Peace and Conflict Studies

Volume 18 | Number 1

Article 2

5-2011

Nigeria's Niger Delta: Militia Violence, Amnesty, and Energy Security

Ibaba Samuel Ibaba Niger Delta University, eminoaibaba@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/pcs

Part of the Peace and Conflict Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Ibaba, Ibaba Samuel (2011) "Nigeria's Niger Delta: Militia Violence, Amnesty, and Energy Security," *Peace and Conflict Studies*: Vol. 18 : No. 1 , Article 2. DOI: 10.46743/1082-7307/2011.1124 Available at: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/pcs/vol18/iss1/2

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Peace & Conflict Studies at NSUWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Peace and Conflict Studies by an authorized editor of NSUWorks. For more information, please contact nsuworks@nova.edu.



Nigeria's Niger Delta: Militia Violence, Amnesty, and Energy Security Abstract

This paper discusses the interface between violence in the Niger Delta, global energy security and amnesty granted to armed groups by the Nigerian government. The author notes the impact of the violence on energy infrastructure and brings to the fore how the violence endangers energy security. Thus far, a major concern is that the amnesty program will fail because it was not preceded by negotiations between the government and combatants. The author questions this view and points outs that before the amnesty proclamation, several negotiations through committees and commissions involving stakeholders had been done. The paper thus argues that it cannot be entirely correct to conclude that there were no negotiations before the amnesty program was proclaimed. Further, it argues that the issue of negotiation cannot be as important as the failure of government to tackle the fundamental issues which triggered the conflict. The deepening of the country's democracy to ensure that votes count at elections is seen as the most likely option to guarantee the success of the amnesty program and secure the region and energy security.

Keywords: amnesty program, energy security, frustration-aggression trap, militia violence, Nigeria's Niger Delta, oil-producing companies, peacebuilding

Author Bio(s)

Ibaba Samuel Ibaba is affiliated with the Department of Political Science at Niger Delta University, where he lectures on Political Science and engages in research focused on conflict resolution, peacebuilding and development. He can be contacted at: eminoaibaba or at Department of Political Science, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, P.O. BOX 1529, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.

Nigeria's Niger Delta: Militia Violence, Amnesty and Energy Security

Ibaba Samuel Ibaba

Abstract

This paper discusses the interface between violence in the Niger Delta, global energy security and amnesty granted to armed groups by the Nigerian government. The author notes the impact of the violence on energy infrastructure and brings to the fore how the violence endangers energy security. Thus far, a major concern is that the amnesty program will fail because it was not preceded by negotiations between the government and combatants. The author questions this view and points outs that before the amnesty proclamation, several negotiations through committees and commissions involving stakeholders had been done. The paper thus argues that it cannot be entirely correct to conclude that there were no negotiations before the amnesty program was proclaimed. Further, it argues that the issue of negotiation cannot be as important as the failure of government to tackle the fundamental issues which triggered the conflict. The deepening of the country's democracy to ensure that votes count at elections is seen as the most likely option to guarantee the success of the amnesty program and secure the region and energy security.

Introduction

The Niger Delta, Africa's largest delta (World Bank 1995) and home of Nigeria's oil industry, has in the past two decades been characterized by protracted oil-related

violent conflicts. The conflicts began as agitations against oil-producing companies by oil-producing communities in the 1970s, and centered on demands for development attention, environmental protection, and payment of adequate compensation for damages caused by oil company activities such as oil spills. The conflict witnessed several transformations, and this elevated the agitations to political demands (such as restructuring of the Nigerian federation, resource ownership and self-determination) and finally burst into full blown insurgency in 2005 (Osaghae, Ikelegbe, Olarinmoye, and Okhomina 2007; Watts 2007; Ibaba and Ikelegbe 2009). The literature on the Niger Delta has shown concern for the threat the conflicts pose to energy security. One such study concludes:

The oil based struggles for resource benefits and associated conflicts, illegal economy and violence has raised security concerns over world oil output, shipment and supply. Several times, the economy has led to shortfalls in national oil production, world oil supply and consequently sporadic increases in world oil prices. There is the fear of terrorists attackers, latching on to the social turmoil and illegal and underground economy to disrupt world oil supplies. There is also the fear that the economy may afflict the West African and Gulf of Guinea oil production and existing and potential supplies. To the United States which imports about 17 percent of its oil needs from Sub-Saharan Africa and mainly from Nigeria, and to whom a stable and diversified oil supply is vital to national security, the illegal economy is a serious security concern. (Ikelebge 2006, 49)

Although the above reference highlights the impact of the conflict on United States energy security, just as some other studies have done (Lubeck, Watts, and Lipschutz 2007) probably because the United States is the largest consumer of Nigerian oil, the implications

are wider. The Niger Delta accounts for almost all of Nigeria's gas and oil production (Bassey 2010, 3) and this makes it strategic to global energy needs. It is clear that there is a convergence of interest between securing the Niger Delta and the stability of energy needs of several countries, including Nigeria. It is also noteworthy that energy and economic growth are inexorably linked, and this linkage interfaces with conflict resolution and peacebuilding.

The energy and economic threats posed by the insurgency in the Niger Delta partly led to the Nigerian government's amnesty program, which granted pardon to members of militia groups (Niger Delta based non-state armed groups engaged in armed struggle against the Nigerian State) whose attacks on oil infrastructure and disruptions in oil production led to a drop in Nigeria's oil production from 2.6 million barrels per day in 2005 to 1.3 million barrels per day in June 2009 (Obi 2009). The acceptance of the amnesty program which ended on October 4, 2009, and the subsequent surrender of arms and cessation of attacks on oil infrastructure, have witnessed a rise in oil production to 2.02 million barrels per day in December 2009 and 2.20 in April 2010 (Central Bank of Nigeria 2010).

The objective of this paper is to highlight the importance of the success of the amnesty program to global energy security. Concerns have been raised by scholars on the success of the amnesty program, and the major one thus far is the lack of negotiation between ex-combatants and the state, noted to be a deviation from conventional Disarmament, Demobilization and Rehabilitation (DDR) procedure (Ikelegbe 2010; Davidheiser and Kialee 2010; Adeyemo and Olu-Adeyemi 2010). But this article makes a case that it cannot be totally correct to conclude that the amnesty policy was not preceded

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Nigeria's Niger Delta

by negotiations, noting that the argument ignores the Niger Delta context. Furthermore, the issue of negotiation cannot be as important as the failure to address the fundamental factors which instigated the violence.

The remaining part of the paper is divided into 5 sections. The first, "locating the Niger Delta conflict," examines theoretical explanations for the conflict and highlights the trend. The second section, "the conflict and energy security," reflects on Nigeria's potential and actual strategic role in global energy needs and the implications of the violence on energy security; while the third, "reflections on peacebuilding in the Niger Delta," examines efforts by the Nigerian Government towards peace in the region. The forth section, "interrogating the amnesty program," examines the concerns and challenges of the amnesty program. The fifth section concludes the study by noting the centrality of democratization to the success of the amnesty program, security of the Niger Delta and energy security.

