284		0.48156	0.66174	0.75464	0.26851	0.54298	0.77224	0.17675	0.20446		
0.70314 0.8882 0.92567 0.28124 0.74302 0.93201 0.22567 0.28915 0.63796 0.7	0.6184 0.11814	0.11814		0.45831	0.72686	0.58812	0.33985	0.70448	0.63128	0.33525	0.16189	0.42931	
	0.88579 0.45067	0.45067		0.70314	0.8882	0.92567	0.28124	0.74302	0.93201	0.22567	0.28915	0.63796	0.77447

As		85846	
sments with Sn	0.11738	0.098866 0	
cu Cu 0.23073	0.26043	0.32516	
tionship b Zn 0.58359 0.28008	0.097515	0.21794	
laying rela Ni 0.34303 0.6468 0.097456	0.55765	0.74041	
s (R) displ Co 0.73138 0.081873 0.19688	0.55508	0.65676	
Coefficient Mn 0.22887 0.48006 0.28762 0.61091 0.61091	0.58405	0.5601	
Cr Cr Cr 0.639878 0.59878 0.59878 0.59878 0.31123 0.31123 0.21617	0.49473	0.6568	
arson Coi d by R > ( V 0.53808 0.64529 0.55015 0.57453 0.11869 0.3066 0.14402	0.75094	0.76243	
Center Pe epresente Pb 0.30976 0.58175 0.58612 0.58612 0.58612 0.58612 0.58612 0.58612 0.58612 0.58701 0.57701 0.57701 0.57701 0.57701 0.5888	0.2929	0.37468	
Education rrelations r Hg 0.4659 0.15549 0.15549 0.1561 0.28829 0.096484 0.3829 0.096484 0.15 0.15 0.15	0.194	0.22719	
<ul> <li>&gt; 42. Park</li> <li>Strong co</li> <li>Cd</li> <li>Cd</li> <li>0.49852</li> <li>0.49852</li> <li>0.49852</li> <li>0.47589</li> <li>0.41727</li> <li>0.41727</li> <li>0.41727</li> <li>0.45919</li> <li>0.54376</li> <li>0.58131</li> <li>0.072237</li> </ul>	0.57113	0.66337	
ndix Tabl. nent cores, Mo 0.4334 0.040418 0.060458 0.64355 0.64355 0.64355 0.28435 0.28435 0.28435 0.30437 0.304370 0.086317	0.92858	0.72305	
Appe sedin Ro Pb Pb Pb Pb Cd Nn Nn Sn Sn Sn	$\mathbf{As}$	Se	

orrelation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements with $\lambda > 0.6$ .	Cr Mn Co Ni Zn Cu Sn As						0.70663	0.8648 0.65246	0.98121 0.70188 0.82728	0.87163 0.6218 0.6299 0.9273	0.87639 $0.61201$ $0.60635$ $0.92533$ $0.98399$	0.5524 0.31637 0.64937 0.51489 0.414 0.36753	0.81239 $0.5948$ $0.83658$ $0.76044$ $0.6346$ $0.61252$ $0.65046$	0.9592 0.70048 0.94612 0.92873 0.7601 0.7509 0.61645 0.88955
splaying rela	Ni Zr									.9273	92533 0.983	51489 0.4	.76044 0.63	.92873 0.76
ients (R) dis	Co								0.82728	0.6299 0	0.60635 0.	0.64937 0.	0.83658 0	0.94612 0
on Coeffic	Mn							0.65246	0.70188	0.6218	0.61201	0.31637	0.5948	0.70048
Correlatic R > 0.6.	Cr						0.70663	0.8648	0.98121	0.87163	0.87639	0.5524	0.81239	0.9592
Pearson sented by	>					0.96371	0.68427	0.89973	0.96001	0.84412	0.82735	0.566	0.87792	0.97547
ing Basin ons repres	Ъb				0.84848	0.89383	0.6415	0.63565	0.94421	0.98346	0.99636	0.38723	0.62741	0.77556
outh Turn correlation	Hg			0.75087	0.51784	0.5955	0.38086	0.34029	0.65559	0.67264	0.74711	0.223	0.28188	0.4688
ole 44. Sc s, Strong	Cd		0.69582	0.95965	0.93598	0.93939	0.6627	0.75353	0.96793	0.94486	0.94656	0.49266	0.77673	0.87733
ent core	Мо	0.60114	0.13115	0.39235	0.76505	0.6745	0.38138	0.76533	0.58843	0.39015	0.37256	0.5606	0.9105	0.79501
BG														

