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limited to patients with tumors 5 cm or less in diameter, long-term survival approaches 75 percent at four years, whereas transplantation in those with tumors larger than 5 cm has been associated with less than 30 percent survival. These data led to the exclusion of patients with larger tumors from the waiting list for cadaveric organs. We suggest that if the supply of cadaveric organs were to exceed the current demand, patients with the worst outcomes would be considered candidates. Can we now refuse to offer these patients transplantation when a healthy living donor provides the graft?

The authors reply:

To the Editor: We agree with most of the views of Miller and Delmonico, including their conclusions that the “transplantation community” does indeed monitor itself” and that it shows “concern for the well-being of living donors.” In our article, we never question this commitment. We also agree that the surgical model of innovation based on professional self-regulation rather than administrative regulation has proved to be an extremely effective way to advance the field of surgery to benefit patients.

Our disagreement with Miller and Delmonico focuses on whether the professional regulatory model involving conferences, colloquia, and position papers will prove adequate for dealing with the transplantation of liver grafts from adult living donors and for protecting potential donors. We believe that the best way to optimize the use of this innovative technology is to define clearly the experimental protocol, standardize the operation, ensure the “field strength” of the surgical team, and record and share all data on outcomes (including program-specific data) with the professional community and with patients. If the professional community can accomplish these tasks quickly — and thus far they have not — there may not be a need for formal regulatory control.

Shaked and Lucey highlight an unresolved issue with regard to recipients. Since the four-year survival among patients who have hepatocellular carcinomas larger than 5 cm and undergo transplantation is so poor, this group has been excluded from the waiting list for cadaveric organs. Shaked and Lucey suggest that living donors be subjected to complications and possibly death to provide grafts to recipients with hepatocellular carcinomas, even though the transplantation community has declined to use cadaveric grafts in such patients. In our article, we refer to the need to balance the short-term and long-term risks to the donor with the potential benefits to the recipient. The proposal offered by Shaked and Lucey demonstrates the need for the transplantation community to specify what outcomes in a recipient are acceptable before a living donor is subjected to surgical risk. It also raises the question of whether individual programs can be required to comply with standards established by the surgical transplantation community through professional self-regulation.
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MHC Class I Molecules and Progression to AIDS

To the Editor: Gao et al. (May 31 issue) report the identification of specific HLA-B*35-Px subtypes as responsible for the association between HLA-B*35 and rapid progression to AIDS, and they stress the importance of homozygosity for HLA-B*35 as a predictor of even more rapid progression. Their extensive analysis confirms the known protective effect of the HLA-B*27 and B*57 subtypes against progression to AIDS, at least in whites.

Unlike Gao and colleagues, we think that there is an important influence of numerous major-histocompatibility-complex (MHC) gene products. The A1,B8,DR3 haplotype, for instance, has repeatedly been shown to be associated with fast progression to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease and rapid loss of CD4 T cells, and several other HLA haplotypes can predict disease progression. Caution should be exercised before early, aggressive antiretroviral therapy is recommended for HLA-B*35-positive patients. We have been following an HIV-infected woman without symptoms since her seroconversion in 1991 (she is now 37 years old). She has never received antiretroviral therapy and still has a high CD4 cell count (606 per cubic millimeter, 36.6 percent of total lymphocytes) and CD4/CD8 ratio (0.91), despite being homozygous for HLA-B*35. Perhaps the presence of HLA-A2,A26 alleles in her haplotype counters the effects of HLA-B*35, since A2 is common in frequently exposed HIV-seronegative persons and A26 may confer resistance to the progression of HIV disease.
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We agree that multiple genetic and viral influences should be considered when a therapeutic regimen for an HIV-infected patient is chosen. We believe that B*35-Px is one of the more influential factors.
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Spironolactone in Addition to ACE Inhibition to Reduce Proteinuria in Patients with Chronic Renal Disease

To the Editor: Angiotensin-converting–enzyme (ACE) inhibitors have been shown to reduce proteinuria and slow the progression of renal disease.1 Although to date angiotensin II has been the focus of attention as the primary mediator of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, several studies have raised the possibility that aldosterone itself has a role in mediating progressive renal disease.2,3 Pitt et al.4 showed that blockade of aldosterone receptors by spironolactone significantly reduced the risk of morbidity and death among patients with heart failure who were already receiving ACE inhibitors. The authors hypothesized that the benefits were not due to the hemodynamic effects of spironolactone but, instead, may have been due to an adverse effect of aldosterone on myocardial and vascular smooth-muscle cells. We tested the hypothesis that spironolactone may act along with ACE inhibitors in the kidney to reduce proteinuria.

TABLE 1. CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND FINDINGS BEFORE AND AFTER TREATMENT WITH SPIRONOLACTONE IN EIGHT PATIENTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>URINARY PROTEIN</th>
<th>CREATININE CLEARANCE</th>
<th>SYSTOLIC PRESSURE</th>
<th>DIASTOLIC PRESSURE</th>
<th>DIAGNOSIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>g/day</td>
<td>ml/sec</td>
<td>before treatment</td>
<td>after treatment</td>
<td>before treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.02 for the comparison between pretreatment and post-treatment urinary protein, by the paired t-test. There were no other significant differences between pretreatment and post-treatment values.