Locating the Niger Delta Conflict

The conflict in the Niger Delta has been linked to the oil and gas resource which is found in the region. Over the years, the conflict has been explained from the perspectives of greed, grievance, and frustration-aggression. The greed explanation follows the stand point of Collier and Hoefflier (2002) who blame conflict and violence on conflict entrepreneurs who are driven by economic gains, particularly in the face of the availability of capturable natural resources. The grievance perspective, however, blames violence on grievances resulting from deprivation. The three strands of the grievance theory, relative deprivation, polarization and horizontal inequality (Murshed

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

and Tadjoeddin 2009, 96-99) are reinforced by the psychology-based frustrationaggression theory which sees conflict as the outcome of frustration caused by the gap between aspirations and achievements or what it refers to as "want-get-ratio," "expected need satisfaction" and "actual need satisfaction"(Faleti 2006, 47).

It is clearly difficult to explain the conflict in the Niger Delta from one theoretical standpoint, given its multidimensional nature and transformations. Thus conflict analysis in the region has witnessed an interface of interpretations by scholars in respect to the different conflict settings or phases. Collier (2008, 31) has pointed out that the conflict in the delta has evolved from grievance to greed in the last decade, and attributes this to competition for the huge inflow of oil revenues by politicians and illegal payments made by oil companies to secure production and kidnapped personnel. Watts (2007 and 2008) agrees with this by noting the politically motivated struggles for access and control over oil wealth and the criminalization of the conflict through oil theft or bunkering. The commoditization of violence in the electoral process has encouraged the proliferation of arms and cult/militia groups (Joab-Peterside 2005), a viewpoint supported by available data which indicates the stealing of \$75.1 billion by oil theft syndicates between 2003-2008 (Gilbert 2010, 59). Ikelegbe (2006) isolates this from the conflict and blames it on what he describes as an "economy of conflict" created by conflict entrepreneurs who have taken advantage of the disorder created by the violence.

Ukiwo (2008) sees this as a diversion meant to acquit the Nigerian State from the violence, which he blames on horizontal inequalities and socio-political injustices. This view is widespread and hinges its position on Nigeria's centralized federalism and ethnicity-based political domination. The explanation is that in Nigeria, national

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Nigeria's Niger Delta

resources and revenue is placed under the control of the federal government, which is expected to direct it to the benefit of all the constituent units of the federation. But because the state is ethnicized, and the custodians of power use it to pursue their ethnic interests, the ethnic groups who are outside the control of political power are denied equitable share of national resources. It further argues that the Nigerian State is controlled by the major ethnic groups while oil and gas are produced in the homelands of the minority ethnic nationalities of the Niger Delta.

Thus, the oil wealth has been transferred for the benefit of the major groups, and this explains the development plight of the Niger Delta that has motivated the conflict. The transfer was facilitated by the reduction of the derivation component of revenue allocation from 50 percent in 1960 to 1.5 percent in 1984. National revenues in Nigeria are paid into a single pool called Federation Account, from where revenue is distributed to the three tiers of government (federal, state, and local government) based on prescribed criteria. One of these is derivation, which stipulates that a percentage of federally collected revenue from natural resources should be paid to the states of origin. At independence in 1960, derivation was 50 percent, but this was later reduced to 45, 20, 2 and later 1.5 percent. Protests in the Niger Delta made the government increase it; first to 3 percent, and later 13 percent (Jega 2007; Mbanefoh and Egwaikhide 1998).

The reductions which began in 1970 coincided with the ascendance of oil as the mainstay of the Nigerian economy (Mbanefoh and Egwaikhide 1998), which until then relied on agricultural products based in the homelands of the majority ethnic groups. This deprivation is linked to the grievance which is central to the conflict (Naanen 1995; Okoko, Nna, and Ibaba 2006; Adeoye 2010). This is further linked to the paradox where

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Nigeria's Niger Delta

the Niger Delta which accounts for 80 percent of government revenue and 90 percent of foreign exchange earnings (Bassey 2010, 3) lacks development. For example, Akinola (2010, 56) has noted that:

In spite of its strategic economic importance, the demographic picture of the region as shown by the Human Development Index1 (HDI) is deplorable. The HDI of the region is as low as 0.564... Average life expectancy in the Niger Delta is 46.8 years. There is also a high mortality of young children – of every 1000 newborn, 200 die by the age of 5. Access to health was estimated to be available to only 56.5% of the population and population per one hospital bed was as high as 1,277 people.... there is one doctor for every 150,000 inhabitants of the oil rich states of Bayelsa and Delta. Similarly, educational attainment at primary and secondary levels suffers from a high teacher-pupil ratio – 1:42, compared with the national average of 1:36. This, invariably, resulted in a large number of drop-outs. At the same time, unemployment is about 30% of the available labor force in the region.

The point is that the huge oil revenues have barely benefited the population living in poverty (United Nations Development Program 2006), thus leading to anger and protests. The frustration-aggression theory reinforces this position. Ibaba (2007) explains from this standpoint that dashed hopes of improved living conditions have resulted in despair, disillusionment and pent up anger which have motivated the conflicts. But this ethnicity-based political domination or horizontal inequality grievance perspective fails to explain the different conflict settings adequately. For example, it does not explain the use of violence to contest for political power among the political elites in the Niger Delta; neither does it explain inter-community and intra-community conflicts. Also, it cannot be a useful explanation for inter-ethnic conflicts, intra-cult/militia group and inter-cult/militia

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

group conflict over contests for oil theft/bunkering space and pervasive corruption in the region and the interface with development inadequacies and conflict. This suggests that grievance cannot explain the totality of the conflicts in the Niger Delta, but it can be argued that greed underlies the exclusion of the minority groups from the oil wealth by the majority groups, as the oil wealth has not impacted the poverty of the northern region whose political leaders have dominated governance in Nigeria. Available data shows that despite the rule by the majority Hausa-Fulani ethnic group of northern Nigeria, and the perception that they have used the oil wealth for the benefit of their people, the Northern States are the poorest in the country. The 2004 poverty profile in the country listed the 6 Northern states of Jigawa, Kebbi, Kogi, Bauchi, and Kwara as the poorest. Similarly, no Northern state was listed among the states that had the lowest incidence of poverty (Ibaba 2010, 51).

One fundamental reason advanced by Akinola (2010, 57) for deprivation of citizens, is the domination of the public sphere by a few elites with "particularistic" concerns that results in exclusion of the people. I agree, and note that one consequence of this is corruption, which is possibly driven by greed. But corruption which also results in deprivation (Ibaba and Ebiede 2008) can be a source of grievance, frustration and aggression. Significantly, corruption is pervasive at all levels of governance in Nigeria, a federation of 36 states and 774 local government councils. From the above, it is discernible that the causes of conflict in the Niger Delta are multidimensional. Table 1 provides some insight into conflict trends and conflict drivers in the region.