displaying relationship between elements within sediment	Co Ni Zn Cu Sn As Se									0.68643	0.58484 0.52748	0.46896 $0.30319$ $0.9522$	0.5751 0.97149 0.42884 0.18646	0.82475 $0.54048$ $0.62161$ $0.51408$ $0.45871$	0.896 $0.67058$ $0.6477$ $0.51249$ $0.58367$ $0.96084$
tion Coeffic 6.	Cr						53	41 0.58912	7 0.90812	49 0.71365	83 0.76202	52 0.67146	14 0.58757	4 0.68005	7 0.78282
son Correlat d by R > 0.6	v d					)462	886 0.6475	5161 0.2384	5721 0.6277	162 0.9934	3665 0.4578	3238 0.2215	3008 0.9811	0.4864	3435 0.6197
est Lake Pears ns represented	Hg P				0.74666	0.20035 0.30	0.47966 0.81	0.43223 0.66	0.47896 0.75	0.26998 0.35	0.45594 0.78	0.46182 0.78	0.13945 0.23	0.46273 0.71	0.48141 0.73
tble 45. We	Cd			0.78528	0.92393	0.30132	0.77275	0.54802	0.69427	0.28539	0.67814	0.67424	0.23088	0.61164	0.64293
pendix Ta es, Strong	Мо	-	0.27489	0.19117	0.31752	0.83283	0.55628	0.43182	0.68214	0.82838	0.37024	0.14234	0.84169	0.73024	0.76582
Ap cor		Mo	Cd	Hg	Pb	>	$\mathbf{Cr}$	Mn	C	Ni.	Zn	Cu	$\operatorname{Sn}$	$\mathbf{As}$	Se

on Correlation Coefficients (R) displaying relationship between elements within presented by $R > 0.6$ . Molybdenum (Mo) and Mercury (Hg) excluded due to n/d values.	Cr Mn Co Ni Zn Cu Sn As Se				0.99856	0.26743 0.21527	0.79799 0.76446 0.79412	0.51214 0.46526 0.96458 0.92632	0.22081 0.1681 0.99884 0.764 0.95079	0.86268 0.83426 0.71804 0.99321 0.87621 0.68376	0.51935 0.56451 -0.6846 -0.1006 -0.468 -0.7188 0.015833	-0.61534 -0.6118 -0.9042 -0.9776 -0.9848 -0.8826 -0.9465 0.30761
ng relatio ) and Me	Zn								0.95075	0.87621	-0.468	-0.9848
) dısplayı ınum (Mo	Ni							0.92632	0.764	0.99321	-0.1006	-0.9776
ticients (R) 5. Molybde	Co						0.79412	0.96458	0.99884	0.71804	-0.6846	-0.9042
ttion Coef by R > 0.6	Mn					0.21527	0.76446	0.46526	0.1681	0.83426	0.56451	-0.6118
on Correla	Cr				0.99856	0.26743	0.79799	0.51214	0.22081	0.86268	0.51935	-0.61534
Reef Pears relations re	>			0.94053	0.95742	-0.07582	0.5458	0.1899	-0.12365	0.63956	0.77877	-0.35733
e 46. North Strong cor	Pb		0.90333	0.99533	0.9887	0.35921	0.85245	0.59268	0.31395	0.900748	0.43442	-0.72341
ndıx Table tent cores,	Cd	0.98432	0.96482	0.99675	0.99964	0.18898	0.74688	0.44133	0.14157	0.81916	0.58647	-0.5903
				<u>ب</u>	ц	0		Ч	n	ч	s	0

at	Se												
sedimer	$\mathbf{As}$												-0.469
nts within I values.	Sn											0.29172	0.70741
veen eleme 1 due to n/o	Cu										0.17939	-0.8887	0.82224
onship betv g) excluded	Zn									0.99928	0.14184	-0.9055	0.79999
ying relatic lercury (H <sub>1</sub>	Ni								0.37992	0.34447	-0.8618	-0.7366	-0.2511
s (R) displar (Mo) and M	Co							0.64046	-0.46707	-0.50037	-0.94153	0.047617	-0.9042
Coefficient olybdenum	Mn						-0.4603	0.38703	76666.0	0.99895	0.13422	-0.9087	0.79536
Correlation $R > 0.6$ . M	Cr					-0.9589	0.69338	-0.1093	-0.961	-0.9708	-0.4100	0.75278	-0.9347
ef Pearson (	Λ				0.74061	-0.99009	0.029344	-0.74886	-0.89754	-0.88012	0.20916	0.99983	-0.45347
7. South Ree	Ъb			-0.63219	0.052414	0.23327	0.75593	0.98691	0.22578	0.18856	-0.9323	-0.61792	-0.40389
dix Table 47 Strong corre	Cd		0.75593	0.029344	0.69338	-0.46026	1	0.64046	-0.46707	-0.50037	-0.94153	0.047617	-0.90419
Appen- cores, 5		Cd	$^{\mathrm{Pb}}$	2	$\mathbf{Cr}$	Mn	Co	Ni	Zn	Cu	$\operatorname{Sn}$	$\mathbf{As}$	Se