Type of Conflict Intra-Community Conflict	Motivating/Causal Factors	Actors of Conflict
	Triggered by disagreement	Community factions such as
	community factions over	youths, chiefs, urban and
	ownership of land, and	local elites, engaged in a
	equitable distribution of oil	struggle for access and
	industry benefits such as	equitable share of oil
	scholarships, employment,	benefits
	contract awards, and monies	benefits
	paid as compensation for	
Inter Community Conflict	damages	Community youths and
Inter-Community Conflict		Community youths and
	struggle over benefits of the	chiefs engaged in a struggle
	oil industry such as award of	for access and equitable
	contracts, employment and	share of oil benefits
	payment of compensation for	
	damage done to property.	
Inter-Ethnic Conflict	This caused by inter-struggle	Community youths and
	for over benefits of the oil	chiefs engaged in a struggle
	industry such as employment,	for access and equitable
	contract awards and payment	share of oil benefits and
	of compensation for damages,	political leaders who
	ownership of land, title of	manipulate the situation to
	traditional rulers and political	score political gains
	appointments	
Oil-Company/Community	-	Community youths and
Conflict	such as delay in the payment	chiefs engaged in a struggle
	of compensation for damage	for access and equitable
	to property, breach of	share of oil benefits and
	Memorandum of	Security personnel who are
	Understanding by the oil	invited by the oil companies
	companies and the patronage	to maintain order but get
	of community factions by the	involved due to their
	oil companies	excesses
State-Community	This is caused by perceived	Youths, chiefs, political
Conflict	deprivation, neglect and	leaders civil society
	exclusion from the oil wealth	organizations and militia
		groups engaged in a struggle
		for access and equitable
		share of oil benefits
Intra-cult/Militia Group	Struggle for supremacy over	Youth groups engaged in
Conflict	oil theft/bunkering space, and	illegal oil bunkering
	leadership succession	
	1	

Table 1: Conflict Trends in the Niger Delta Indicating the Motivating Factors and Actors

Inter-Cult/Militia Group	Struggle for supremacy over	Youth groups engaged in
Conflict	oil theft/bunkering space	illegal oil bunkering
Political Conflict	Struggle for access and control	Armed political thugs,
	of political power	mainly youths and
		politicians who engage in a
		desperate struggle for
		political power due to the
		personalization of the state
		which encourages corruption
		and accumulation of wealth

Source: Ibaba 2009, 565-566; Joab-Peteside 2005; Ibaba and Ikelegbe 2009, 7

The Niger Delta conflict has experienced several phases and turned towards insurgency from 2005; resulting in the formation of numerous militia groups such as the Movement for the Emancipation of the Niger Delta (MEND), Niger Delta People Volunteer Force (NDVF), Niger Delta Volunteers (NDV), among many others (Okonta 2006; Ibaba and Ikelegbe 2009, 9). The operational strategy of these groups, which had the capacity to directly confront the Nigerian Military (Watts 2007), include attacks on oil infrastructure, disruption of oil production, kidnapping/hostage taking of oil company personnel and oil theft/bunkering (Ikelegbe 2006; Watts 2007; Ibaba and Ikelegbe 2009). These actions led to a decline in oil production and disruptions/delays in the development of oil and gas infrastructure, thus undermining energy security.

The Conflict and Energy Security

The security of energy sources in terms of production and supply is not only important to production, but also to national security. This explains the concerns for energy security threats, which include high oil prices, instability in exporting countries and threat of terrorism (Yergin 2006). Thus Nigeria's actual and potential prominent role in global

energy needs and supplies, and the concentration of oil and gas production in the Niger Delta, draws attention to the implications of the conflict on energy security.

Nigeria is ranked the largest oil producer in Africa (Akinola 2010, 1) and is also noted as the 15th world oil producer, and 7th top oil exporter in the world (USEIA 2008). Again, Nigeria exports oil to 21 countries in Africa, Europe, Asia and America as shown in Table 2.

Country	Percentage Exported
United States of America	42
Canada	3
South Africa	3
Cote-d'Ivoire	3
Italy	4
Spain	5
France	6
Brazil	6
India	13
Others(Netherlands,	16
American Virgin Islands,	
Japan, Ghana, Chile,	
China, Germany,	
Cameroon, South Korea,	
Portugal)	

Table 2: Percentage Export of Nigerian Oil

Source: Nigeria, Country Briefs, 2009, p.5

Significantly, 10 of these countries, the United States, China, Japan, India, Germany, Brazil, Canada, South Korea, France, and Italy, are among the top oil consumers in the world (USEIA 2008). Related to this is that fact that whereas the depleted oil and gas reserves in the North Sea of Europe stand at 15 billion barrels and 155.6 trillion cubic feet, the reserves of Nigeria are 35 billion barrels and 185 trillion cubic feet respectively. Further, the country's light sweet crude is easier to refine into petrol than the crude

produced in the Persian Gulf, Russia and Venezuela (Africa's Oil Giant Enters Global League www. entrepreneur.com/tradejournals).

Further, the country is ranked the 10th producer of natural gas, and the "estimated 17.2 billion cubic meter of gas it flares every year is noted to be one quarter of the power consumption of Africa and 45 percent of the energy requirement of France, the world's fourth largest economy" (Onyekonwu 2008, 17). Similarly, it is estimated that the gas flares in the Niger Delta "can provide 75 percent of the energy requirements in Sub-Saharan Africa, including South Africa" (Tell 2008, 84). The proposed West Africa pipeline project is expected to convey gas from the Niger Delta to Ghana, Togo and Benin Republic, and is expected to have a full capacity of 450 cubic meters of natural gas per annum, on completion (Nigeria, Country Briefs, 2009, p.7).

Similarly, the Trans-Sahara gas pipeline project is designed to supply 20 billion cubic meters of gas per annum to Europe by 2016 (Afrik-News 2010). Significantly, Europe imports 57 percent of its gas requirements, making it the largest importer of gas. Significantly, it is also estimated that gas consumption "would increase by 1.7 percent annually from about 104 trillion cubic feet in 2005 to nearly 158 trillion cubic feet in 2030" (Niger Delta Standard 2009, 9). Nigeria also supplies electricity to neighbouring African countries such as Niger, and is also involved in electricity integration projects in the West African sub-region. One such project is the proposed 330-KV transmission line from Lagos (Nigeria) to Togo and the Republic of Benin (Madamombe 2005). Significantly, gas piped from the Niger Delta is a major source of electricity generation in Nigeria. Indeed, Nigeria's energy sources depend on the Niger Delta, as natural gas

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

contributes 34 percent, and oil 58 percent. Hydro contributes a meager 8 percent (Nigeria, Country Briefs 2009, p.2).

Attacks on gas pipelines, including six incidents between May and August 2009 alone (Daily Champion 2009, 1; Nigerian News World 2009, 16), disrupted gas supply to the country's electricity power plants, and the West African Pipeline Project (Adeoye 2010, 9-10). The violence also led to drastic cuts in crude oil production and export. Available data shows that attacks on oil pipelines increased from 497 in 1999 to 895 in 2004, leading to an increase in product loss from 179,000 metric tons in 2004 to 396,000 metric tons in 2004 (Watts 2007, 639). Also the year 2006 recorded 14 militia attacks on oil infrastructure, as well as oil company and security personnel. This increased to 23 in 2007 and 29 in 2008. These attacks led to an estimated loss of 25,200,000 barrels of crude oil valued at \$56,646,424,000 (Niger Delta Technical Committee 2008, 120-121). Also the county's oil exports dropped from 1.84 million barrels per day in December 2006 to 1.45 million barrels per day in October 2009, when the amnesty offer ended. The export volume has since risen to 1.51 million and 1.57 million barrels per day in November and December 2009 respectively, and 1.76 million barrels per day in June 2010 (Central Bank of Nigeria 2010). The disruptions in oil supplies and the development of oil and gas production infrastructure vindicate the concern shown for the threat the violence poses to energy security. One of the intentions of the amnesty program is to address this concern.

Reflections on Peacebuilding in the Niger Delta

Before the 2009 amnesty declaration by the Nigerian government, several attempts located at three broad levels had been made to restore peace in the Niger Delta.

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Nigeria's Niger Delta

First, is development intervention by the government through the establishment of ministerial and extra-ministerial agencies. One such attempt was the 1.5 percent presidential committee which was established in 1982 to manage the 1.5 percent Mineral Producing Areas Development Fund. This was succeeded by the Oil Mineral Producing Areas Development Commission (OMPADEC), created in 1992, and the Niger Delta Development Commission (NDDC) established in the year 2000. The creation of the Ministry for Niger Delta Affairs is also part of this intervention. The mandate of these agencies included the provision of social infrastructure and services for environmental management, particularly in the remediation of oil spill and gas flare impacted areas (OMPADEC 1993; Okoko, Nna, and Ibaba 2006). The neglect of the oil-producing areas of the Niger Delta resulted in the absence of basic social infrastructure and amenities such as health facilities, schools, electricity, and potable water. This condition was exacerbated by oil spills and gas flare which undermined the local economies largely based on farming and fishing. These agencies, however, had little success in addressing these problems, and this meant that the objective conditions which motivate conflict were sustained. The failure has been blamed on factors which include poor management of funds, poor development planning, corruption, political interference, and inadequate funding (Ibaba 2005; Adeyemo 2008).

At another level of analysis, the failure of these establishments to promote peace and development is attributed to their inability to address crucial issues such as centralized federalism, political restructuring, and reforms in revenue allocation which are fundamental requirements for the resolution of the conflict (Tamuno 2000). Ibaba (2009) agrees with this view but insists that they are not as important as the lack of

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

democratization, which appears to have hindered the success of other aspects of the federal government's response to the conflict. As part of this response, the federal government increased the derivation component of revenue allocation from 1.5 percent to 3 percent in 1992, and from 3 percent to 13 percent in 2000. These increases, which partially met demands for increase in the derivation formula to 50 percent, improved on the finances of the Niger Delta states. Available data shows for instance that revenue received by the Niger Delta state from the Federation Account rose from \$866.2 million in 2000 to \$7.1 billion in 2008. The data further indicates that the Niger states received \$7.1 billion out of \$16.5 billion allocated to the 36 states of the federation (Ibaba 2009).

However, the increase in revenue inflow brought with it manifestations of elements of "resource curse" such as short-sightedness of policy makers, the weakening of state institutions through corruption, and the inhibition of democratization through political patronage, which discourages demands for democracy and political repression (Center for Strategic and International Studies 2008; Ross 1999). Budgetary allocations neglect social infrastructure and social services such as education, health facilities and potable water, whereas projects such as stadia, airports, and new lodges for political office holders are given priority. Travel allowances are inflated, and thus consume a substantial part of budgetary allocations. Enweremadu (2008, 448) cited the case of Rivers State where legislators received \$5.4 million as travel allowances alone in a year. Ibaba (2009, 562) has also cited the case of Bayelsa State where over \$92.8 million was budgeted for miscellaneous expenses while \$5 million was set aside for Governor's tours and travels in 2008. In the same year, health was allocated \$84.2 million, water \$3.6 million and education \$78.4 million.

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Corruption and political patronage are also pervasive in the region. The Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), Nigeria's anti-graft agency, has accused 4 of the 6 governors who served from 1999-2007 of financial impropriety. Chief D.S.P. Alamieyeseigha, the first executive governor of Bayelsa State, was arrested in London in September 2005 on money laundering charges to the tune of 1.8 million pounds (Watts 2007). He was later convicted by a Nigerian high court in 2007, after his dramatic return from London in November 2005. The effect of corruption in the region is that only a small proportion of the huge oil revenues have trickled down to the poor population. Despite this, the population can hardly demand democracy and good governance, partly because of the patron-client politics, the commoditization of violence in the electoral process and the associated repression and electoral fraud (Joab-Peterside 2005). Election fraud which is perpetrated through patron-client politics and violence has hijacked the power of the people to vote out corrupt and inefficient governments. Thus the region is denied the development and peace benefits of democracy such as the enhancement of development and reduction of structural violence, open and fair competition for power, provision of avenues for rational political discourse and settlement of conflicting social interests, checks and balances of governmental powers which reduce discontent, political participation, income re-distribution, production and funding of pubic goods, rule of law, and accountability and transparency in governance (Ake 1996a and 1996b; McGuire and Olson 1996; Brown and Hunter 2004; Diamond 2004; Samuels 2005; Ross 2006).

Another approach to peace which preceded the amnesty program was the 2004 cash for arms policy of the Rivers State government (one of the six state governments in the Niger Delta), meant to retrieve arms from cults/gangs and militias. In the build up to

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Nigeria's Niger Delta

the 2003 general elections, several groups were mobilized and armed by politicians to secure election victory (Human Rights Watch [HRW] 2005; Joab-Peterside 2005). But the events which followed created deep-seated insecurity as inter and intra cult/gang, intra-community and inter-community conflict became frequent. The waterways became unsafe and attacks on oil infrastructure increased. Having linked the violence to the availability of arms, the government decided to retrieve arms from circulation. Those who had arms were motivated with compensatory payments in cash, rehabilitation, training, and forgiveness. The response was shocking, as a total of 1,675 assorted firearms were submitted. These included assault rifles, AK-47 rifles, Czech SA Vz 58,HK C3, shotguns, light machine guns, Baretta 125, revolvers, craft weapons, pistols and Czech model 26 (Osaghae, Ikelegbe, Olarinmoye, and Okhomina 2007, 20). Although the number of submitted arms was huge, the general feeling then was that only an infinitesimal proportion of available arms were surrendered, just as other reports indicated that old arms were returned to claim the monies paid, while the new arms in the possession of the violent groups remained intact (Human Rights Watch 2005, 20).

Events which followed later tend to vindicate these claims, although it can be argued that new arms were bought. The sources of arms in the Niger Delta, identified by Osaghae, Ikelegbe, Olarinmoye, and Okhomina (2007, 20-21), include weapons brought in from war-ravaged countries such as Liberia and Sierra Leone by Nigerian soldiers who had gone to those countries for peace keeping, and who then sold the arms to willing buyers such as chiefs, politicians, and criminal gangs/cult groups; weapons exchanged for oil by oil bunkering syndicates; weapons seized from or bought from security operatives; and weapons brought into the country illegally by smugglers.

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

This suggests that the accessibility to arms and their availability are central to the conflict. Although information on payments for arms under the program is sketchy, one report indicates that \$2,000 was paid for each AK-47 rifle that was surrendered (Africa News 2009). Human Rights Watch (HRW) also reported in 2005 that the Rivers State government offered \$1,800 for each assault rifle that was turned in. However, it is estimated that arms were purchased for between \$570 and \$2,150, (Osaghae, Ikelegbe, Olarinmoye, and Okhomina 2007, 19), suggesting that firearms can easily be acquired with monies received from government in return for surrendering arms. It can be argued from this standpoint that the arms for cash program ended up in mobilization rather than demobilization, and this partly accounts for the high intensity of resurgence in the later years. Further, the fundamental factors which led to the emergence of the armed groups, such as the manipulation of youth groups by politicians, desperate struggle for traditional political authority induced by payments to communities by oil companies, the use of youths by oil theft/ bunkering syndicates, arms leakages, and the culture of impunity which undermined the punishment of perpetrators of violence (HRW 2005, 4-10), were not addressed.

It is deducible from all of the above that the failure of the government to tackle the fundamental issues which triggered the conflict, sustained it until it was shaped by arms to a dangerous point. Whereas the Chief Olusegun Obasanjo government was more militaristic in its approach to the conflict, the Alhaji Musa Yari'Adua government, after seeing the futility of that approach decided on the amnesty program after several consultations. The amnesty proclamation which was made on June 25, 2009, came into effect on August 6, 2009, and ended October 4, 2009, offered forgiveness to militants in

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

return for withdrawal from the creeks, arms surrender, re-integration and provision of source of livelihood (Adeyemi-Suenu and Inokoba 2010, 8). Unlike the cash for arms program of the Rivers State government, the amnesty program made provisions for those who accepted the pardon to be registered and camped for training, rehabilitation and reintegration. In addition, they were paid allowances to provide for their feeding and other needs. The government budgeted \$63 million for this (Africa News 2009). At the end of the amnesty period, the amnesty implementation committee reported the surrender of about 15,000 militants, 2,760 assorted arms and 287, 445 variety of ammunitions (The Nation 2009). Since the end of the amnesty, attacks on oil infrastructure and oil company personnel are almost non-existent while oil production in the region has become reasonably stable.

Interrogating the Amnesty Program

The amnesty program has been criticized on a number of grounds. The most prominent is the contention that it did not follow disarmament, demobilization and reintegration (DDR) procedures. One such critique has noted:

DDR is typically adopted in post conflict societies, where peace agreements have been forged among ex-combatants who have signified a willingness to return to civil life. Interestingly, Nigeria offers an exception from the standard approach. ... The conceptualization of amnesty underlies the structure of pre-amnesty peace negotiations, and ultimately defines the strategy of disarmament and the design of post-amnesty demobilization. It shows that the conception of amnesty as a "gift handed down to militants perceived primarily as criminals" is the major challenge to the amnesty initiative. This is evident in the non-inclusion of a specific strategy for addressing the roots of militancy in the amnesty program. This

suggests that the amnesty initiative does not differ markedly from the state's preferred peace strategy of win/lose, which has underscored constant agitations, and the evolving dynamics of complex insurgency in the region. (Davidheiser and Kialee 2010, 1)

The above reference emphasizes the absence of pre-amnesty negotiations and the signing of a peace agreement with the ex-militants as a major flaw that may truncate the program. The contention is that negotiations with the ex-combatants were necessary to define a comprehensive peace agreement which would serve as a framework for the implementation of the amnesty program. Adeyemo and Olu-Adeyemi (2010) and Ikelegbe (2010) agree with this, and note that the absence of negotiation has created a vacuum described as "the lack of participation and sense of ownership of the program by ex-combatants" (Davidheiser and Kialee 2010, 13). This is seen as a major threat to the program. However, it cannot be completely correct to conclude that there were no negotiations before the amnesty was proclaimed. Whereas negotiation is conventional in DDR, it would be wrong to ignore context when insisting on its application.

Although the insurgency in the Niger Delta has been championed by several groups, their demands have been congruent as evidenced in the petitions made to the federal government by the different ethnic nationalities. The Ogoni Bill of Rights (1990), the Aklaka Declaration (1999), Bill of Rights of the Oron People (1999), Resolutions of the First Urhobo Economi Summit (1998) the Warri Accord (1999), and The Kaima Declaration (1998) are all unanimous on the demands for resource control, self-determination, increase in derivation, and environmental protection as remedies and strategies for the development of the region. Essentially, the lack of development is the prime motive for the agitations which turned violent. Further, numerous commissions of

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

inquiry and committees have been established to investigate the issues and recommend policy options. The work of these commissions and committees has been done by stakeholders and in consultation with stakeholders, and in many cases, decisions were reached by consensus.

In September 2008, the federal government constituted the Niger Delta Technical Committee (NDTC) to review all past reports on the region, including the report of the Willinks Commission established by the colonial government in 1957. The NDTC was given the mandate to determine policy options that can "help the government to achieve sustainable development, peace, human and environmental security in the Niger Delta." The NDTC submitted its report in November 2008, and made recommendations which center on governance and rule of law (disarmament, decommission, reintegration, reforms in governance and institutions), regional development (transportation, water and power, economic development, reclamation, environment and sustainable development), and compact with stakeholders. Two things are noteworthy here. First, amnesty was a key recommendation of the NDTC. Second, the recommendations of the NDTC were based on the reports of previous committees and commissions (such as the Belgore Report 1992; the Etiebet Report 1994; the Poopola Report 1998; the Ogomudia Report 2001; the Presidential Panel on National Security 2003; the National Political Reform Conference Report 2005; and the Report of the Presidential Council on the Social and Economic Development of the Coastal States of the Niger Delta 2006) and the different petitions of the various ethnic nationalities of the region, and thus, it was unanimously accepted in the Niger Delta as the road map to peace and development in the region.

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Nigeria's Niger Delta

Given this, I argue that the issue of negotiation is not as important as the failure to address the fundamental issue of development neglect which triggered and sustained the conflict. Importantly, the promise by the federal government to address the development of the region and related issues ensured the acceptance of the amnesty by the armed groups. Thus the government has only implemented one aspect of the Committee's recommendations which emerged by consensus. The implementation of the other policy recommendations will most likely prevent the resurgence of violence in the region and thus secure energy needs and supply.

This view is predicated on addressing the challenges posed by the lack of democratization and capacity on the part of the political leadership to pursue the common good. Corruption, the lack of transparency and accountability in governance, the desperate struggle for political power, commoditization of violence in the electoral process, political repression and the neglect of development, which have contributed to the conflict, are consequences of the lack of democracy and good governance. The Willinks Commission Report (1958, 30) made this point when it noted that "the best protection for a remote territory against governmental neglect or discrimination is the voting power of its inhabitants...the development of democratic institutions... can strengthen this safeguard." Election rigging, which makes votes not to count, has robbed the country and the Niger Delta of these benefits. Significantly, election rigging has become an integral part of the Nigerian electoral process (Alapiki 1995; Ibrahim 2006; Bratton 2008).

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Nigeria's Niger Delta

One concern for the amnesty program is speculation that militia groups withheld some of their firearms, thus making the region prone to the resurgence of violence. But even if this speculation is true (as the assassination of Soboma George, former militant commander in Rivers State, on August 24, 2010 and the October 1, 2010 Abuja bomb blasts suggest), the use of the arms can only be encouraged by undemocratic conditions. The crisis plaguing the national economy is also a major challenge to the success of the amnesty program. Corruption and the associated poor management of funds and resources, the energy and infrastructure crisis, and the global economic recession have knocked down the Nigerian economy. The country generates only 3.7 megawatts of about 20,000 megawatts of its electricity needs, imports refined petroleum products even though it is OPEC's 6th largest producer, the poverty rate is over 70 percent, and youth unemployment is about 49 percent (Channels Television 2010).

This condition threatens the success of the education, training and rehabilitation components of the amnesty. The question is, Will the educated, trained and rehabilitated militants operate in a different economy? The answer is obviously no, and herein lies the problem. The lack of employment for these repentant militants may incite them to violence. Further, the high youth unemployment put at 49 percent (Channels Television 2010) indicates that there are several other youths who may be prone to violence. Significantly, present policies are not addressing this effectively, just as the education, training, and rehabilitation components of the amnesty program have thus far neglected the youths who did not carry arms against the state. This tends to create a perception that it pays to be violent, and may instigate further violence if not attended to. It is proper to argue here that the economic crisis may have created a "frustration-aggression trap" that

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

tends towards a cycle of violence. Escaping this trap is therefore essential for the success of the amnesty program.

In an earlier section, the paper noted the application of the greed and grievance explanation of violence to the Niger Delta conflict. The discussion here underscores the relevance of these theories in the analysis of the Niger Delta conflict, and draws attention to the fact that the resolution of greed and grievance is central to peace building in the region.

Conclusion

This paper examined the interface between militia violence in Nigeria's Niger Delta, the amnesty granted the armed groups by the Nigerian government, and energy security. The objective of the paper was to highlight the importance of the success of the amnesty program to global energy security. The study reviewed the theoretical explanations of the conflict and noted that a single theory can hardly explain the different settings of conflicts in the region. Thus the paper emphasized the integration of the greed, grievance and frustration-aggression theories that have dominated conflict analysis in the Delta. Thus the variations of conflict such as oil company-community conflict, intracommunity conflict, inter-community conflict, community-state conflict, intracult/gangs and militia conflict and inter-cults/gangs and militia conflicts were located in these contexts, and the analysis highlighted the resolution of greed and grievances as a major requirement for peacebuilding in the area.

Nigeria's strategic role in global energy needs was discussed, and Nigeria's potential and actual importance to gas needs in Europe and Africa, the countries supply of

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

crude oil to 21 countries in Europe, America, Asia and Africa, and electricity supplies to some African countries were emphasized. The paper reflected the impact of the violence on energy infrastructure and brought to the fore how the violence endangers energy security. The disruptions of gas supplies to energy plants in Nigeria and the West African gas pipeline and the drastic cuts in oil production and exports were cited as examples of the implications of the violence for energy security.

The amnesty program was initiated to restore peace and ensure unfettered oil and gas production in the region. It set out to retrieve arms from the creeks of the region, provide means of livelihood and forgiveness to individuals who took up arms against the state and attacked and destroyed energy infrastructure such as oil and gas pipelines. The 60 day amnesty which ended on October 4, 2009, has brought relative peace to the region as evidenced by the near absence of attacks on oil and gas production infrastructure and kidnapping/hostage taking of oil company personnel, and increase in oil production and export. But the program has been criticized for not adhering to the principles of DDR, particularly for not being preceded by a negotiation framework. This is seen as a vacuum that can undermine its success. While I agree with this, I make a case that the argument ignores the Niger Delta context. My contention is that it cannot be entirely correct to conclude that there were no negotiations before the amnesty program was proclaimed by the federal government.

The point is that before the amnesty was proclaimed, several negotiations through committees and commissions involving stakeholders had been done. Further, the different nationalities had documented petitions to the federal government. Meanwhile, the recommendations of the Niger Delta Technical Committee, which included the amnesty

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

program and which was widely accepted as a road map to conflict resolution and peacebuilding in the region, consulted and reflected issues raised in the committees and commissions which preceded it, in addition to the petitions of the ethnic nationalities. Given this, the issue of negotiation cannot be as important as the failure of the government to tackle the fundamental issues which triggered the conflict. The lack of democratization, lack of capacity of political leaders to pursue public good, and the crisis plaguing the national economy are challenges to the success of the amnesty program. To enhance the success of the amnesty program and secure the region and energy security, the deepening of democratic practice to ensure that votes count in Nigerian elections is the most likely way out of the conflict.

References

- Adeoye, A. 2010. "Niger Delta Crisis: The Nexus between Militants' Insurgency and Security in West Africa." Paper presented at the International Conference on Natural Resource, Security and Development in the Niger Delta, Organized by the Department of Political Science, Niger Delta University, in Collaboration with the Centre for Applied Environmental Research, Department of Geosciences, University of Missouri Kansas City, USA, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria, March 8-11.
- Adeyemi-Suenu, W. and Inokoba, P. 2010. "Armed Rebellion and the Future of Self-Determination in the Niger Delta." Paper presented at the International Conference on Natural Resource, Security and Development in the Niger Delta, Organized by the Department of Political Science, Niger Delta

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

University, in Collaboration with the Centre for Applied Environmental Research, Department of Geosciences, University of Missouri Kansas City, USA, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria March 8-11.

- Adeyemo, A. M. 2008. "Environmental Policy Failure in Nigeria and the Tragedy of Underdevelopment of Niger Delta." Inaugural Lecture, No. 63 University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
- Adeyemo, D. and Olu–Adeyemi, L. 2010. "Amnesty in a Vacuum: The Unending Insurgency in the Niger Delta of Nigeria." In *Checkmating the Resurgence of Violence in the Niger Delta*, edited by V. Ojarokotu and L. D. Gilbert. Johannesburg: Delta Book.
- Africa's Oil Giant Enters Global League (Nigeria)

www.entreprenuer.com/tradejournals/article/156137521.html

Africa News, August 5, 2009: online.wsj.com/article/SB 12494226930505875.html

Afrik-News, July 12, 2010, www.afrik-news.com/article/16513.html

Ake, C. 1996a. *Democracy and Development in Africa*. Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Books.

-----. 1996b. Is Africa Democratizing? Lagos, Nigeria: Malthouse Press.

- Akinola, S. R. 2010. "Restructuring the Public Sphere for Social Order in the Niger Delta through Polycentric Planning: What Lessons for Africa?" Asian and African Studies 9:55-82.
- Aklaka Declaration, Resolutions of the Egi Ethnic Nationality at the end of a conference on January 10, 1999, at Aklaka, Rivers State;

- Alapiki, H. E.1995. "Election and Party Preference Index in Nigeria: Analysis of the 1979 and 1983 Elections." *Journal of Nigerian Affairs* 1(1):89-97.
- Bassey, C. 2010. "The Armed Forces and Security Challenges in the Niger Delta." *Africa Peace Review* 10(1):1-18.

Bill of Rights of the Oron People, made on June 25, 1999, at Oron, Akwa-Ibom State.

- Bratton, M. 2008. "Vote Buying and Violence in Nigerian Election Campaigns." Afrobarometer Working Paper No. 99.
- Brown, D. and Hunter, W. 2004. "Democracy and Human Capital Formation." *Comparative Political Studies* 37(7):842-864.
- Center for Strategic and International Studies. 2008. "The Political Economy of National Resources." Workshop Report, Jakarta, Indonesia, July 28 and 29.

Central Bank of Nigeria. 2010. Data and statistics.

www.cenbank.org/rates/crudeoil.asp

- Channels Television News, August 4, 2010, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Collier, P. 2008. *The Bottom Billion: Why the Poorest Countries Are Failing and What Can Be Done About It.* New York: Oxford University Press.
- Collier, P. and Hoeflier, A. 2002. "On the Incidence of Civil War in Africa." *Journal* of Conflict Resolution 46(1):13-28.

Daily Champion Newspaper, August 10, 2009, Lagos, Nigeria.

Davideheiser, M. and Kialee, N. 2010. "Demobilization or Remobilization? The Amnesty Program and the Search for Peace in the Niger Delta." Paper presented at the International Conference on Natural Resource, Security and Development in the Niger Delta, Organized by the Department of Political

Science, Niger Delta University, in Collaboration with the Centre for Applied Environmental Research, Department of Geosciences, University of Missouri Kansas City, USA, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria, March 8-11.

- Diamond, L. 2004. "Moving Out of Poverty: What Does Democracy Have to Do With It?" Center on Democracy, Development, and the Rule of Law (CDDRL), Stanford Institute for International Studies, Working Paper No.4.
- Enweremadu, D. U. 2008. "The Vicious Circle: Oil, Corruption and Armed Conflicts in the Niger Delta." Conference Proceedings, International Conference on the Nigerian State, Oil Industry and the Niger Delta, Organized by the Department of Political Science, Niger Delta University, in Collaboration with the Centre for Applied Environmental Research, Department of Geosciences, University of Missouri Kansas City, USA, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria, March 11-13.
- Faleti, A. S. 2006. "Theories of Social Conflict." In Introduction to Peace and Conflict Studies in West Africa: A Reader, edited by S. G. Best. Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Books.
- Gilbert, L. D. 2010. "Youth Militancy, Amnesty and Security in the Niger Delta." In *Checkmating the Resurgence of Violence in the Niger Delta*, edited by V.
 Ojarokotu and L. D. Gilbert. Johannesburg.
- Human Rights Watch. 2005. "Rivers and Blood: Guns, Oil and Power in Nigeria's Rivers State." A Human Rights Watch Briefing Paper.
- Ibaba, S. I. 2005. *Understanding the Niger Delta Crisis*. Port Harcourt, Nigeria: Amethyst and Colleagues Publishers.

- -----. 2007. "Understanding Oil Company-Community Conflicts in the Niger Delta (Nigeria)." *Peace and Conflict Studies* 14(1):1-18.
- -----. 2009. "Violent Conflicts and Sustainable Development in Bayelsa State." *Review of African Political Economy* 36(122):555-573.
- -----. 2010. "Education and Peace Building in the Niger Delta." *Africa Peace Review* 10(1):43-66.
- Ibaba, S. I. and Ebiede, T. 2008. "Corruption and Governance in Nigeria: The Implications for Millennium Development Goal One." *Journal of Research in National Development* 6(2):158-165.
- Ibaba, S. I. and Ikelebge, A. 2009. "Militias and Pirates in the Niger Delta." Paper Presented at Institute of Security Studies (ISS) South African, Workshop on Militia and Rebel Movements – Human Insecurity and State Crisis in Africa, Pretoria, South Africa, April 20-21.
- Ibrahim, J. 2006. "Transforming Elections in West Africa into Opportunities for Political Choice." Keynote Address, Nordic Africa Institute Conference on Post-Conflict Elections in West Africa: Challenges for Democracy and Reconstruction, Accra, Ghana, May 15-17.
- Ikelegbe, A. C. 2006. "The Economy of Conflict in Oil Rich Niger Delta Region of Nigeria." African and Asian Studies 5(1):23-56.
- Ikelegbe, A. 2010. "Resolving the Niger Delta Conflict: A Critical and Comparative Analysis of the Amnesty and Post Amnesty Challenges." Paper presented at the International Conference on Natural Resource, Security and Development in the Niger Delta, Organized by the Department of Political Science, Niger

Delta University, in Collaboration with the Centre for Applied Environmental Research, Department of Geosciences, University of Missouri Kansas City, USA, March 8-11.

- Jega, A. M. 2007. *Democracy, Good Governance and Development in Nigeria*. Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Books.
- Joab Peterside, S. 2005. "On the Militarization of Nigeria's Niger Delta: The Genesis of Ethnic Militia in Rivers State." *African Conflict Profile* 1(2):30-51.
- Lubeck, P.M. Watts, M.J. and Lipschutz, R. 2007. "Convergent Interest: U.S. Energy Security and the 'Securing' of Nigerian Democracy." Internal Policy Report. Washington: DC, Center for International Policy.
- Madamombe, I. 2005. "Key to Africa's Prosperity: Challenges in West Africa's Quest for Electricity." *Africa Renewal* 18(4).
- Mbanefoh, G. I. and Egwaikhide, F. O. 1998. "Revenue Allocation in Nigeria:
 Derivation Principle Revisited." In *Federalism and Political Restructuring in Nigeria*, edited by A. Kunle, R. Suberu, A. Agbaje, and G. Herault. Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Books.
- McGuire, M. C. and Olson, M. 1996. "The Economics of Autocracy and Majority Rule: The Invisible Hand and the Use of Force." *Journal of Economic Literature* 4(1):72-96.
- Murshed, S. M. and Tadjoeddin, M. Z. 2009 "Revisiting the Greed and Grievance Explanations for Violent Internal Conflict." *Journal of Internal Development* 21:87-111.

Naanen, B. 1995. "Oil Producing Minorities and the Restructuring of Nigerian Federalism: The Case of the Ogoni People." *Journal of Common Wealth and Comparative Politics* 33:46-78.

Niger Delta Standard Newspaper, May 19, 2009, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Niger Delta Technical Committee Report. 2008. Committee established by the Nigerian Government to Determine Policy Options for the Resolution of the Niger Delta Crisis. November, 2008.

Nigeria, Country Analysis Briefs. 2009. www.eia.de.gov

- Nigerian News World Magazine. July 6, 2009, Abuja, Nigeria.
- Obi, C. I. 2009. "Structuring Transnational Spaces of Identity, Rights and Power in the Niger Delta." *Globalizations* 6(4):467-481.
- Ogoni Bill of Rights, Adopted by general acclamation of the Ogoni People on August 26, 1990, at Bori Rivers State.
- Okoko, K., Nna, J., and Ibaba, S. I. 2006. *The Politics of Oil and the Underdevelopment of Underdevelopment in the Niger Delta*. Choba, Nigeria: University of Port Harcourt Press.
- Okonta, I. 2006. Behind the Mask: Explaining the Emergence of the MEND Militia in Nigeria's Oil–Bearing Niger Delta. Niger Delta Economies of Violence Working Paper, No. 11.
- Oil Minerals Producing Areas Development Commission (OMPADEC). 1993. Quarterly Report 1(1): October.
- Onyenkonwu, M. 2008. "The Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry: Policy Problems." Port Harcourt Petroleum Review 1(1).

Osaghae, E., Ikelegbe, A., Olarinmoye, O. and Okhomina, S. 2007. "Youth Militias, Self Determination and Resource Control Struggles in the Niger-Delta Region of Nigeria." Research Report, Consortium for Development Partnership Module 5.

http://www.ascleiden.nl/Pdf/cdpnigeriaRevisedosaghae%5B1%5D2.pdf

- Resolutions of the First Urhobo Economic Summit, Organised by the Urhobo Foundation on November 27-28, 1998, at Effurun, Warri, Delta State.
- Ross, M. 1999. "The Political Economy of the Resource Curse." *World Politics* 51:297-322.
- -----. 2006. "Is Democracy Good for the Poor?" *American Journal of Political Science* 50(4):860-874.
- Samuels, K. 2005. "Stability and Peace Building: A Key Challenge." *Development in Practice* 15(6):728-736.
- Tamuno, T. N. 2000. The Niger Delta Question. Port Harcourt, Nigeria: Riverside Communications.
- Tell Magazine, February 18, 2008, Lagos, Nigeria.
- The Belgore Report, 1992. The Report of a Judicial Commission of Enquiry set up by the Nigerian Government to Identify the Causes of Fuel Shortages in Nigeria
- The Etiebet Report, 1994. The Report of and Inter-Ministerial Fact Finding Committe set up by the Nigerian Government to Identify the Grievances of the Niger Delta and Recommend appropriate Policy Options to Deal with the Conflict Problems of the Region

The Kaima Declaration, Resolutions of the All Ijaw Youths Conference, held at Kaima, Bayelsa State, December 11, 1998.

The Nation Newspaper, October 9 2009, Lagos, Nigeria.

The Ogomudia Report, 2001. The Report of a Special Security Committee Established by the Nigerian Government to Ensure Security in the Niger Delta

The Poopola Report, 1998. The Report of a Member Committee Established by the Nigerian Government to Determine Policy Options for Niger Delta Development

- The Presidential Report on National Security, 2003. Report of the Panel on National Security set up by the Nigerian Government to Explore Strategies for Securing the Niger Delta
- The Report of the National Political Reforms Conference, 2005. The Conference was convened by the Nigerian Government to Discuss and Reach National Consensus on Ways to Improve Governance in order to Strengthen National Unity
- The Report of the Presidential Council on the Social and Economic Development of the Coastal States of the Niger Delta, 2006. The Council was set up to Provide Policy Options to Ensure Security and Development of the Niger Delta
- The Warri Accord, the Warri Declaration adopted after deliberations between Warri families at the Warri National Conference held on June 25-27, 1999, at Benin City, Edo State.
- The Willink Commission Report: Report of the Commission Appointed to Enquire into the Fears of Minorities and the Means of Allaying Them, Presented to

Peace and Conflict Studies • Volume 18, Number 1

Parliament by the Secretary to State for the Colonies by Command of Her Majesty, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1958.

- Ukiwo, U. 2008. "Horizontal Inequalities and Insurgency in the Niger Delta."
 Conference Proceedings, International Conference on the Nigerian State, Oil
 Industry and the Niger Delta, Organized by the Department of Political
 Science, Niger Delta University, in Collaboration with the Centre for Applied
 Environmental Research, Department of Geosciences, University of Missouri
 Kansas City, USA, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria, March 11-13.
- United Nations Development Program (UNDP) 2006. Niger Delta Human Development Report, Abuja, Nigeria.
- Watts, M. J. 2007. "Petro-Insurgency or Criminal Violence? Conflict and Violence in the Niger Delta." *Review of African Political Economy* 114:637-660.
- -----. 2008. "The Rule of Oil: Petro-Politics and the Anatomy of an Insurgency."
 Conference Proceedings, International Conference on the Nigerian State, Oil
 Industry and the Niger Delta, Organized by the Department of Political
 Science, Niger Delta University, in Collaboration with the Centre for Applied
 Environmental Research, Department of Geosciences, University of Missouri
 Kansas City, USA, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. March 11-13.

World Bank. 1995. "Defining an Environmental Development Strategy for the Niger Delta." Report, Vol. 1. http://wwwwds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2000/11/10 /000094946_00082605382641/Rendered/PDF/multi_page.pdf

Yergin, D. 2006. "Ensuring Energy Security." Foreign Affairs 85(2):69-89.